







Outline

- Properties of our graphene samples
- Overview of the past graphene studies
- Graphene plasmonics
- Fabrication details
- Experimental and Simulation Results
- Conclusion



- Produced by sublimating Si from SiC heated up to high temperatures (round 1600°C)
- Enables processing on single 2", 3" and 4" wafers
- Homogenous, highly uniform deposition



W. Strupinski et al., Nano Lett. 11, (2011).



Raman measurements from different regions of the sample





Raman measurements





Van der Pauw Devices for Hall Measurements





Hall Measurement Results at Room Temperature

Sample Name	Growth Condition	Device Number	μ	n	Probe current
	S		(cm²/Vs)	(10 ¹² cm ⁻²)	(µA)
AaEk	1600°C	1	812,0	-1,7	100
		2	882,0	-1,5	500
		average	847,0	-1,6	
AbEk	1600°C + H ₂	1	456,0	-6,3	100
		2	541,0	-3,6	100
		average	498,5	-5,0	
BaEk	1500°C	1	1580,0	23,0	1000
		2	1026,0	30,5	1000
		average	1303,0	26,8	
BbEk	1500°C + H ₂	1	873,0	-1,5	500
		2	1350,0	-0,7	500
		average	1111,5	-1,1	









Huang et al. Nature 469, (2011).



Linköping Samples Temperature dependent Mobility and Sheet Concentration





Hall Bar Fabrication for Magnetoresistance Measurements





E. Tiras et al. , JAP **113**, (2013).



Hall Transistor Fabrication





Micro Hall Bars





A grid mask is designed in order to identify the location of the flakes







RF Transistor Fabrication Steps



Ohmic contacts



Interconnect metals



Passivation of active region with SiO₂







Transistor Measurents





Graphene plasmonics

A. N. Grigorenko1*, M. Polini2 and K. S. Novoselov1

Two rich and vibrant fields of investigation—graphene physics and plasmonics—strongly overlap. Not only does graphene possess intrinsic plasmons that are tunable and adjustable, but a combination of graphene with noble-metal nanostructures promises a variety of exciting applications for conventional plasmonics. The versatility of graphene means that graphene-based plasmonics may enable the manufacture of novel optical devices working in different frequency ranges—from terahertz to the visible—with extremely high speed, low driving voltage, low power consumption and compact sizes. Here we review the field emerging at the intersection of graphene physics and plasmonics.



LETTERS

PUBLISHED ONLINE: 6 MAY 2012 | DOI: 10.1038/NNANO.2012.60

Hybrid graphene-quantum dot phototransistors with ultrahigh gain

Gerasimos Konstantatos^{†*}, Michela Badioli, Louis Gaudreau, Johann Osmond, Maria Bernechea, F. Pelayo Garcia de Arquer, Fabio Gatti and Frank H. L. Koppens^{†*}





pubs.acs.org/NanoLett

Letter

Electrically Tunable Damping of Plasmonic Resonances with Graphene

Naresh K. Emani,^{†,§} Ting-Fung Chung,^{‡,§} Xingjie Ni,^{†,§} Alexander V. Kildishev,^{†,§} Yong P. Chen,^{‡,†,§} and Alexandra Boltasseva^{*,†,§,||}





Ohmic Contacts and Alignment Marks





Mesa Etching of the Active Region





SRR Fabrication













Interconnect Metallization





Dielectric Passivation

- 50 nm SiO₂ deposition with electron beam evaporation - 50 nm SiO₂ deposition with sputter





ITO Deposition as a Gate





Effect of SiO₂ and ITO on the transmission





Gate Interconnect





SiO₂ etching to reach the contacts





SRR Structures with Different Dimensions

Device 1

Device 2













DC-IV Measurements of Plain Graphene



Dirac Point is larger than 60 V.

-Oxide layer breaks down instantaneously at 0.08-0.11 V per Angstrom thickness.
- This corresponds to 80-110 V for 100 nm SiO₂.





DC-IV Measurements of a Device with SRRs



Dirac point is at 60 V.



Bonding for the Transmission Measurements





Transmission Measurement Under Different Gate Bias





Device 1

ΔV (V)	Δλ (nm)	Quality factor
0	0	3.78
-5	-1.2	3.77
-20	-1.2	3.77
-25	-8.4	3.85
-30	-20.5	3.94
-35	-21.7	3.95
-45	-26.6	3.98
-50	-29.0	3.98
-55	-44.7	4.11

 $\Delta V = V_{gate} - V_{Dirac}$

$\Delta\lambda$ is the line width difference

- Resonance dip broadens and quality factor decreases closer to Dirac point





Device 2

ΔV (V)	Δλ (nm)	Quality Factor
0	0	4.21
-15	-15.7	4.30
-25	-36.2	4.39
-35	-54.3	4.48
-45	-68.8	4.56
-60	-73.6	4.58





Device 3



Δλ (nm)	Quality Factor
0	3.22
0	3.23
-21.7	3.29
-142.4	3.51
-152.0	3.54
-234.1	3.72
	Δλ (nm) 0 0 -21.7 -142.4 -152.0 -234.1



Theoretical Model for the Graphene



L. A. Falkovsky, Journal of Physics 129, (2008).



Normalized Transmission with respect to Dirac Point Transmission





Multiphonon absorptions of SiC around $6-14 \ \mu m$.

J. M. Dawlaty et al., APL 93, (2008).





DC-IV Measurements of a Device with SRRs





DC-IV Measurements of a Device with SRRs





Photocurrent vs Gate Voltage





Photocurrent under different laser power at 10 V gate bias



Bolometric effect: Electromagnetic wave is heating up the graphene. (?)

M. Freitag et al., Nature Photonics 7, (2013)



Future Work: Metal-Graphene-Metal Photodetector







Conclusion



- Electrical properties of graphene was investigated
- Fabrication of gated plasmonic structures realized
- Broadening and damping of transmission were observed with varying gate bias
- This effect was studied with theoretical modeling and confirmed qualitatively.







LINKÖPINGS UNIVERSITET