

## Accelerators at the high-energy frontier: CERN plans, projects and future studies

Philippe Lebrun, CERN

XLIII International Meeting on Fundamental Physics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, 12-21 March 2015



Contents

- LHC restart after Long Shutdown 1
- LHC plans for runs 2 and 3
- The High-Luminosity LHC project
- The CLIC study
- The FCC study



#### LHC Run 1 (2010-2012) A rich harvest

#### CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp









# LHC consolidation during LS1



Quality Assurance tests

10170 leak tightness tests

3 quadrupole magnets to be replaced

replaced

Installation of 612 pressure relief devices to bring the total to 1344

Consolidation of the 13 kA circuits in the 16 main electrical feedboxes



#### LHC restart Power tests on magnet circuits



# CERN

#### LHC restart Dipole re-training, by sector





# Plans for LHC runs 2 and 3



#### • Run 2

- Luminosity goal 1.3 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, operation with 25 ns bunch spacing (2800 bunches), giving an estimated pile-up of 40 events per bunch Xing
- Integrated luminosity goal ~100-120 fb<sup>-1</sup> (better estimate by end 2015)
- Priorities for 2015
  - p-p: 13 TeV c.m., integrated luminosity ~10 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 25 ns bunch spacing
  - Pb-Pb: one month towards end of year
- Runs 2 and 3: aim at 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> before LS3

Ph. Lebrun



## LHC luminosity plan for Runs 2 and 3





- To measure Higgs properties with highest possible precision and search for new physics at the energy frontier
  - Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the high-luminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view of collecting ten times more data than the original design, by around 2030
- To propose an ambitious post-LHC accelerator project at CERN by the time of the next Strategy Update
  - CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron highenergy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.
- <u>http://cds.cern.ch/record/1567258/files/esc-e-106.pdf</u>



The **CERN Medium-Term Plan** approved by the Council in June 2014 implements the European Strategy including a long-term outlook

The scientific programme is concentrated around four priorities:

- **1.Full LHC exploitation** the highest priority including the construction of the High-Luminosity Upgrade until 2025
- 2. High-Energy Frontier CERN's role and preparation for the next large scale facility
- **3. Neutrino Platform** contribute to a future long baseline facility in the US and allow for detector R&D for neutrino experiments
- 4. Fixed-target programme maintain the diversity of the field and honour ongoing obligations by exploiting the unique facilities at CERN



- Determine a hardware configuration and a set of beam parameters that will allow the LHC to reach the following targets:
  - enable a total integrated luminosity of 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - enable an integrated luminosity of 250-300 fb<sup>-1</sup> per year
  - design for  $\mu \sim 140$  (~ 200) (peak luminosity of 5 (7)  $10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)
  - design equipment for 'ultimate' performance of 7.5 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 4000 fb<sup>-1</sup>



#### Major intervention on 1.2 km of LHC ring

- New IR-quads using Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn superconductor
- New 11 T Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn (short) dipoles
- Collimation upgrade
- Cryogenics upgrade
- Crab Cavities
- Cold powering
- Machine protection



# Paths to high luminosity







#### Development of high-field magnets LARP long Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn quadrupole



Target: 200 T/m gradient at 1.9 K Reached: 208 T/m at 4.6 K 210 T/m at 1.9 K





#### The HL-LHC collaboration



![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **HL-LHC collaboration workpackages**

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

Q1-Q3 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **USA** D1 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **JP** MCBX : Design and Prototype **ES** HO Correctors: Design and Prototypes **IT** Q4 : Design and Prototype **FR** 

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Luminosity leveling Maximize integrated luminosity, limit pile-up & radiation dose

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Evolution of luminosity during single long fill

- Nominal LHC
- HL-LHC, no levelling
- HL-LHC, with levelling

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

Luminosity profiles with optimized run time

- HL-LHC, no levelling
- HL-LHC, with levelling

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **HL-LHC luminosity forecast**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) is a high-energy linear e+ e- collider with the potential to operate at centre-of-mass energies ranging from few hundred GeV up to 3 TeV, and with luminosities of a few 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>
- CLIC will allow for the exploration of Standard Model physics, such as precise measurements of the Higgs, top and gauge sectors, as well as for a multitude of searches for new physics, either through direct discovery or indirectly, via high-precision observables
- CLIC is based on a novel two-beam acceleration technique providing acceleration gradients at the level of 100 MV/m in normal-conducting structures
- The high luminosity is achieved by the very small beam emittances, ensured by appropriate design of the beam lines and tuning techniques, as well as by a precision pre-alignment system and an active stabilisation system
- The conceptual study covers the the main linacs and their detectors, as well as the drive beam and main beam injector complexes
- The study includes power, energy and industrialisation aspects and provides staged implementation scenarios, including schedule and cost estimates

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **CLIC** physics potential

**Precision SM physics** 

**New physics** 

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Why electrons?

### Why linear?

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Electrons are elementary particles, protons are composite
- Well defined initial state in energy and angular momentum
- Permits precision studies
- All center-of-mass energy is used in the collision

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

- Parasitic synchrotron radiation
  - scales with  $E^4/m^4$  and with 1/R
  - strong limitation of electron machines at high energy
- Different scaling of investment cost w r to beam energy
- BUT, single-pass machine
  - Lower efficiency
  - Need low-emittance, high-brightness beams
  - Contain emittance growth
  - Squeeze the beams as small as possible at collision point

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The luminosity challenge of linear colliders

- Lower-energy regime (small beamstrahlung)  $\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_y \varepsilon_y}} \eta \frac{P}{E} \xleftarrow{} \text{Grid power}$   $\begin{array}{c} \text{Grid power} \\ \text{Grid-to-beam efficiency} \end{array}$ Vertical beta at collision Vertical emittance High-energy regime (large beamstrahlung)  $\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_y}} \eta \frac{P}{E}$ **Bunch length** CLIC Particles per bunch  $3.7 \times 10^9$ bunches per pulse 312 15*cm* bunch spacing bunch length
  - bunch spacing15 cmbunch length $44 \mu m$ initial r.m.s. energy spread $\leq 2\%$ final r.m.s. energy spread0.35%initial horizontal emittance $\leq 600 nm$ final horizontal emittance $\leq 660 nm$ initial vertical emittance $\leq 10 nm$ final vertical emittance $\leq 20 nm$

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

Ph. Lebrun

# CLIC layout at 3 TeV

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Development and testing of X-band structures**

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Benasque Meeting 2015

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# CLIC Test Facility (CTF3)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Two-beam acceleration demonstrated**

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Alignment of main linacs

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Active stabilization of quadrupoles

Typical quadrupole jitter tolerance O(1nm) in main linac and O(0.1nm) in final doublet

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

Final Focus QD0 Prototype

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Ph. Lebrun

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Possible siting and staging of CLIC

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **CLIC schematic implantation**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### The CLIC collaboration More than 50 institutes world wide

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **CLIC Conceptual Design Report (2012)**

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

- 3 volumes
  - physics & detectors,
  - accelerator complex,
  - strategy, cost & schedule
- Collaborative effort: > 50 institutes worldwide

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **CLIC** possible roadmap

#### 2013-18 Development Phase

Develop a Project Plan for a staged implementation in agreement with LHC findings; further technical developments with industry, performance studies for accelerator parts and systems, as well as for detectors.

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### 2018-19 Decisions

On the basis of LHC data and Project Plans (for CLIC and other potential projects as FCC), take decisions about next project(s) at the Energy Frontier.

#### 4-5 year Preparation Phase

Finalise implementation parameters, Drive Beam Facility and other system verifications, site authorisation and preparation for industrial procurement.

Prepare detailed Technical Proposals for the detector-systems.

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### **2024-25 Construction Start** Ready for full construction and main tunnel excavation.

#### **Construction Phase**

Stage 1 construction of CLIC, in parallel with detector construction.

Preparation for implementation of further stages.

![](_page_34_Figure_15.jpeg)

#### Commissioning

Becoming ready for datataking as the LHC programme reaches completion.

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The main emphasis of the conceptual design study shall be the longterm goal of a hadron collider with a centre-of-mass energy of the order of 100 TeV in a new tunnel of 80 - 100 km circumference for the purpose of studying physics at the highest energies.
- The conceptual design study shall also include a lepton collider and its detectors, as a potential intermediate step towards realization of the hadron facility. Potential synergies with linear collider detector designs should be considered.
- Options for e-p scenarios and their impact on the infrastructure shall be examined at conceptual level.
- The study shall include cost and energy optimisation, industrialisation aspects and provide implementation scenarios, including schedule and cost profiles

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### A crude estimate of energy vs luminosity gains Collider Reach by G. Salam & A. Weiler

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The *Collider Reach* tool gives an estimate of the system mass that can be probed in BSM searches at one collider setup given an established system mass reach of some other collider setup, assuming that cross sections scale with the inverse squared system mass and with partonic luminosities
- <u>http://collider-reach.web.cern.ch/collider-reach/</u>

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Pushing the energy frontier by maximizing the energy reach
- Hadron collider only option for exploring energy scale at tens of TeV

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

# FCC-hh baseline parameters

parameter	LHC	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
c.m. energy [TeV]		14	100
dipole magnet field [T]	8	8.33 16 (20)	
circumference [km]		36.7 100 (83)	
luminosity [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	1	5	5 [→20?]
bunch spacing [ns]		25	25 {5}
events / bunch crossing	27	135	170 {34}
bunch population [10 <sup>11</sup> ]	1.15	2.2	1 {0.2}
norm. transverse emitt. [µm]	3.75	2.5	2.2 {0.44}
IP beta-function [m]	0.55	0.15	1.1
IP beam size [µm]	16.7	7.1	6.8 {3}
synchrotron rad. [W/m/aperture]	0.17	0.33	28 (44)
critical energy [keV]	0	0.044	4.3 (5.5)
total syn.rad. power [MW]	0.0072	0.0146	4.8 (5.8)
longitudinal damping time [h]	:	12.9	0.54 (0.32)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Aiming for very high luminosity: high beam current, small beam size
- Luminosity at each energy limited by synchrotron radiation from the beams, limit 50 MW per beam
- highest possible luminosity for a wide physics program ranging from the Z pole to the tt production threshold
  - beam energy range from 45 GeV to 175 GeV
- main physics programs / energies:
  - Z (45.5 GeV): Z pole, 'TeraZ' and high precision  $M_Z \& G_{Z'}$
  - W (80 GeV): W pair production threshold,
  - H (120 GeV): ZH production (maximum rate of H's),
  - t (175 GeV): tt threshold
- some polarization up to  $\geq$ 80 GeV for beam energy calibration
- optimized for operation at 120 GeV

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

## FCC-ee baseline parameters

parameter	LEP2		FCC-ee			
		Z	Z (c.w.)	W	н	t
E <sub>beam</sub> [GeV]	104	45	45	80	120	175
circumference [km]	26.7	100	100	100	100	100
current [mA]	3.0	1450	1431	152	30	6.6
P <sub>SR,tot</sub> [MW]	22	100	100	100	100	100
no. bunches	4	16700	29791	4490	1360	98
<i>N</i> <sub>b</sub> [10 <sup>11</sup> ]	4.2	1.8	1.0	0.7	0.46	1.4
ε <sub>x</sub> [nm]	22	29	0.14	3.3	0.94	2
ε <sub>y</sub> <b>[pm]</b>	250	60	1	1	2	2
$\beta_{x}^{*}$ [m]	1.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0
β* <sub>y</sub> [mm]	50	1	1	1	1	1
σ* <sub>y</sub> [nm]	3500	250	32	84	44	45
σ <sub>z,SR</sub> [mm]	11.5	1.64	2.7	1.01	0.81	1.16
$\sigma_{z,tot}$ [mm] (w beamstr.)	11.5	2.56	5.9	1.49	1.17	1.49
hourglass factor $F_{hg}$	0.99	0.64	0.94	0.79	0.80	0.73
L/IP [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	0.01	28	212	12	6	1.7
τ <sub>beam</sub> [min]	434	298	39	73	29	21
			crab waist			

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

- In view of the low luminosity lifetime, a booster of the same size (same tunnel) as the collider ring(s) must provide beams for top-up injection
  - same RF voltage, but low power (~ MW)
  - $\circ$  top up frequency ~ 0.1 Hz
  - booster injection energy ~5-20 GeV
  - o bypass around the experiments

![](_page_42_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 4 values of perimeter considered, rational multiples of LHC taken as highenergy booster for FCC-hh
  - 80.0 km
  - 86.6 km
  - 93.3 km
  - 100.0 km
- Arc radius of curvature maximized
  - FCC-hh: to reach maximum beam energy at achievable magnetic field
  - FCC-ee: to reach maximum luminosity at 50 MW/beam synchrotron power
- Geometry
  - Experimental areas "clustered" and separated by short arcs, away from injection and collimation regions
  - Long straight sections for IRs and RF
  - Distribute RF in LSS to limit energy sawtoothing (FCC-ee)
  - Extended short straight sections for FCC-hh collimation and extraction
  - Dispersion suppressors on either side of LSS and ESS
  - Very short technical straight sections between long arcs (FCC-hh)

# CERN

### **Allocation of Straight Sections FCC-hh**

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Allocation of Straight Sections FCC-ee

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

Alignment

#### Siting study 93 km perimeter PRELIMINARY

X: HC Ir	2498923	Υ.	1100	IP 2
Alig	nment centre			
		(	CALCU	LATE
	Slope Angle y	-y(%):	0	
	Slope Angle x	-x(%):	.3	
	Azimut	th (°):	-15	i.
Gra	dient Paramet	ers		
C	ner deptir at c	enue.	200111A	
Tur	nel denth at c	ontro	286mA	51
93	km quasi-circu	ılar	•	

Shaft Tools

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

		Shaft Depth (m)				Geology (m)	
Shaft	Actual	Min	Mean	Max	Moraine	Molasse	Calcaire
1	200	195	197				
2	196	143					
3	183	175	184	194			
4	174	146		178			
5	299		311	350			
6	336			350			
7	374	349	377	412			
8	337	318	341	366			
9	155		145	167	94		
10	315		320	336			
11	203	199		204			
12	239	229		243			
Total	3014	2801	3001	3211	741	2052	247

#### **Alignment Profile**

#### Preliminary conclusions:

![](_page_46_Figure_7.jpeg)

- 93 km tunnel fits geological situation well
- 100 km tunnel seems also compatible with geological considerations
- The LHC could be used as an injector

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### FCC-hh arcs Single tunnel

Opt. 1: Øint: 6.0 m

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### FCC-ee arcs Single tunnel

#### FCC-ee ARCS, TWIN DIPOLE

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Lattice

- FCC-hh
  - Cell length ~ 200 m
  - Short TSS between LARCs
- FCC-ee
  - Cell lengths from ~50 m to ~300 m, depending on the energy & phase advance
  - No TSS unless one needs to add RF stations between LARCS

![](_page_49_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Experiments**

- FCC-hh
  - Very large detectors (L>50 m, D~30 m) using 5 T solenoids
  - Sets the size of caverns and installation shafts
- FCC-ee
  - No preliminary design available
  - ILC-type detectors would be much smaller than FCC-hh detectors
  - Unconventional ideas of detectors making use of large cavern volume of FCC-hh

![](_page_50_Figure_9.jpeg)

- \* 1 Air core Barrel Toroid with 7 x muon bending power  $\mathrm{B_zL^2}.$
- 2 End Cap Toroids to cover medium angle forward direction.
- 2 Dipoles to cover low-angle forward direction.
- Overall dimensions: 30 m diameter x 51 m length (36,000 m<sup>3</sup>).

![](_page_50_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Interaction regions**

#### • FCC-hh

- Small crossing angle 11 μrad
- Moderate  $\beta^* = 1.1 \text{ m}$
- Very large detectors  $\Rightarrow$  L<sup>\*</sup> = 46 m
- Length of IR  $\sim 1 \text{ km} \Rightarrow \text{LSS} = 1.4 \text{ km}$

- FCC-ee
  - Large crossing angle 30 mrad
  - Small  $\beta^* = 1 \text{ mm}$
  - Small  $L^* = 2 m$
  - Length of IR may require LSS > 1.4 km

![](_page_51_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### $\Rightarrow$ work in progress

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

- **Baseline**: 16 T for 100 TeV in 100 km with Nb-Ti + Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn
  - Conductor development
  - Short models with aperture 40-50 mm and accelerator features (margin, field quality, protectability, cycled operation)
  - **R&D goal**: 16T short dipole models by 2018/19 (America, Asia, Europe)
- In parallel, long-term development targeting 20 T with Nb-Ti + Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn + HTS
  - 5 T insert (EuCARD2), ~40 mm aperture and accelerator features
  - Outsert of large aperture ~100 mm, (FRESCA2 or other)
  - **R&D goal**: demonstrate HTS/LTS 20 T dipole technology

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Advanced superconductors to reach high fields

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Arc magnet system will be the major cost driver of FCC-hh
- Cross-section examples of nested, hybrid block coils (1/4 shown)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

L. Rossi, E. Todesco

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

FCC-hh challenges Stored beam energy

- Stored energy 8 GJ per beam, 16 GJ total
  - 20 times higher than LHC
  - Equivalent to A380 (560 t) at nominal speed (850 km/h)

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Collimation, control of beam losses and radiation effects very important
- Injection, beam transfer and dump very critical
- Machine protection issues to be addressed early on!

Ph. Lebrun

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Study launched at FCC kick-off meeting in February 2014
- Presently forming a global collaboration based on general MoUs between CERN and individual partners + specific addenda for each participant
- First International Collaboration Board meeting on 9-10 September 2014 at CERN, chaired by Prof. L. Rivkin (PSI/EPFL)
- Design study proposal for EU support in the Horizon 2020 program submitted, evaluation expected in January 2015
- First FCC Week workshop from 23 to 27 March 2015 in Washington DC

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### FCC study MoU status on 21 January 2015

#### **43 collaboration members**

ALBA/CELLS, Spain **U** Bern, Switzerland **BINP, Russia** CASE (SUNY/BNL), USA **CBPF, Brazil CEA Grenoble, France CIEMAT, Spain CNRS**, France **Cockcroft Institute, UK** U Colima, Mexico CSIC/IFIC, Spain **TU Darmstadt, Germany DESY, Germany TU Dresden, Germany** Duke U, USA

**EPFL**, Switzerland Gangneung-Wonju Nat. U., Korea **U** Geneva, Switzerland **Goethe U Frankfurt, Germany GSI, Germany** Hellenic Open U, Greece **HEPHY, Austria IFJ PAN Krakow, Poland INFN**, Italy **INP Minsk, Belarus** U Iowa, USA IPM, Iran UC Irvine, USA Istanbul Aydin U., Turkey

JAI/Oxford, UK JINR Dubna, Russia **KEK**, Japan KIAS, Korea King's College London, UK Korea U Sejong, Korea **MEPhl**, Russia Northern Illinois U., USA **NC PHEP Minsk, Belarus PSI, Switzerland** Sapienza/Roma, Italy UC Santa Barbara, USA **U** Silesia, Poland **TU Tampere, Finland** 

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

### FCC study Work plan

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)