PDEs, Optimal Design and Numerics Minimization of the Ground State of the Mixture of Two Conductors Materials ("State of the Art")

#### **Carlos Conca**

Universidad de Chile

Department of Engineering Mathematics (DIM) Center for Mathematical Modelling, UMI 2807 CNRS-UChile (CMM) & Institute for BioTechnology & BioEngineering (CeBiB) cconca@dim.uchile.cl.carlos.conca@gmail.com

Joint work with M. Dambrine, D. Quinteros & R. Mahadevan

## Setting of our

0

#### **Optimal Design Problem**

- Bdd domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ ,  $0 < m < |\Omega|$
- $B \subset \Omega$  measurable;  $A = \Omega \setminus B$ ; |B| = m.

$$\begin{cases} \inf \left\{ \lambda(B) \mid B \in \mathscr{B} \right\} \\ \mathscr{B} = \left\{ B \subset \Omega \text{ measurable}, |B| = m \right\} \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$-\operatorname{div}(\sigma 
abla u) = \lambda(B)u$$
 in  $\Omega$   
 $u = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ 

•  $\sigma = \alpha \chi_A + \beta \chi_B; \lambda(B)$  the first eigenvalue (ground state)

- Simplicity : u is unique up to a multiplication constant
- Unique by normalisation : u > 0 in  $\Omega$ ;  $\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = 1$

#### A useful characterisation of the target

Rayleigh quotient

$$\lambda(B) = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\alpha \chi_A + \beta \chi_B) |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx}$$

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, Aug 23-Sep 04

## Questions of interest

Does there exists a minimiser for this problem?

- If yes, how does it looks like? (Characterisation of minimisers)
- On we find some explicit solutions?
- If we don't have satisfactory answers,
  - What can we do?
  - What do numerics and computational simulations can tell / teach us?

## Survey of previous results

**Classical solutions - Existence** 

- General domains Open
- ID case

[1] M.G. Krein - AMS Translations Series 1955
 Proof exploits the equivalence between (1) and a similar vibrating membrane problem involving the target

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{B}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{v} \in H_0^1([0,L[)]} \frac{\int_0^L |\nabla \boldsymbol{v}|^2 d\boldsymbol{y}}{\int_0^L \rho(\boldsymbol{y}) |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 d\boldsymbol{y}}.$$

where  $y = y(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} ds$  and  $\rho(y) = \sigma(x); \Omega = ]0, 1[$ 

- Precise minimiser consists in taking  $\beta$  in the middle; shown by symmetrisation.
- The equivalence does not hold in higher dimensions.

## Survey of previous results ... continued

#### Classical solutions - Existence of a radially symmetric solution

- Case of a ball
  - [1] A. Alvino, G. Trombetti & P.L. Lions Nonlinear Anal. 1989

Proof based on Schwarz symmetrisation and a tricky adaptation of the proof of existence for  $\mu(B)$ .

• [2] C<sup>2</sup>, R. Mahadevan, L. Sanz - Appl. Math. Opt. 2009

Proof based on Schwarz symmetrisation, which reduces things to 1D, and the fact that explicit formulae for the homogenisation process are available in 1D; the classical solution sought is retrieved as an extremal point of a non-empty, weak\* compact convex set of  $L^{\infty}$ (ball).

#### Uniqueness

Open question

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics

• [3] J. Casado-Díaz - Siam J. Control Opt. 2015

#### Theorem 1 (Case of a rectangle)

There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , problem (1) with  $m = |\Omega| - \varepsilon$  has no solution.

Proof based on sharp smoothness properties for a suitable relaxation of (1), namely

$$\begin{cases} \min_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha} + \frac{1-\theta}{\beta}\right)^{-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ \theta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; [0, 1]), \int_{\Omega} \theta dx \le m, \ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = 1 \end{cases}$$
(2)

## Asymptotic expansion - Low contrast regime

Theorem 2 (Rellich - 1969)

The first eigenvalue  $\lambda^{\varepsilon}$  of

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma^{\varepsilon}\nabla u^{\varepsilon}) = &\lambda^{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega\\ u^{\varepsilon} = &0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

is an analytic function of  $\varepsilon$  in a neighbourhood of  $\varepsilon = 0$  and the positive eigenfunction  $u^{\varepsilon}$  satisfying the normalization condition

$$\int_{\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon})^2 dx = 1$$

is analytic with respect to  $\varepsilon$ .

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics

## ... Asymptotic expansion

So, we can introduce the series expansions

$$u^{\varepsilon} = v_0 + \varepsilon v_1 + \dots,$$
  
$$\lambda^{\varepsilon} = \lambda_0 + \varepsilon \lambda_1 + \dots,$$

in the equations above and gather terms of similar order in  $\varepsilon$  :

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\alpha \nabla v_0) = \lambda_0 v_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(3)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\alpha \nabla v_1) - \lambda_0 v_1 = \operatorname{div}(\chi_B \nabla v_0) + \lambda_1 v_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_1 = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(4)

Due to the Fredholm alternative, equation (6) has a solution if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\chi_{B} \nabla v_{0}) v_{0} + \lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{2} = 0.$$

## ... Asymptotic expansion

As  $\int\limits_{\Omega} v_0^2 = 1,$  straightforward calculations using (5) & (6) yields

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(B) = \int_B |\nabla v_0|^2$$

Theorem 3 (C<sup>2</sup>, Laurain & Mahadevan, Siam J. Appl. Math. 2012)

Let us denote by

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(B) = \lambda^{\varepsilon}(B) - \lambda_0 - \varepsilon \lambda_1(B)$$

the remainder in the ansatz for  $\lambda^{\varepsilon}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$  and B such that

$$|\tilde{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}(B)| \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \forall B \in \mathscr{B}.$$

Hence,

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathscr{B}}\lambda^{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{B})-\lambda_{0}-\varepsilon\inf_{\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathscr{B}}\lambda_{1}(\boldsymbol{B})\right|\leq \boldsymbol{C}\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics

Corollary 4 (An asymptotic approximation for  $\lambda_1(\cdot)$ )

If  $B^{\star}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{B}$  is a minimizer of  $\lambda^{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  then

$$\left|\lambda_1(B_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) - \inf_{B \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda_1(B) 
ight| \leq 2C \varepsilon^{rac{1}{2}}$$

Proof

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \left| \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) - \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \right| \\ &= \left| \left( \lambda^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) - \lambda_{0} - \varepsilon \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \right) - \left( \lambda^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) - \lambda_{0} - \varepsilon \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \lambda^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) - \lambda_{0} - \varepsilon \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \right| + \left| \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{B}) - \lambda_{0} - \varepsilon \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \right| \\ &\leq 2C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, Aug 23-Sep 04

## Nearly optimal solutions in low contrast regime

#### Some other consequences and remarks

Corollary 4 gives us to understand that a minimizer for λ<sup>ε</sup>(·) is approximately a minimizer for λ<sub>1</sub>(·).

(Conversely) A similar argument proves that a minimizer for λ<sub>1</sub>(·) is approximately a minimizer for λ<sup>ε</sup>(·) : If B\* is a minimizer of λ<sub>1</sub>(·), then

$$\left|\lambda^{arepsilon}(\pmb{B}^{\star}) - \inf_{\pmb{B}\in\mathscr{B}}\lambda^{arepsilon}(\pmb{B})
ight| \leq 2\pmb{C}arepsilon^{rac{3}{2}}$$

$$\longrightarrow$$
 numerical approximation for  $\inf_{B \in \mathscr{B}} \lambda^{\varepsilon}(B)$ )

## Level sets of $|\nabla v_0|$

- Theorem 3 tells us that, asymptotically, the minimum value of λ<sup>ε</sup>(·) can be calculated approximately minimizing λ<sub>1</sub>(·).
- This later problem is easier since

Theorem 5 (Characterization of the minimizers of  $\lambda_1(\cdot)$ )

There exists  $c^* \ge 0$  such that whenever B is a measurable subset of  $\Omega$  satisfying

$$\{x \mid |
abla u_0(x)| < c^*\} \subset B \subset \{x \mid |
abla u_0(x)| \leq c^*\}$$

and |B| = m, then B is an optimal solution for the problem of minimizing  $\lambda_1(B)$  over  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ .

Proof based on an accurate analysis of the level function  $f(c) \stackrel{(def)}{=} |\{x \in \Omega \mid |\nabla v_0(x)| \le c\}|$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## A descent algorithm – general $\alpha$ , $\beta$ & domain

#### Variational formulation for $\lambda$

$$\lambda = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2} = \min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, ||u||_2 = 1} \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^2.$$

#### A descent algorithm

- Initial measurable set  $B_0$ ,  $|B_0| = m$ .
- $m(B_0, c) \stackrel{\text{(def)}}{=} |\{x \mid |\nabla u_{B_0}(x)| \leq c\}|$ . Non-decreasing  $m(B_0, c) \to 0$  as  $c \to 0$  whereas,  $m(B_0, c) \to |\Omega|$  as  $c \to \infty$ .

$$c_0 \stackrel{(\mathrm{def})}{=} \inf \{ c \mid m(B_0, c) \geq m \}.$$

- Under suitable conditions  $|\{x \mid |\nabla u_{B_0}(x)| \leq c_0\}| = m$ .
- Actualization  $B_1 = \{x \mid |\nabla u_{B_0}(x)| \leq c_0\}.$

## 2nd order expansion

Let us recall the series expansions

$$\begin{split} u^{\varepsilon} &= v_0 + \varepsilon v_1 + \varepsilon^2 v_2 \dots, \\ \lambda^{\varepsilon} &= \lambda_0 + \varepsilon \lambda_1 + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_2 \dots, \end{split}$$

Plugging them in the corresponding equations and gathering terms of similar order in  $\varepsilon$  :

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\alpha \nabla v_0) = \lambda_0 v_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(5)  
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\alpha \nabla v_1) - \lambda_0 v_1 = \operatorname{div}(\chi_B \nabla v_0) + \lambda_1 v_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_1 = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(6)

Due to the Fredholm alternative, equation (6) has a solution if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\chi_{B} \nabla v_{0}) v_{0} + \lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} v_{0}^{2} = 0.$$

## 2nd order expansion ... continued

As  $\int_{\Omega} v_0^2 = 1$ , straightforward calculations using (5) & (6) yields

$$\lambda_2(B) = \int_B \alpha \nabla v_1(B) \cdot \nabla v_0, \qquad \int_{\Omega} v_0 v_1(B) = 0.$$

#### **Proposition 6**

There is a constant C > 0 independent of B such that :

$$|\lambda^{\varepsilon}(B) - (\lambda_0 + \varepsilon \lambda_1 + \varepsilon^2 \lambda_2)| \leq C \varepsilon^3 \quad \forall B \in \mathscr{B}.$$

Hence,

$$\left|\inf_{\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathscr{B}}\lambda^{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{B})-\inf_{\boldsymbol{B}\in\mathscr{B}}(\lambda_{0}+\varepsilon\lambda_{1}(\boldsymbol{B})+\varepsilon^{2}\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{B}))\right|\leq C\varepsilon^{3}$$

## A 2nd order minimisation approximate problem

From the expressions for  $\lambda_1(B), \lambda_2(B)$ , we focus attention on

$$\inf F(\chi) \stackrel{(\text{def})}{=} \int_{\Omega} \chi(\nabla v_0 + \varepsilon \nabla v(\chi)) \cdot \nabla v_0 dx$$

over the class of admissible domains represented by their characteristic functions

$$\mathscr{A} \stackrel{(\mathrm{def})}{=} \{ \chi \; ; \; \chi = \chi_{\mathcal{B}}, \; \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Omega, \; |\mathcal{B}| = m \} \subseteq L^{\infty}(\Omega),$$

and  $v = v(\chi) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$-\alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \lambda_0 \mathbf{v} = \lambda_1(\chi) \mathbf{v}_0 + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \chi \nabla \mathbf{v}_0)$$
(7)

$$\lambda_{1}(\chi) := \int_{\Omega} \alpha \chi |\nabla v_{0}|^{2}$$

$$v \perp v_{0} \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega)$$
(8)

(10)

We appeal to the usual relaxation procedures; it requires calculate the *lower semicontinuous envelope* of *F*, w.r.t. weak\* topology, i.e.,

$$\overline{F}(\theta) \stackrel{\text{(det)}}{=} \inf \{ \liminf F(\chi_n) \mid \chi_n \to \theta, \text{ in } L^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{-weak}^* \}$$
$$\theta \in \overline{\mathscr{A}} = \overline{\mathscr{A}}^{L^{\infty}(\Omega)^*} = \{ \theta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \mid 0 \le \theta \le 1, \int_{\Omega} \theta = m \}$$

## Relaxation ... continued

Given  $\chi_n \rightarrow \theta$ , the calculation of the limit in both the objective and the state equation requires of fundamental results in *H*-mesures :

- [6] P. Gérard Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns. 1991
- [7] L. Tartar Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 1990

#### Theorem 7

For any  $\theta \in \overline{\mathscr{A}}$ , we have

$$\bar{F}(\theta) = \int_{\Omega} \theta \left[ \nabla v_0 + \varepsilon \nabla v_\infty(\theta) \right] \cdot \nabla v_0 - \varepsilon \theta (1 - \theta) |\nabla v_0|^2$$

where  $v_{\infty}(\theta) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is solution of

$$-\alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{\nu} - \lambda_0 \boldsymbol{\nu} = \lambda_1(\theta) \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \operatorname{div}(\alpha \theta \nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}_0)$$
(9)

$$\lambda_{1}(\chi) \stackrel{\text{(def)}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \alpha \theta |\nabla u_{0}|^{2}$$

$$v \perp u_{0} \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega)$$
(10)

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics

## Optimal design for second order model, various fractions A numerical experience





Figure: Optimal design for second order model for various fractions. The parameter  $\varepsilon$  takes the value 10<sup>-1</sup>.

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, Aug 23-Sep 04

# Comparison between first and second order model





Figure: Absolute value of the gap between optimal design for first and second order models. The parameter  $\varepsilon$  takes the value  $10^{-1}$  on the first line,  $10^{-3}$  on the second line.

Partial Differential Equations, Optimal Design and Numerics Centro de Ciencias de Benasque Pedro Pascual, Aug 23-Sep 04

- It is still not clear why Problem (1) in smooth domains with partial symmetry should fail to have classical solutions.
- Existence for general domains