Fused pair of integrable defects in the sine-Gordon model

Cristina Zambon

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Durham

Centro de Ciencias de Benasque 15 July 2010

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Defects

Consider relativistic massive field theories such as the Toda models

- Purely transmitting defects
- Conservation of a generalised momentum
 - Type I defects (Ed Corrigan, Peter Bowcock, CZ)
 - The *a*_r Toda models
 - Classical integrability
 - Type II defects (Ed Corrigan, CZ)
 - A bigger set of Toda theories, which incorporates, for instance, the $a_2^{(2)}$ Toda model (or Tzitzéica or Bullough-Dodd or Zhiber-Mikhailov-Shabat model)

The classical type II defect

Consider two relativistic field theories with fields u and v

$$\mathcal{L} = \theta(-x)\mathcal{L}_u + \theta(x)\mathcal{L}_v + \delta(x)\left(2q\lambda_t - D(\lambda, u, v)\right)$$

Equations of motion

$$\partial^2 u = -U_u \quad x < 0 \qquad \partial^2 v = -V_v \quad x > 0$$

Defect conditions in x = 0

$$2q_{x} = -D_{p} \qquad 2p_{x} - 2\lambda_{t} = -D_{q} \qquad 2q_{t} = -D_{\lambda}$$
$$q = \frac{u - v}{2} \qquad p = \frac{u + v}{2}$$

• The defect potential *D* is determined by momentum conservation. It seems that, in the presence of a purely transmitting defect, constraints that follow from momentum conservation are 'equivalent' to the restriction imposed by integrability

Conservation of momentum

Start from the definition of momentum

$$P = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx \, u_t u_x + \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \, v_t v_x$$

If it exists a functional $\Omega(u, v, \lambda)$ such that $P_t \equiv -\Omega_t$, then $P + \Omega|_{x=0}$ is conserved and it represents the total momentum of the system

Constraints on U, V, Ω :

$$D_p = \Omega_\lambda$$
 $D_\lambda = \Omega_p$ $D_p D_q - \Omega_q D_\lambda = 2(U - V)$

that is

$$D = f(p + \lambda, q) + g(p - \lambda, q) \qquad \Omega = f(p + \lambda, q) - g(p - \lambda, q)$$
$$f_{\lambda}g_{q} - g_{\lambda}f_{q} = U - V$$

 Single scalar field theories: Liouville, free massless case, the sinh/sine-Gordon model, free massive case, the Tzitzéica model

Tzitzéica potential: $U = e^{2u} + 2e^{-u}$

 Single scalar field theories: Liouville, free massless case, the sinh/sine-Gordon model, free massive case, the Tzitzéica model

Tzitzéica potential:
$$U = e^{2u} + 2e^{-u}$$

Consider λ and its conjugate momentum $\pi_{\lambda} = 2 \, q$

• Then, the Poisson bracket of the defect contribution to energy and momentum is related to the potential difference across the defect, that is

$$f_{\lambda}g_q - g_{\lambda}f_q = (U - V) \longrightarrow \{\Omega, D\} = (U - V)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The classical sine-Gordon model with a defect

$$U = -(e^{u} + e^{-u})$$
 $V = -(e^{v} + e^{-v})$

The fields u, v are pure imaginary

• A type I defect

$$\mathcal{L}' = \theta(-x)\mathcal{L}_u + \theta(x)\mathcal{L}_v + \delta(x)\left(\frac{1}{2}(uv_t - vu_t) - D'(u, v)\right)$$

• A type II defect

$$\mathcal{L}^{II} = \theta(-x)\mathcal{L}_{u} + \theta(x)\mathcal{L}_{v} + \delta(x)\left((u-v)\lambda_{t} - D^{II}(u,v,\lambda)\right)$$

Equations of motions for the sine-Gordon fields $u \ (x < 0)$ and $v \ (x > 0)$

• Type I: defect conditions in *x* = 0

$$u_{x} - v_{t} = -D'_{u} \qquad v_{x} - u_{t} = D'_{v}$$
$$D' = -\sqrt{2}\sigma(e^{p} + e^{-p}) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma}(e^{q} + e^{-q}) \qquad p = \frac{u + v}{2} \qquad q = \frac{u - v}{2}$$

They are Bäcklund transformations

• Type II: defect conditions in
$$x = 0$$

 $u_x - 2\lambda_t = -D_u^{II}$ $v_x - 2\lambda_t = D_v^{II}$ $u_t - v_t = -D_\lambda^{II}$
 $D^{II} =$
 $-\sqrt{2}\sigma(e^{p/2+\lambda/2}(e^{q/2-\tau} + e^{-q/2+\tau}) + e^{-p/2-\lambda/2}(e^{q/2+\tau} + e^{-q/2-\tau}))$
 $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma}(e^{p/2-\lambda/2}(e^{q/2+\tau} + e^{-q/2-\tau}) + e^{-p/2+\lambda/2}(e^{q/2-\tau} + e^{-q/2+\tau}))$

They are not Bäcklund transformations

- Energy, momentum and topological charge are conserved quantities
- For the sine-Gordon model, the type II defect can be thought of two type I defects fused at the same point in space:

$$\delta(x) \left(\frac{u\lambda_t - \lambda u_t}{2} + \frac{\lambda v_t - v\lambda_t}{2} - D'(u, \lambda, \sigma_1) - D'(\lambda, v, \sigma_2) \right)$$

= $\delta(x) \left((u - v)\lambda_t - D''(u, v, \lambda) \right)$
 $\sqrt{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \sigma \equiv e^{-\eta} \qquad \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}} = e^{-\tau}$

- The complete Lagrangian density for the type II defect is not equivalent to the sum of the Lagrangian densities for two type I defects
- The type II defect cannot be split into two separated type I defects
- Once two type I defects are fused a soliton cannot propagate between them, and therefore the transfer of topological charge between them is suppressed

Solitons & Type II defects

A defect with no discontinuity

$$e^{u/2} = \frac{1+E}{1-E} \qquad e^{v/2} = \frac{1+zE}{1-zE}$$
$$E = e^{ax+bt+c} \qquad a = \sqrt{2}\cosh\theta \qquad b = -\sqrt{2}\sinh\theta$$
$$u(0,-\infty) = v(0,-\infty) = 2\pi i \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \lambda(-\infty) = 0, 2\pi i$$

Solitons & Type II defects

A defect with no discontinuity

$$e^{u/2} = \frac{1+E}{1-E} \qquad e^{v/2} = \frac{1+zE}{1-zE}$$
$$E = e^{ax+bt+c} \qquad a = \sqrt{2}\cosh\theta \qquad b = -\sqrt{2}\sinh\theta$$
$$u(0,-\infty) = v(0,-\infty) = 2\pi i \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \lambda(-\infty) = 0, 2\pi i \text{ A defect}$$

with a $2\pi i$ discontinuity

$$e^{u/2} = \frac{1+E}{1-E} \qquad e^{v/2} = -\frac{1+zE}{1-zE}$$
$$u(0,-\infty) = 2\pi i \qquad v(0,-\infty) = 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \lambda(-\infty) = 0, 2\pi i$$

For a general value of t the defect conditions fix the expressions for z and $\lambda(t)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Examples

• No discontinuity - $\lambda(-\infty) = 2\pi i$:

$$z = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\eta + \tau - \theta}{2}\right) \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\eta - \tau - \theta}{2}\right)$$
$$\lambda(t) = \dots$$
$$\mathcal{E} = 8\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cosh} \theta + [-8\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cosh} \eta \operatorname{cosh} \tau]$$

If η and τ are real and positive this is the lowest energy configuration

Examples

• No discontinuity - $\lambda(-\infty) = 2\pi i$:

$$z = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\eta + \tau - \theta}{2}\right) \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\eta - \tau - \theta}{2}\right)$$
$$\lambda(t) = \dots$$
$$\mathcal{E} = 8\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cosh} \theta + [-8\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cosh} \eta \operatorname{cosh} \tau]$$

If η and τ are real and positive this is the lowest energy configuration

• $2\pi i$ discontinuity - $\lambda(-\infty) = 0$:

$$z = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\eta + \tau - \theta}{2}\right) \operatorname{tanh}\left(\frac{\eta - \tau - \theta}{2}\right)$$
$$\lambda(t) = \dots$$
$$\mathcal{E} = 8\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cosh} \theta + [8\sqrt{2} \sinh \eta \sinh \tau]$$

The type II defect as a soliton-like object

Consider the defect with a $2\pi i$ discontinuity and set

$$\eta + \tau = \vartheta \pm \pi i \qquad \eta - \tau = \vartheta$$

• Defect contribution to the energy $\mathcal{E}|_{x=0}$

$$8\sqrt{2}\cosh(\vartheta)$$
 - energy of a soliton

• Delay z

 ${{{\mathsf{tanh}}^2}(artheta- heta)/2}$ - classical delay for two scattering solitons

 The transmission factor linearized about this configuration, namely

$$u = 2\pi i + e^{-i(\omega t - \kappa x)} \qquad v = T e^{-i(\omega t - \kappa x)}$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{2} \cosh \theta \qquad \kappa = \sqrt{2} \sinh \theta$$

becomes

$$\tau \qquad \sinh(\theta - \vartheta) + i$$

$$T = \frac{\sinh(\theta - \vartheta) + i}{\sinh(\theta - \vartheta) - i}$$

which is the classical limit of the transmission factor of a soliton - ϑ - scattering with the lightest breather - θ -

The quantum sine-Gordon model with defects

Triangle equations for purely transmitting defect: it expresses the compatibility between the S-matrix and the T-matrix [*Delfino*,*Mussardo*,*Simonetti*]

$$S^{mn}_{a\,b}(\Theta) \, T^{t\beta}_{n\alpha}(\theta_1) \, T^{s\gamma}_{m\beta}(\theta_2) = T^{n\beta}_{b\,\alpha}(\theta_2) \, T^{m\gamma}_{a\,\beta}(\theta_1) \, S^{st}_{mn}(\Theta)$$

 S(Θ): soliton/soliton scattering matrix [A. Zamolodchikov, Al. Zamolodchikov]

$$S_{++}^{++} = S_{--}^{--} = a \rho_s$$

$$S_{+-}^{-+} = S_{-+}^{+-} = b \rho_s$$

$$S_{+-}^{+-} = S_{-+}^{-+} = c \rho_s$$

$$q = -e^{-i\pi\gamma} = e^{-4i\pi^2/\beta^2} \qquad \gamma = \frac{4\pi}{\beta^2} - 1$$

• $T(\theta_p)$: soliton/defect transmission matrix

- The T-matrix must be unitary
- Conservation of the topological charge: $a + \alpha = b + \beta$ α and β are either both odd or both even integers Hence the transmission matrix has the following form:

$$T^{\boldsymbol{b}\,\beta}_{\boldsymbol{a}\,\alpha}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} A(\theta)\,\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} & B(\theta)\,\delta^{\beta-2}_{\alpha} \\ D(\theta)\,\delta^{\beta+2}_{\alpha} & D(\theta)\,\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

 It acts on a V ⊗ V where V is a two dimensional space and V is an infinite dimensional space

Explicit solutions related to defects...

• Transmission matrix for a type I defect [Konik, LeClair; BCZ]

 $T_{I} a_{\alpha}^{b\beta}(\theta,\eta)$

• Transmission matrix for two type I defects placed somewhere along the x-axis

$$T_{I-I} \stackrel{b\beta\delta}{_{a\alpha\gamma}}{}^{(\theta,\eta_1,\eta_2)}$$

Four defect labels reveals the presence of two defects: exchange of topological charge between the defects as the soliton passes between them

• But a type II defect required a completely new solution

A new solution for the STT = TTS equation

$$T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}(\theta) = \rho(\theta) \times \begin{pmatrix} (a_+Q^{\alpha} + a_-Q^{-\alpha}x^2) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} & x(b_+Q^{\alpha} + b_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta-2}_{\alpha} \\ x(c_+Q^{\alpha} + c_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta+2}_{\alpha} & (d_+Q^{\alpha}x^2 + d_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} x = e^{\gamma\theta} \qquad Q = 1/\sqrt{q} = e^{2i\pi^2/\beta^2} \qquad a_{\pm} d_{\pm} - b_{\pm} c_{\pm} = 0$$

The free constants and the scalar factor ρ can be constraint by additional requirements:

• Crossing

$$T^{b\,\beta}_{a\,lpha}(heta) = ilde{T}^{ar{a}\,eta}_{ar{b}\,lpha}(i\pi- heta)$$

with

$$T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}(\theta)\tilde{T}^{c\gamma}_{b\beta}(-\theta) = \delta^c_a\delta^\gamma_\alpha$$

• Unitarity

$$\sum_{b,\beta} T^{b\,\beta}_{a\,\alpha}(\theta) \bar{T}^{b\,\beta}_{c\,\gamma}(\theta) = \delta_{ac} \delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha}$$

 Crossing does not provide any further constraints on the free constants, but forces the function ρ to satisfy the following relation:

$$\rho(\theta)\rho(\theta+i\pi) Q^2\Delta(\theta)=1$$

with

$$\Delta(\theta) = a_+ d_- Q^{-2} \left(1 - rac{b_+ c_-}{a_+ d_-} x^2
ight) \left(1 - rac{b_- c_+}{a_+ d_-} Q^4 x^2
ight)$$

Experience with a similar calculation for the type I defect suggests to set

$$\frac{b_-c_+}{a_+d_-} = -Q^{-4} e^{-2\gamma\eta_1} \qquad \frac{b_+c_-}{a_+d_-} = -e^{-2\gamma\eta_2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Then, it is possible to find a solution for the scalar factor ρ

On the contrary, unitarity provides constraints on the constants (a₊ = 1)

$$a_+ = d_- = 1$$
 $a_- = b_-c_ d_+ = b_+c_+$
 $c_- = -ar{b}_+$ $c_+ = -ar{b}_- Q^{-4}$

and the scalar factor

$$\rho(\theta + i\pi) = \bar{\rho}(\theta)$$

These constraints allow to write

$$b_{-}\,ar{b}_{-} = e^{-2\gamma\eta_1} \qquad b_{+}\,ar{b}_{+} = e^{-2\gamma\eta_2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

then η_1 and η_2 are real parameters

Transmission matrix for a fused pair of type I defects

$$T_{II} {}^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}(\theta, b_{+}, b_{-}) = \rho_{II}(\theta, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}) \times \\ \begin{pmatrix} (Q^{\alpha} - b_{-} \bar{b}_{+} Q^{-\alpha} x^{2}) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} & x (b_{+} Q^{\alpha} + b_{-} Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta-2}_{\alpha} \\ -x (\bar{b}_{-} Q^{\alpha-4} + \bar{b}_{+} Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta+2}_{\alpha} & (-b_{+} \bar{b}_{-} Q^{\alpha-4} x^{2} + Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_{II}(\theta, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}) = \frac{f_{II}(z_{1}, z_{2})}{2\pi} e^{-\gamma(\theta-\eta_{1})/2} e^{-\gamma(\theta-\eta_{2})/2} e^{i\pi\gamma/2} \\ z_{p} = \frac{i\gamma(\theta - \eta_{p})}{\pi} \quad p = 1, 2 \qquad \gamma = \frac{4\pi}{\beta^{2}} - 1 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{II}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \Gamma(1/2 - z_{1})\Gamma(1/2 - z_{2}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1/2 + z_{1} + (2k - 1)\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 - z_{1} + 2k\gamma)}{\Gamma(1/2 + z_{1} + 2k\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 - z_{1} + (2k - 1)\gamma)} \times \frac{\Gamma(1/2 + z_{2} + (2k - 1)\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 - z_{2} + 2k\gamma)}{\Gamma(1/2 + z_{2} + 2k\gamma)\Gamma(1/2 - z_{2} + (2k - 1)\gamma)}$$

- This solution is supposed to describe the scattering of a soliton and a type II defect in its stable configuration with even topological charge labels
- The degrees of freedom are represented by the two real constants η_1 and η_2 and the relative phase between b_- and b_+ with

$$b_- \, ar b_- = e^{-2\gamma \eta_1} \qquad b_+ \, ar b_+ = e^{-2\gamma \eta_2}$$

• There are two defect 'resonance' states, representing the absorption and emission of a soliton, at:

$$\theta = \eta_1 + \frac{i\pi}{2\gamma}$$
 $\theta = \eta_2 + \frac{i\pi}{2\gamma}$

- In the classical limit β → 0 (1/γ → 0) their energies coincide with the classical energies of a soliton with rapidity η₁ or η₂
- In the classical limit these poles coincide with the rapidity at which the classical soliton delay diverges, provided $\eta_1 = \eta + \tau$ and $\eta_2 = \eta \tau$ though a normalization could be required

• This solution is similar to $T_{I-I} \stackrel{b\beta\delta}{}_{a\alpha\gamma}^{\delta}(\theta, \eta_1, \eta_2)$. The essential difference is represented by the presence of two extra defect labels for T_{I-I}

The sine-Gordon model has breather 'poles' at:

$$\Theta = i\pi \left(1 - \frac{n}{\gamma}\right)$$
 $n = 1, 2, \dots < \gamma$

By using the bootstrap relation

$$c_{a\bar{a}}^{n} {}^{n}T(\theta)\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \sum_{b} T_{\bar{a}\alpha}^{\bar{b}\gamma}(\theta_{\bar{a}}) T_{a\gamma}^{b\beta}(\theta_{a}) c_{b\bar{b}}^{n} \qquad c_{+-}^{n} = (-)^{n} c_{-+}^{n}$$

the transmission factor for the lightest breather is

$${}^{1}T(\theta) = -\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta-\eta_{1}}{2} - \frac{\pi i}{4}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta-\eta_{1}}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right)}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta-\eta_{2}}{2} - \frac{\pi i}{4}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\theta-\eta_{2}}{2} + \frac{\pi i}{4}\right)}$$

• Is coincide with the transmission factor for the classical problem linearized around the lowest energy configuration with $\eta_1 = \eta + \tau$ and $\eta_2 = \eta - \tau$

T & S matrices

- Classically the type I defect 'behaves' like 'half' soliton and the type II defect like a soliton with respect to energy, momentum, topological charge and delay
- This 'identification' may be extended to the quantum context since the S matrix is embedded within a T matrix for suitable choices of defect parameters

Consider the new solution shown previously

$$T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}(\theta) = \rho(\theta) \times \begin{pmatrix} (a_+Q^{\alpha} + a_-Q^{-\alpha}x^2) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} & x(b_+Q^{\alpha} + b_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta-2}_{\alpha} \\ x(c_+Q^{\alpha} + c_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta+2}_{\alpha} & (d_+Q^{\alpha}x^2 + d_-Q^{-\alpha}) \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} x = e^{\gamma\theta} \qquad Q = 1/\sqrt{q} = e^{2i\pi^2/\beta^2} \qquad a_{\pm} d_{\pm} - b_{\pm} c_{\pm} = 0$$

Remember that because of the crossing constraints it is possible to find a candidate for the overall scalar function ρ

- Since we want to recover the S matrix we consider the solution $T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}$ with α , β odd integers
- For the same reason, we require there is no amplitude for transitions between topological charges ± 1 and ± 3 , in other words

$$T_{+1}^{-3} = T_{-1}^{+-3} = 0 \longrightarrow b_{-} = -b_{+}Q^{2} \qquad c_{-} = -c_{+}Q^{-2}$$

Hence

$$T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha} = {}^{-}T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}_{a\alpha \text{ infinite}} \oplus T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha}_{a\alpha \text{ finite}} \oplus {}^{+}T^{b\beta}_{a\alpha \text{ infinite}}$$

In addition we set

hence, a single real free parameter survived ϑ .

The non zero elements of the finite T matrix are:

$$T_{++1}^{++1} = T_{--1}^{--1} = a\hat{\rho} \qquad \hat{\rho} = (-Q^{-1} e^{\gamma(\theta-\vartheta)})\rho$$

$$T_{+-1}^{+-1} = T_{-+1}^{-+1} = b\hat{\rho} \qquad T_{+-1}^{-+1} = T_{-+1}^{+-1} = c\hat{\rho}$$

- Apart from the overall factor ρ̂, these elements are precisely the non zero elements of the S-matrix
- The scalar factor $\hat{\rho}$ can be calculated. By using the previously definitions for the free constants and by making the choice $\eta_2 = \bar{\eta_1}$

$$\hat{\rho}(\theta) = \rho_{\mathcal{S}}(\theta - \vartheta) \equiv \rho_{\mathcal{S}}(\Theta)$$

 Hence the finite part of T coincide with the soliton/soliton S matrix and it is unitary, even though the full T matrix is not The transmission factor for the lightest breather coincide with the scattering amplitude between a soliton and the lightest breather whose rapidity difference is Θ = (θ - ϑ) [A.Zamolodchikov, Al. Zamoloschikov]

$${}^{s}T(\Theta) = \frac{\sinh(\Theta) + i\cos\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}}{\sinh(\Theta) - i\cos\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}}$$

 In the classical limit this expression coincides with the transmission factor for the classical problem linearized around the 'soliton configuration'

The same T matrix labeled by even integers can also describe the lightest breather. Demand

$$T^{-2}_{+\ 0} = T^{+\ -2}_{-\ 0} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad b_{-} = -b_{+} \qquad c_{-} = -c_{+}$$

and set

$$a_{+} = d_{-} = 1$$
 $a_{-} = d_{+} = -e^{-2\gamma\vartheta}Q^{-2}$

In addition, for fixing all free constants but one, choose

$$\eta_1 = \vartheta - \frac{i\pi}{2} + \frac{i\pi}{\gamma}$$
 $\eta_2 = \vartheta + \frac{i\pi}{2} - \frac{i\pi}{\gamma}$

which is a choice compatible with the above mentioned constraints, then

 The finite part of the T matrix becomes the scattering amplitude for the lightest breather and a soliton

$$T^{+}_{+ \ 0} = T^{-}_{- \ 0} = \frac{\sinh(\Theta) - i\cos\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}}{\sinh(\Theta) + i\cos\frac{\pi}{2\gamma}}$$

• The lightest breather transmission factor becomes the scattering amplitude for two lightest breathers

$${}^{1}T(\theta) = \frac{\sinh(\Theta) - i\sin\frac{\pi}{\gamma}}{\sinh(\Theta) + i\sin\frac{\pi}{\gamma}}$$

Finally, the choice

$$\eta_1 = \vartheta + rac{i\pi}{2} \qquad \eta_2 = \vartheta - rac{i\pi}{2}$$

leads to

$${}^{1}T(\theta) = T^{+0}_{+0} = T^{-0}_{-0} = 1$$

Defects & Representation theory

At the quantum level an algebraic setting capable to describe the transmission matrices for the type I and the type II defects for the sine-Gordon model can be constructed [*Weston*]

- $S = \rho_s P R$: $R(\theta_1/\theta_2) : V_{\theta_1}^{1/2} \otimes V_{\theta_2}^{1/2} \rightarrow V_{\theta_1}^{1/2} \otimes V_{\theta_2}^{1/2}$ $V_{\theta_i}^{1/2}$ is a representation of $U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$
- $T \simeq \rho \mathcal{L}$: $\mathcal{L}(\theta_1/\theta_2) : \mathcal{V}_{\theta_1}^{\Delta} \otimes V_{\theta_2}^{1/2} \to \mathcal{V}_{\theta_1}^{\Delta} \otimes V_{\theta_2}^{1/2}$ $\mathcal{V}_{\theta}^{\Delta}$ is a representation of the Borel subalgebra $U_q(b_+)$
- The Borel subalgebra is described in terms of a generalisation of the q-oscillator algebra with a set of parameter Δ

• The intertwiner \mathcal{L} is solution of the following linear equation $\mathcal{L} \Delta(x) = \Delta'(x) \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow STT = TTS \quad \Delta'(x) = P\Delta(x)P \quad x \in U_q(b_+)$

• Both T_I and T_{II} can be linked with \mathcal{L}

Summary

- Both type I and type II defects are purely transmitting defects, which allow momentum conservation
- The type II defect allows to overcome some restrictions imposed by the type I defect
- It is likely that new ideas, hence new types of defects are required to describe all Toda models (with a defect)
- In the sine-Gordon case a type II defect is equivalent to a fused pair of type I defects
- The quantum transmission matrices for these type of defects are infinite dimensional
- For the sine-Gordon case a explicit description in terms of representation theory is available
- For the sine-Gordon case the S matrix is found embedded inside the a type II T matrix