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$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2 m}+V(r) \quad V(r)=-\frac{Z_{1} Z_{2} \alpha}{r} \\
v \sim \alpha \ll 1 \\
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2} \quad \mathbf{X}=\frac{m}{m+M} \mathbf{x}_{1}+\frac{M}{m+M} \mathbf{x}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Scales: $m, m \alpha, m \alpha^{2}, \ldots$
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Tool: Effective Field Theories $\equiv$ Factorization
Why?: There is a hierarchy of different scales (hard, soft and ultrasoft).

```
m>>mv>> mv\mp@subsup{v}{}{2},\quad(\Lambda\LambdaQCD)
```

EFTs are especially useful in these situations.

1) Perturbative calculations much easier and systematic.
2) Nonperturbative information is parameterized in a model independent way.
3) Power counting.
4) Introduction
A) Kinematical regime: $s$ plane
B) Warming up: Matching (NR)QFT with a Quantum mechanics description
5) Matching QCD to NRQCD

Relativistic Feynman diagrams
3) Matching NRQCD to pNRQCD (getting the potential)

Non-Relativistic (HQET-like) Feynman diagrams
4) Observable: Spectrum
A) Quantum mechanics perturbation theory $\leftarrow$
B) Ultrasoft loops (lamb shift)
5) Heavy quarkonium spectrum

- Non-perturbative effects

6) Positronium spectrum

Exercise: Compute the $O\left(m \alpha^{5} \ln \alpha\right)$ correction to the spectrum
1.a): kinematical situation


1st approximation: $\infty$ number of NR (bound states) free particles Unusual situation in EFTs. What we will get is somewhat unusual from the EFT point of view.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{(n)}=\psi_{n}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{X}, t)\left(i \partial_{0}+\frac{\nabla_{X}^{2}}{2 M}-E_{n}+i \epsilon\right) \psi_{n}(\mathbf{X}, t)
$$

Our case

$$
\mathcal{L}=\Sigma_{n} \psi_{n}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{X}, t)\left(i \partial_{0}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{X}^{2}}{2 M}-E_{n}+i \epsilon\right) \psi_{n}(\mathbf{X}, t)
$$

$\psi_{n}(\mathbf{X})$ represents the quark-antiquark bound state
Path integral formulation:

$$
Z=\int \Pi D \psi_{n}^{\dagger} D \psi_{n} e^{i \int d^{4} X\left(\mathcal{L}+\psi_{n}^{\dagger} \eta_{n}+\eta_{n}^{\dagger} \psi_{n}\right)}
$$

Connection with quantum mechanics (?): particle-antiparticle wave function: $\Psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})=\Psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{X})$
X = Center of mass coordinate
$\mathrm{x}=$ relative coordinate
Ansatz: Promote $\Psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ to a field

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\int D \Psi(X, x)^{\dagger} D \Psi(X, x) e^{i \int d^{4} X d^{3} \mathbf{x}\left(\mathcal{L}+\Psi^{\dagger} J(X, x)+J^{\dagger}(X, x) \Psi\right)} \\
\mathcal{L}=\Psi^{\dagger}\left(i \partial_{0}-\hat{h}+i \epsilon\right) \Psi
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\hat{h}=\hat{h}_{\mathbf{X}}+\hat{h}_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \hat{h}_{\mathbf{X}}=-\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{X}^{2}}{2 M}, \quad \hat{h}_{\mathbf{x}}=-\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{x}^{2}}{2 \mu_{r}}+V(\mathbf{x})
$$

We have traded $E_{n}$ for $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$. The point is that we will able to relate $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ with some Green functions in the underlying theory and in some kinematical regime to compute it perturbatively.

Change of basis: $\Psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})=\Sigma_{n} \phi_{n}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{n}(\mathbf{X})$,
where $\phi_{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is a function and $\psi_{n}(\mathbf{X})$ a field and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{h}_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_{n}(\mathbf{x})=E_{n} \phi_{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\
Z=N \int \Pi D \psi_{n}^{\dagger} D \psi_{n} e^{i \int d^{4} X\left(\sum_{n} \psi_{n}^{\dagger}\left(i \partial_{0}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{X}^{2}}{2 M}-E_{n}+i \epsilon\right) \psi_{n}+\int d^{3} x \sum_{n}\left(\phi_{n}^{*} \psi_{n}^{\dagger} J+J^{\dagger} \phi_{n} \psi_{n}\right)\right)} \\
\int d^{3} x \phi_{n^{\prime}}^{*} \hat{h}_{\mathbf{x}} \phi_{n}=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} E_{n} \\
\int d^{3} x \phi_{n^{\prime}}^{*} \phi_{n}=\delta_{n n^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We have closed the connection between both formulations
Infinite number of states $\leftrightarrow$ Integro-diferential equation (Schrödinger equation)

## NR Effective Field Theories

Our aim is to provide a systematic method to deal with NR bound state systems. We will introduce a hierarchy of EFTs when sequentially integrating out each scale (only one scale in each step, strong simplification).


In the perturbative case the starting point is $V_{0}=-C_{f} \frac{\alpha}{r}$.

- Degrees of freedom
- Symmetries
- Cutoff

NRQCD has an ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda$ such that $m \gg \Lambda$ and larger than any other dynamical scale in the problem. $\Psi=\psi+\chi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{N R Q C D}=\bar{\Psi} i \gamma^{0} D_{0} \Psi+\bar{\Psi}\left\{\frac{\mathbf{D}^{2}}{2 m}+c_{F} g \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2 m}+c_{D} g \frac{\gamma^{0}(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D})}{8 m^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+i c_{S} g \frac{\gamma^{0} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D})}{8 m^{2}}+\frac{\mathbf{D}^{4}}{8 m^{3}}\right\} \Psi \\
& -\frac{1}{4} c_{1} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{c_{2}}{m^{2}} g F_{\mu \nu} D^{2} g F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{c_{3}}{m^{2}} g^{3} f_{A B C} F_{\mu \nu}^{A} F_{\mu \alpha}^{B} F_{\nu \alpha}^{C} \\
& \quad \delta \mathcal{L}_{N R Q C D}=\frac{d_{s s}}{m_{1} m_{2}} \psi_{1}^{\dagger} \psi_{1} \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \chi_{2}+\frac{d_{s v}}{m_{1} m_{2}} \psi_{1}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi_{1} \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi_{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{d_{v s}}{m_{1} m_{2}} \psi_{1}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T}^{a} \psi_{1} \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T}^{a} \chi_{2}+\frac{d_{v v}}{m_{1} m_{2}} \psi_{1}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T}^{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi_{1} \chi_{2}^{\dagger} \mathrm{T}^{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \chi_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lepage, Caswell, Thacker

Matching QCD to NRQCD: the scale m
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$$
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$$
\text { Typically, } \quad c_{i} \sim 1+\alpha_{s}\left(A \log \frac{m}{\mu}+B\right) \quad d_{i} \sim \alpha_{s}\left(1+\alpha_{s}\left(A \log \frac{m}{\mu}+B\right)\right)
$$

One major problem: Matching QCD to NRQCD with dimensional regularization.

In order to integrate the mass scale it is only needed

$$
m \gg|\mathbf{p}|, E, \Lambda_{Q C D}
$$

One matches to HQET from a practical point of view.
Analytical expansion over the three-momentum and residual energy in the integrand before the integration is made in both the full and the effective theory.
QCD

$$
\int d^{4} q f(q, m,|\mathbf{p}|, E)=\int d^{4} q f(q, m, 0,0)+O\left(\frac{E}{m}, \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m}\right)
$$

NRQCD

$$
\int d^{4} q f(q,|\mathbf{p}|, E)=\int d^{4} q f(q, 0,0)=0!!
$$

Dimensional regularization. The computation of loops in the effective theory just gives zero.

## Final rules:

- QCD tree level Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow$ non-relativistic reduction. They give the leading contribution to the NRQCD matching coefficients (this is equivalent to perform a Foldy-Wouthysen transformation for the bilinear piece of the Lagrangian but not for four-fermion operators due to the annihilation terms).
- One matches loops in QCD with only one scale (the mass) to tree level diagrams in NRQCD.
- Matching to some given order in $\alpha$ and $1 / m$, i.e. to $O\left(\alpha^{n} / m^{s}\right)$.



OCD
$=\frac{C(\mathrm{~m} / \mu)}{\mathrm{m}}$


NROCD

Manohar; Soto, Pineda

Other problem. Power counting of NRQCD in the perturbative situation.
Previous work: Labelle $\rightarrow$ Multipole expansion (QED)
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Other problem. Power counting of NRQCD in the perturbative situation. Previous work: Labelle $\rightarrow$ Multipole expansion (QED) Manohar, Luke; Grinstein, Rothstein; Savage, Luke Next step:
Soto, Pineda $\rightarrow$ How would we like the effective theory for $Q-\bar{Q}$ systems near threshold to be? We do not want to describe all the degrees of freedom included in NRQCD, but rather only those with US energy. Moreover, we want to get a closer connection with a Schrödinger-like formulation for these systems (also, eventually, in the non-perturbative regime $\rightarrow$ potential models). We will call potential NRQCD this new effective theory.

Other problem. Power counting of NRQCD in the perturbative situation. Previous work: Labelle $\rightarrow$ Multipole expansion (QED) Manohar, Luke; Grinstein, Rothstein; Savage, Luke Next step:
Soto, Pineda $\rightarrow$ How would we like the effective theory for $Q-\bar{Q}$ systems near threshold to be? We do not want to describe all the degrees of freedom included in NRQCD, but rather only those with US energy. Moreover, we want to get a closer connection with a Schrödinger-like formulation for these systems (also, eventually, in the non-perturbative regime $\rightarrow$ potential models). We will call potential NRQCD this new effective theory. Beneke, Smirnov $\rightarrow$ threshold expansion. Rigorous diagrammatic study of the (perturbative) momentum regions: hard, soft, potential, ultrasoft.
hard: particles with $E \sim|\mathbf{p}| \sim m \rightarrow$ NRQCD
soft: particles with $E \sim|\mathbf{p}| \sim m v \rightarrow$ pNRQCD
potential: particles with $E \sim m v^{2},|\mathbf{p}| \sim m v$
ultrasoft: particles with $E \sim|\mathbf{p}| \sim m v^{2}$
Implementation of pNRQCD through the threshold expansion (integrating out potential gluons and all soft particles): Beneke, Smirnov; Kniehl, Penin; Kniehl, Penin, Smirnov, Steinhauser


$$
\begin{gathered}
I \sim \int \frac{d^{4} q}{(2 \pi)^{4}} V(p, q) \frac{1}{E / 2+q^{0}-\mathbf{q}^{2} / 2 m+i \epsilon} \overline{E / 2-q^{0}-\mathbf{q}^{2} / 2 m+i \epsilon} V\left(q, p^{\prime}\right) \\
V(p, q) \sim \frac{1}{(p-q)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Counting (different possibilities):
A) $E \sim m v^{2} \quad p^{0}, p^{\prime 0} \sim q^{0} \sim|\mathbf{p}| \sim \mathbf{q} \sim \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \sim m v$

Static propagator:

$$
\frac{i}{q^{0}+i \epsilon} \rightarrow I \sim \delta V(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})
$$

B) $E \sim p^{0}, p^{\prime 0} \sim q^{0} \sim m v^{2} \quad|\mathbf{p}| \sim \mathbf{q} \sim \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \sim m v$

Nonrelativistic propagator:

$$
\frac{i}{q^{0}-\mathbf{q}^{2} /(2 m)+i \epsilon}
$$

Leading contribution:

$$
I \sim \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2 \pi)^{3}} V(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \frac{1}{E-\mathbf{q}^{2} / m+i \epsilon} V\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)
$$

But this is nothing but the usual NR Quantum Mechanics!!, I can be written as

$$
I \sim\langle\mathbf{p}| \hat{V} \frac{1}{E-\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{2} / m+i \epsilon} \hat{V}\left|\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

In fact, this can be done to any order considering ladder loops. Therefore, we obtain that the QFT amplitude can be written (within this approximation) as the Quantum Mechanical one

$$
i \mathcal{A}=-i\langle\mathbf{p}|\left(\hat{V}+\hat{V} \frac{1}{E-\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{2} / m+i \epsilon} \hat{V}+\ldots\right)\left|\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

For the bound state $V \sim E \sim m v^{2}, p \sim m v$ and one has to sum up the whole series.

The integration of the mv scale gives rise to potential terms. The Lagrangian is local in time but not in space.

Playing with the scales:

1) $m v \sim \Lambda_{Q C D}$
2) $m v \gg \Lambda_{Q C D} \gg m v^{2}$
3) $m v \gg m v^{2} \sim \Lambda_{Q C D}$
4) $m v \gg m v^{2} \gg \Lambda_{Q C D}$

Loosely speaking, when to trust the perturbative calculation and the size of NP corrections.


- Degrees of freedom
- symmetries
- cutoff
pNRQCD has two ultraviolet cut-offs, $\nu_{u s}$ and $\nu_{p}$. $\nu_{u s}$ fulfils the relation $\mathbf{p}^{2} / m \ll \nu_{u s} \ll|\mathbf{p}|$ and is the cut-off of the energy of the quarks, and of the energy and the momentum of the gluons. $\nu_{p}$ fulfils $|\mathbf{p}| \ll \nu_{p} \ll m$ and is the cut-off of the relative momentum of the quark-antiquark system, p.

Power counting/scales
Scales: $m, p, 1 / r, \Lambda_{m p}=\left\{\Lambda_{Q C D}, m v^{2}, \ldots\right\}$
Dimensionless quantities:

$$
\frac{p}{m}, \alpha_{s}, \frac{1}{m r}, \Lambda_{m p} r \ll 1
$$

The multipole expansion can be used in the new EFT.

Power counting/scales
Scales: $m, p, 1 / r, \Lambda_{m p}=\left\{\Lambda_{Q C D}, m v^{2}, \ldots\right\}$
Dimensionless quantities:

$$
\frac{p}{m}, \alpha_{s}, \frac{1}{m r}, \quad \Lambda_{m p} r \ll 1
$$

The multipole expansion can be used in the new EFT.

$$
L_{p N R Q C D}=L_{N R Q C D}^{\prime}+\iint d^{3} x_{1} d^{3} x_{2} \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}\left(x_{2}\right) V\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

$L_{N R Q C D}^{\prime}$, gluons multipole expanded (only ultrasoft gluons).

Power counting/scales
Scales: $m, p, 1 / r, \Lambda_{m p}=\left\{\Lambda_{Q C D}, m v^{2}, \ldots\right\}$
Dimensionless quantities:

$$
\frac{p}{m}, \alpha_{s}, \frac{1}{m r}, \Lambda_{m p} r \lll 1
$$

The multipole expansion can be used in the new EFT.

$$
L_{p N R Q C D}=L_{N R Q C D}^{\prime}+\iint d^{3} x_{1} d^{3} x_{2} \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}\left(x_{2}\right) V\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

$L_{N R Q C D}^{\prime}$, gluons multipole expanded (only ultrasoft gluons).

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{s}^{(0)} \equiv-C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{V_{s}}}{r} \\
\frac{V_{s}^{(1)}}{m} \equiv-\frac{C_{F} C_{A} D_{s}^{(1)}}{2 m r^{2}} \\
\frac{V_{s}^{(2)}}{m^{2}}=-\frac{C_{F} D_{1, s}^{(2)}}{2 m^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{r}, \mathbf{p}^{2}\right\}+\frac{C_{F} D_{2, s}^{(2)} \frac{1}{2 m^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{L}^{2}}{r^{3}}+\frac{\pi C_{F} D_{d, s}^{(2)}}{m^{2}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})}{+\frac{4 \pi C_{F} D_{S^{2}, s}^{(2)}}{3 m^{2}} \mathbf{S}^{2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})+\frac{3 C_{F} D_{L S, s}^{(2)}}{2 m^{2}} \frac{1}{r^{3}} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}+\frac{C_{F} D_{S_{12, s}}^{(2)}}{4 m^{2}} \frac{1}{r^{3}} S_{12}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}),}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S_{12}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \equiv 3 \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \sigma_{1} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1} \cdot \sigma_{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}=\sigma_{1} / 2+\sigma_{2} / 2$.

To go to the wave function description one has to project to the quarkantiquark sector.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}\left(x_{2}\right)|0\rangle \\
H \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle=\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2}\left(\hat{h} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

To go to the wave function description one has to project to the quarkantiquark sector.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}\left(x_{2}\right)|0\rangle \\
H \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle=\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2}\left(\hat{h} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

## For QED

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{p N R Q E D}= & \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\left(i D_{0}+\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}^{2}}{2 m_{1}}+\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{2}}{2 m_{2}}-V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\right) \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \\
= & \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\left(i \partial_{0}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}}{m}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}{4 m}\right. \\
& \left.-e \mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} A_{0}(\mathbf{X})-2 i e \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}}{m}-V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\right) \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To go to the wave function description one has to project to the quarkantiquark sector.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi\left(x_{1}\right) \chi_{c}\left(x_{2}\right)|0\rangle \\
H \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle=\int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2}\left(\hat{h} \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\right) \psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \chi_{c}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)|0\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

## For QED

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{p N R Q E D}= & \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\left(i D_{0}+\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}_{1}}^{2}}{2 m_{1}}+\frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{2}}{2 m_{2}}-V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\right) \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \\
= & \int d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{x}_{2} \Psi^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\left(i \partial_{0}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}}{m}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{X}}^{2}}{4 m}\right. \\
& \left.-e \mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} A_{0}(\mathbf{X})-2 i e \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}}}{m}-V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\right) \Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

New fields: Singlet S, Octet (O) and US gluons.
Gauge transformation:

$$
S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \rightarrow S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t), \quad O(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \rightarrow g(\mathbf{X}, t) O(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) g^{-1}(\mathbf{X}, t)
$$

Field Redefinitions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) & =\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})+\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{X}\right) O(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) \phi\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \\
\phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, t) & \equiv \operatorname{Pexp}\left\{i g \int_{0}^{1} d s(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}-s(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), t)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

pNRQCD Lagrangian at $O(r)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{p N R Q C D} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left\{S^{\dagger}\left(i \partial_{0}-V_{s}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})\right) S+O^{\dagger}\left(i D_{0}-V_{o}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})\right) O\right\} \\
& +g V_{A}(\mathbf{x}) \operatorname{Tr}\left\{O^{\dagger} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E} S+S^{\dagger} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E} O\right\}+g \frac{V_{B}(\mathbf{x})}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{O^{\dagger} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E} O+O^{\dagger} O \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}\right\} \\
& -\operatorname{Tr}\left\{S^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}+\sum_{n} \frac{V_{s}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x})}{m^{n}}\right) S-O^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}+\sum_{n} \frac{V_{o}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x})}{m^{n}}\right) O\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Interpolating fields:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{2}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, t\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{1} ; t\right) Q_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, t\right)=Z_{s}^{1 / 2}(\mathbf{x}) S(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}, t) \\
Q_{2}^{\dagger}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{X} ; t\right) T^{a} \phi\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}_{1} ; t\right) Q_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=Z_{o}^{1 / 2}(\mathbf{x}) O^{a}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}, t)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Matching NRQCD to pNRQCD

Same idea than in NRQCD. Expansion in the scales that are left in the effective theory. We integrate out the scale $k$ (transfer momentum between the quark and antiquark).
Analytical expansion of $1 / \mathrm{m}$ (and therefore p ) and E before the integration is made in both the full and the effective theory. Effectively HQET-like rules (HQET quark propagator).
NRQCD

$$
\int d^{4} q f(q, k,|\mathbf{p}|, E)=\int d^{4} q f(q, k, 0,0)+O\left(\frac{E}{k}, \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{k}\right)
$$

potentials
pNRQCD

$$
\int d^{4} q f(q,|\mathbf{p}|, E)=\int d^{4} q f(q, 0,0)=0!!
$$

Dimensional regularization. The computation in the effective theory just gives zero.

Final rules:

- NRQCD tree level Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow$ non-relativistic reduction. They give the leading contribution to the potentials.
- One matches loops in NRQCD with only one scale $(k)$ to tree level diagrams in pNRQCD (potentials).
- Matching to some given order in $\alpha$ and $1 / m$, i.e. $O\left(\alpha^{n} / m^{s}\right)$.

It can also be understood within the threshold expansion: integrating out potential gluons and soft particles.

$\sim \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{V_{s}}= & \alpha_{s}(r)\left\{1+\left(a_{1}+2 \gamma_{E} \beta_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{s}(r)}{4 \pi}+\left[\gamma_{E}\left(4 a_{1} \beta_{0}+2 \beta_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+4 \gamma_{E}^{2}\right) \beta_{0}^{2}+a_{2}\right] \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(r)}{16 \pi^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{C_{A}^{3}}{12} \pi \ln \mu r\right\}, \quad\left(a_{2}, \text { Schroeder, Peter }\right) \\
D_{s}^{(1)} & =\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}(r)\left\{1+\frac{2}{3}\left(4 C_{F}+2 C_{A}\right) \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \ln \mu r\right\}, \\
D_{1, s}^{(2)} & =\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(r)\left\{1+\frac{4}{3} C_{A} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \ln \mu r\right\}, \\
D_{D_{2, s}^{(2)}}^{(2)} & \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(r), \\
D_{d, s}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(r)\left\{1+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\left(\frac{2 C_{F}}{3}+\frac{17 C_{A}}{3}\right) \ln m r+\frac{16 \alpha_{s}}{3 \pi}\left(\frac{C_{A}}{2}-C_{F}\right) \ln \mu r\right\}, \\
D_{S^{2}, s}^{(2)} & =\alpha_{s}(r)\left\{1-\frac{7 C_{A}}{4} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \ln m r\right\}, \\
D_{L S, s}^{(2)}= & \alpha_{s}(r)\left\{1-\frac{2 C_{A}}{3} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \ln m r\right\}, \\
D_{S_{12, s}}^{(2)} & =\alpha_{s}(r)\left\{1-C_{A} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \ln m r\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Previous work: Gupta, Radford, Repko; Titard, Ynduráin
Logs: Brambilla, Soto, Vairo, Pineda; Kniehl, Penin; Hoang, Manohar Stewart
Finite terms $1 / m$ and $1 / m^{2}$ potentials: Kniehl, Penin, Smirnov, Steinhauser Renormalization group improved expressions: Soto, Pineda; Pineda

Another possibility: to perform directly the matching to singlet and octet fields, one naturally ends up with Wilson loops. See Vairo's lectures. Expansion in $1 / M$. HQET can be used in the matching (static sources).
A) Wilson loop formalism. Suitable if we can not work perturbatively. Example, the computation of the following Green function in both theories

$$
\langle 0| Q_{2}^{\dagger}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) Q_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) Q_{1}^{\dagger}\left(y_{1}\right) \phi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) Q_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)|0\rangle
$$

## NRQCD

$$
\delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{1}\right) \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right)\left\langle W_{\square}\right\rangle,
$$

pNRQCD

$$
Z_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{1}\right) \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right) e^{-i T V_{s}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})}
$$

One obtains:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{T} \log \left\langle W_{\square}\right\rangle=-C_{f} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}+O\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right) \quad \text { Wilson, Susskind } \\
V^{(1,0)}=-\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} d t \frac{t}{2}\left\langle\left\langle g \mathbf{E}_{1}(t) \cdot g \mathbf{E}_{1}(0)\right\rangle\right\rangle_{c}=-C_{f} C_{A} \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{4 r^{2}}+O\left(\alpha_{s}^{3}\right) \text { Brambilla, Soto, Vairo, P. }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { and } O\left(1 / m^{2}\right) \ldots
$$

B) Even another method to get the potentials in terms of Wilson loops. Comparison between states and matrix elements in NRQCD and pNRQCD starting from the static solution. Closer in philosophy, and to some extent in the procedure, to standard quantum mechanics perturbation theory.
$\Lambda_{Q C D} \lesssim m v^{2}(\Upsilon(1 S), b-\bar{b}$ sum rules, $t-\bar{t})$
At leading order the singlet Hamiltonian reads ( $v \sim C_{f} \alpha_{s} / n$ )

$$
h_{s}^{(0)}=-\frac{\Delta}{m}-\frac{C_{f} \alpha_{s}(\mu)}{r} \rightarrow M(n, l)=2 m-\frac{m C_{f}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}}{4 n^{2}}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{Q C D} \lesssim m v^{2}(\Upsilon(1 S), b-\bar{b} \text { sum rules, } t-\bar{t})
$$

At leading order the singlet Hamiltonian reads ( $v \sim C_{f} \alpha_{s} / n$ )

$$
h_{s}^{(0)}=-\frac{\Delta}{m}-\frac{C_{f} \alpha_{s}(\mu)}{r} \rightarrow M(n, l)=2 m-\frac{m C_{f}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}}{4 n^{2}}
$$

Corrections to the Green Function

$$
G_{s}(E)=P_{s} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-H_{I}-E} P_{s}=G_{s}^{(0)}+\delta G_{s} \quad G_{s}^{(0)}(E)=\frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}
$$

Corrections:. Expansion in $1 / M, \alpha$ and the multipole expansion.


$$
\Lambda_{Q C D} \lesssim m v^{2}(\Upsilon(1 S), b-\bar{b} \text { sum rules, } t-\bar{t})
$$

At leading order the singlet Hamiltonian reads ( $v \sim C_{f} \alpha_{s} / n$ )

$$
h_{s}^{(0)}=-\frac{\Delta}{m}-\frac{C_{f} \alpha_{s}(\mu)}{r} \rightarrow M(n, l)=2 m-\frac{m C_{f}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}}{4 n^{2}}
$$

Corrections to the Green Function

$$
G_{s}(E)=P_{s} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-H_{I}-E} P_{s}=G_{s}^{(0)}+\delta G_{s} \quad G_{s}^{(0)}(E)=\frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}
$$

Corrections:. Expansion in $1 / M, \alpha$ and the multipole expansion.


From ultrasoft gluons:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
H_{I}^{u s}=-\frac{g}{2} \xi^{a} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{a}(0), \\
\delta G_{s}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}}{(2 \pi)^{D-1}} \mathbf{r} \frac{k}{k+h_{o}^{(0)}-E} \mathbf{r} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E},{ }^{\left(h_{o}^{(0)}-E\right)^{2}} \mu_{u s}^{2}+C\right\} \mathbf{r} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}
$$

## From the potential:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{s}= & c_{k} \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}-C_{f} \frac{\alpha_{V_{s}}}{r}-c_{4} \frac{\mathbf{p}^{4}}{4 m^{3}}-\frac{C_{f} C_{A} D_{s}^{(1)}}{2 m r^{2}}-\frac{C_{f} D_{1, s}^{(2)}}{2 m^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{r}, \mathbf{p}^{2}\right\}+\frac{C_{f} D_{2, s}^{(2)}}{2 m^{2}} \frac{1}{r^{3}} \mathbf{L}^{2} \\
& +\frac{\pi C_{f} D_{d, s}^{(2)}}{m^{2}} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})+\frac{4 \pi C_{f} D_{S^{2}, s}^{(2)}}{3 m^{2}} \mathbf{S}^{2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})+\frac{3 C_{f} D_{L S, s}^{(2)}}{2 m^{2}} \frac{1}{r^{3}} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}+\frac{C_{f} D_{S_{12, s}}^{(2)}}{4 m^{2}} \frac{1}{r^{3}} S_{12}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{f}=\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right) /\left(2 N_{c}\right)$ and $c_{k}=c_{4}=1$ (we only use $c_{4}$ for tracking of the contribution due to this term). The propagator of the singlet is (formally)

$$
\frac{1}{E-h_{s}}
$$

At leading order (within an strict expansion in $\alpha_{s}$ ) the propagator of the singlet reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { םாாாாாாாாாாாயாயாா }=G_{c}(E)=\frac{1}{E-h_{s}^{(0)}}=\frac{1}{E-\mathrm{p}^{2} / m-C_{f} \alpha_{s} / r} . \\
& \\
& \quad \delta G_{s} \sim \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \delta V \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we were interested in computing the spectrum at $O\left(m \alpha_{s}^{6}\right)$, one should consider the iteration of subleading potentials $\left(\delta h_{s}\right)$ in the propagator as follows:

$$
\delta G_{s} \sim \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \delta h_{s} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}+\frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \delta h_{s} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \delta h_{s} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E}+\cdots
$$

Example:

$$
\delta(r) \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \frac{C_{f} \alpha_{s}}{r} \frac{1}{h_{s}^{(0)}-E} \delta(r)
$$

In general, these contributions will produce logarithmic divergences due to potential loops. These divergences can be absorbed in the matching coeffi-
 cients, $D_{d, s}^{(2)}$ and $D_{S^{2}, s}^{(2)}$, of the local potentials (proportional to the $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r})$ ) providing with the renormalization group equations of these matching coefficients in terms of $\nu_{p}$. Let us explain how it works in detail. Since the singular behavior of the potential loops appears for $\mathbf{p}^{2} / m \gg \alpha_{s} / r$, a per-
 turbative expansion in $\alpha_{s}$ is licit in $G_{c}(E)$, which can be approximated by

$$
=G_{c}^{(0)}(E)=\frac{1}{E-\mathbf{p}^{2} / m} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{r}= & \left.0\left|\frac{1}{E-\mathbf{p}^{2} / m} C_{f} \frac{\alpha_{V_{s}}}{r} \frac{1}{E-\mathbf{p}^{2} / m}\right| \mathbf{r}=0\right\rangle \\
& \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d} p^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{d} p}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{m}{\mathbf{p}^{\prime 2}-m E} C_{f} \frac{4 \pi \alpha_{V_{s}}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} \frac{m}{\mathbf{p}^{2}-m E} \sim-C_{f} \frac{m^{2} \alpha_{V_{s}} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon},}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D=4+2 \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$. This divergence is absorbed in $D_{d, s}^{(2)}$.

## Heavy Quarkonium mass

The Mass $\left(E_{n}=-m C_{F}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2} /\left(4 n^{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(n, l)=2 m+E_{n} \\
& +O\left(m \alpha_{s}^{3}\right) \quad \text { Billoire } \\
& +O\left(m \alpha_{s}^{4}\right) \quad \text { Yndurain, Pineda } \\
& +O\left(m \alpha_{s}^{5} \log \right) \quad \text { Brambilla, Soto, Vairo, Pineda } \\
& \\
& +O\left(m \alpha_{s}^{5}\right) \text { (almost) Kniehl, Penin, Smirnov, Steinhauser } \\
& \\
& +O\left(m v^{4} \times F\left(m v^{2} / \Lambda_{Q C D}\right)\right) \quad \text { Voloshin } \\
& \delta E_{n l}^{N P}=\langle 0|\langle n, l| H_{I} \frac{1}{E_{n}-h_{o}-H_{g}} H_{I}|n, l\rangle|0\rangle \\
& =\frac{T_{F}}{3 N_{c}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t\langle n, l| \mathbf{r} e^{-t\left(h_{o}-E_{n}\right)} \mathbf{r}|n, l\rangle\left\langle g \mathbf{E}^{a}(t) \phi(t, 0)_{a b}^{\text {adj }} g \mathbf{E}^{b}(0)\right\rangle\left(\nu_{u s}\right) \\
& \sim\left\langle\alpha G^{2}\right\rangle\left(\frac{1}{m v}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{m v^{2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{\Lambda_{Q C D}}{m v^{2}}\right)^{2}+\ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Leading term: Voloshin-Leutwyler; Subleading term: Pineda

Positronium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{p N R Q E D}=\int d^{3} \mathbf{x} d^{3} \mathbf{X} S^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) \\
& \left\{i \partial_{0}-\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}+\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x}|}+\frac{\mathbf{p}^{4}}{4 m^{3}}-\frac{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})}{m^{2}}\left(\pi \alpha\left(c_{D}-2 c_{F}^{2}\right)+d_{s}+3 d_{v}-16 \pi \alpha d_{2}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2 m^{2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}\left(\mathbf{p}^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}^{2}} \mathbf{x} \cdot(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{p}\right)-\frac{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})}{m^{2}} \mathbf{S}^{2}\left(\pi \alpha \frac{4}{3} c_{F}{ }^{2}-2 d_{v}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{\alpha}{4 m^{2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^{3}} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}\left(2 c_{S}+4 c_{F}\right)-\frac{\alpha c_{F}^{2}}{4 m^{2}|\mathbf{x}|^{3}} S_{12}(\mathbf{x})-\delta V(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{x} \cdot e \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}, t)\right\} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, t) . \\
& \delta V=\frac{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{3}-\frac{7 \alpha^{2}}{3} \log \mu^{2}\right)-\frac{7 \alpha^{2}}{6 \pi m^{2}} \operatorname{reg} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The positronium spectrum can be obtained with $O\left(m \alpha^{5}\right)$ accuracy with this Lagrangian. The cancellation of the scale dependence coming from the different scales involved in the problem is nicely seen.
The calculations can be performed using dimensional regularization.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{n, l, j}=2 m-m \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 n^{2}}+\frac{m \alpha^{4}}{8}\left\{-\frac{4}{n^{3}(2 l+1)}+\frac{11}{8 n^{4}}\right. \\
& -\frac{2 \alpha \delta_{l 0}}{3 \pi} \frac{n^{3}}{}(9 \log \alpha+7 \log n+8 \log R(n, l)-14 \log 2 \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{49}{15}-7\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}+\frac{n-1}{2 n}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{16 \alpha 1-\delta_{l 0}}{3 \pi}\left(\log R(n, l)+\frac{7}{n^{3}} \frac{1}{16 l(l+1)(2 l+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{14}{3} \frac{\delta_{l 0} \delta_{s 1}}{n^{3}}\left\{1+\frac{3 \alpha}{7 \pi}\left(-\frac{32}{9}-2 \log 2\right)\right\} \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(1-\delta_{l 0}\right) \delta_{s 1}}{l(2 l+1)(l+1) n^{3}} C_{j, l}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\log R(n, l)=\log \frac{2\left\langle E_{n, l}\right\rangle}{m \alpha^{2}}$ is called the Bethe logarithm and

$$
C_{j, l}= \begin{cases}-\frac{l+1}{2 l-1}\left(2(3 l-1)+\frac{\alpha}{\pi}(4 l-1)\right) & , j=l-1 \\ -2-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} & , j=l \\ \frac{l}{2 l+3}\left(2(3 l+4)+\frac{\alpha}{\pi}(4 l+5)\right) & , j=l+1\end{cases}
$$

## Conclusions

The use of Effective Field Theories has lead us to a better understanding of the dynamics of NR systems.
A rigorous connection between Quantum Field Theories and a NR Quantummechanical formulation of the NR systems now exists for both the perturbative and nonperturbative case.

It is now understood how to perform calculations in dimensional regularization.

Power counting is now better understood.
Plenty of Observables: Decay widhts $\left(\Gamma\left(n^{3} S_{1} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right), \Gamma\left(n^{1} S_{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right)\right.$ ), Bottomonium sum rules. Determination of $m_{b} \cdot t-\bar{t}$ production near threshold. Determination of $m_{t}$. QED, ...

