UV extrapolations in finite oscillator bases

Sebastian König

in collaboration with S. K. Bogner, R. J. Furnstahl, S. N. More, and T. Papenbrock

Bound States and Resonances in Effective Field Theories and Lattice QCD calculations

Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual. Benasque, Spain

July 30, 2014





Truncated-basis calculations

$$\psi(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} c_n u_n(b; r)$$

- Expansions in oscillator eigenstates are convenient... but necessarily truncated!
- Both IR and UV physics are cut off, balance determined by scale b

IR extrapolations

Low-energy spectrum of \hat{p}^2 indistinguishable from that in a box! \hookrightarrow asymptotic wavefunctions + S-matrix govern extrapolations

$$\Delta E(L) = \kappa_{\infty} \gamma_{\infty}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\kappa_{\infty}L} + \cdots$$

S. N. More et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, 044326 (2013) R. J. Furnstahl et al., Phys. Rev. C 89, 044301 (2014)

Goal(s) now:

- Identify the relevant UV cutoff!
- Derive a physically motivated extrapolation formula!

UV cutoff from duality

Naïve choice would be $\Lambda_0 = \sqrt{2\mu E_{\max}} = \sqrt{2N+3}/b...$ $(N=2n+\ell)$

UV cutoff from duality

Naïve choice would be $\Lambda_0=\sqrt{2\mu E_{\max}}=\sqrt{2N+3}/b.$. ($N=2n+\ell)$

Configuration space

- effective hard-wall box
- consider smallest eigenvalue of \hat{p}^2

 $\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow L = L_2 = \\ \sqrt{2(N+3/2+\Delta)} \, b \\ \text{with } \Delta = 2 \end{array}$

$$b=(\mu\hbar\Omega)^{-1/2}$$



original images by D. Bellot via Wikimedia Commons

$$\hat{H}_{\rm HO} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\hat{r}^2}{2\mu \, b^2} \quad \label{eq:HO}$$

Momentum space

- same situation!
- consider smallest eigenvalue of \hat{r}^2

$$\stackrel{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda = \Lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(N+3/2+\Delta)}/b}$$

cf., e.g., M. Caprio, NUCLEI2013 talk

UV cutoff from duality

Naïve choice would be $\Lambda_0=\sqrt{2\mu E_{\max}}=\sqrt{2N+3}/b.$. ($N=2n+\ell)$

Configuration space

- effective hard-wall box
- consider smallest eigenvalue of \hat{p}^2

 $\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow L = L_2 = \\ \sqrt{2(N+3/2+\Delta)} \, b \\ \text{with } \Delta = 2 \end{array}$

$$b=(\mu\hbar\Omega)^{-1/2}$$



original images by D. Bellot via Wikimedia Commons

$$\hat{H}_{\rm HO} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\hat{r}^2}{2\mu \, b^2} \quad \label{eq:HO}$$

Momentum space

- same situation!
- consider smallest eigenvalue of \hat{r}^2

$$\stackrel{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda = \Lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(N+3/2+\Delta)}/b}$$

cf., e.g., M. Caprio, NUCLEI2013 talk

Subleading corrections

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0}_{=2} + \frac{\Delta_1}{n} + \frac{\Delta_2}{n^2} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \ell = 0 & \ell = 1 \\ \hline \Delta_1 & \frac{1}{48}(3 - 2\pi^2) & -\frac{7}{192}(3 - 2\pi^2) \\ r_1 = \frac{1}{48}(5 + 2x_1^2) & \frac{3}{64}(5 + 2x_1^2) \end{array}$$
 x_ℓ = first positive root of $j_\ell(x)$
 Δ_2
 $-\frac{1}{48}(5 + 2x_1^2)$
 $\frac{3}{64}(5 + 2x_1^2)$

UV extrapolations in finite oscillator bases – p. 3











$$\Lambda_0 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2)/b}$$
, $\Lambda_2 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2+2)/b}$



$$\Lambda_0 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2)/b}$$
, $\Lambda_2 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2+2)/b}$



$$\Lambda_0 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2)/b}$$
, $\Lambda_2 = \sqrt{2(N+3/2+2)/b}$



Regularized contact interaction

T. Papenbrock, S. Bogner, R. Furnstahl

1.

Simple toy model:
$$V(k,k') \sim a f_{\lambda}(k') f_{\lambda}(k)$$
 • $f_{\lambda}^{(4)}(k) = e^{-(\frac{k}{\lambda})^4}$

Exact cutoff dependence

$$-1 = 4\pi a \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}k \, k^2 \frac{f_{\lambda}(k)^2}{\kappa_{\Lambda}^2 + k^2} \rightsquigarrow \kappa_{\Lambda}$$

- interaction motivated by non-rel. EFT
- regulator motivated by SRG evolution

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 fit $\kappa_{\Lambda} = \kappa_{\infty} - A \times \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, f_{\lambda}(k)^2$



Regularized contact interaction

T. Papenbrock, S. Bogner, R. Furnstahl

1.

Simple toy model:
$$V(k,k') \sim a f_{\lambda}(k') f_{\lambda}(k)$$
 • $f_{\lambda}^{(4)}(k) = e^{-(\frac{k}{\lambda})^4}$

Exact cutoff dependence

$$-1 = 4\pi a \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}k \, k^2 \frac{f_{\lambda}(k)^2}{\kappa_{\Lambda}^2 + k^2} \rightsquigarrow \kappa_{\Lambda}$$

- interaction motivated by non-rel. EFT
- regulator motivated by SRG evolution

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 fit $\kappa_{\Lambda} = \kappa_{\infty} - A \times \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, f_{\lambda}(k)^2$



Regularized contact interaction

T. Papenbrock, S. Bogner, R. Furnstahl

Simple toy model:
$$V(k,k') \sim a f_{\lambda}(k') f_{\lambda}(k)$$
 • $f_{\lambda}^{(4)}(k) = e^{-(\frac{k}{\lambda})}$

Exact cutoff dependence

$$-1 = 4\pi a \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}k \, k^2 \frac{f_{\lambda}(k)^2}{\kappa_{\Lambda}^2 + k^2} \rightsquigarrow \kappa_{\Lambda}$$

- interaction motivated by non-rel. EFT
- regulator motivated by SRG evolution

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 fit $\kappa_{\Lambda} = \kappa_{\infty} - A \times \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, f_{\lambda}(k)^2$



What now if the interaction is not separable?!

Separate and conquer

- take a given Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}_{...}$
- . . . and a (bound) state $|\psi
 angle$, $\hat{H} |\psi
 angle = E |\psi
 angle$
- set $\hat{V}_{sep} = g |\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ with $|\eta\rangle = \hat{V} |\psi\rangle$, $g^{-1} = \langle\psi|\hat{V}|\psi\rangle$

see, e.g., Ernst, Shakin, Thaler (1973); Lovelace (1964)

Separate and conquer

- take a given Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}...$
- . . . and a (bound) state $|\psi
 angle$, $\hat{H} |\psi
 angle = E |\psi
 angle$
- set $\hat{V}_{sep} = g |\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ with $|\eta\rangle = \hat{V} |\psi\rangle$, $g^{-1} = \langle\psi|\hat{V}|\psi\rangle$

see, e.g., Ernst, Shakin, Thaler (1973); Lovelace (1964)

 \hookrightarrow This reproduces the same state $|\psi\rangle$!

$$\left(V_{\mathsf{sep}} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{\hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle \left\langle \psi \right| \hat{V}}{\left\langle \psi \right| \hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle \quad (\mathsf{quite simple...}) \right)$$

Separate and conquer

- take a given Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}_{\cdots}$
- . . . and a (bound) state $|\psi
 angle$, $\left|\hat{H}\ket{\psi} = E\ket{\psi}\right|$
- set $\hat{V}_{sep} = g |\eta\rangle\langle\eta|$ with $|\eta\rangle = \hat{V} |\psi\rangle$, $g^{-1} = \langle\psi|\hat{V}|\psi\rangle$

see, e.g., Ernst, Shakin, Thaler (1973); Lovelace (1964)

\hookrightarrow This reproduces the same state $|\psi angle$!

$$\left(V_{\mathsf{sep}} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{\hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle \left\langle \psi \right| \hat{V}}{\left\langle \psi \right| \hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \hat{V} \left| \psi \right\rangle \quad \text{(quite simple...)}$$

Just replace...

- $f_{\lambda}(k) \longrightarrow \eta(k)$
- $a \longrightarrow g$
- ... in previous relations!

Cutoff dependence

•
$$-1 = 4\pi g \times \int_0^{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}k \, k^2 \frac{\eta(k)^2}{\kappa_{\Lambda}^2 + k^2}$$

• $\kappa_{\Lambda} = \kappa_{\infty} - A \times \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, \eta(k)^2$

This incorporates properties of the potential and the state!

Just take $|\psi\rangle$ from the largest oscillator space!

$$\left|\eta\right\rangle = \hat{V} \left|\psi\right\rangle_{\mathsf{HO}}$$

Just take $|\psi\rangle$ from the largest oscillator space!

$$\left|\eta\right\rangle = \hat{V} \left|\psi\right\rangle_{\mathsf{HO}}$$

Fits

•
$$\kappa_{\Lambda, \exp} = \kappa_{\infty} - a \times e^{-b\Lambda}$$

•
$$\kappa_{\Lambda,\text{Gauss}} = \kappa_{\infty} - a \times e^{-b\Lambda^2}$$

•
$$\kappa_{\Lambda, \text{sep}} = \kappa_{\infty} - a \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, \eta(k)^2$$







0.6495

0.6326

 $\kappa_{\infty, Gauss}$

 $\kappa_{\infty,sep}$

0.6594



0.6633

0.6513 0	0.6593	0.6630			
		UV extrapolations in finite oscillator bases	_	D.	8

0.6649



$n_{\sf max}$	8	12	16	20	24
$\kappa_{\infty, exp}$	0.6938	0.6778	0.6719	0.6693	0.6680
$\kappa_{\infty,Gauss}$	0.6495	0.6594	0.6633	0.6649	0.6657
$\kappa_{\infty,sep}$	0.6326	0.6513	0.6593	0.6630	0.6648



$n_{\sf max}$	8	12	16	20	24	32
$\kappa_{\infty,exp}$	0.6938	0.6778	0.6719	0.6693	0.6680	0.6671
$\kappa_{\infty,Gauss}$	0.6495	0.6594	0.6633	0.6649	0.6657	0.6663
$\kappa_{\infty,sep}$	0.6326	0.6513	0.6593	0.6630	0.6648	0.6661



$n_{\sf max}$	8	12	16	20	24	32
$\kappa_{\infty,exp}$	0.6938	0.6778	0.6719	0.6693	0.6680	0.6671
$\kappa_{\infty,Gauss}$	0.6495	0.6594	0.6633	0.6649	0.6657	0.6663
$\kappa_{\infty,sep}$	0.6326	0.6513	0.6593	0.6630	0.6648	0.6661

Back to the deuteron

Finally, consider realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions!

Back to the deuteron

Finally, consider realistic nucleon-nucleon interactions!

Quantization condition $-1 = 4\pi g \times \int_0^{\Lambda} dk \, k^2 \, \frac{\eta_0(k)^2 + \eta_2(k)^2}{\kappa_{\Lambda}^2 + k^2}$ $\hookrightarrow \text{ fit formulas, as before!}$

Entem-Machleidt



Entem-Machleidt



Entem-Machleidt



Epelbaum et al.



Entem-Machleidt



Epelbaum et al.



UV extrapolations in finite oscillator bases - p. 10

Quick summary

Centro de Ciencias (1150m)



benasque.org

Collada de la Pllana (2702m)



Quick summary

Centro de Ciencias (1150m)



benasque.org

Collada de la Pllana (2702m)



Quick summary

Centro de Ciencias (1150m)



benasque.org

Collada de la Pllana (2702m)



Summary and outlook

Summary

- duality of the oscillator Hamiltonian implies $\Lambda_{\rm UV} = \Lambda_2(N)$
- for separable interactions, the UV extrapolation is simple
- more generally, one can use separable approximations
- deuteron results look very promising!

Outlook / To Do

- scaling arguments suggests that by solving the two-body problem exactly one can extrapolate many-body results \rightarrow check this!
- figure out how to do reliable combined IR and UV extrapolations

Summary and outlook

Summary

- duality of the oscillator Hamiltonian implies $\Lambda_{\rm UV} = \Lambda_2(N)$
- for separable interactions, the UV extrapolation is simple
- more generally, one can use separable approximations
- deuteron results look very promising!

Outlook / To Do

- scaling arguments suggests that by solving the two-body problem exactly one can extrapolate many-body results \rightarrow check this!
- figure out how to do reliable combined IR and UV extrapolations

Thanks for your attention!