



One-Shot Optimization with Steady and Unsteady PDEs

Prof. Dr. Nicolas R. Gauger

Chair for Scientific Computing

University of Kaiserslautern 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

nicolas.gauger@scicomp.uni-kl.de





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Optimality System

• Optimization Problem:

 $\min_{\phi \in \Phi} J(W,\phi) \quad s.t. \ R(W,\phi) = 0$

• Lagrangian:

 $L = J + \Lambda^T R$

• Optimality condition (KKT system, 1. order necessary cond.):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Lambda} = R \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial W} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \phi} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial \phi} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

State equation

Adjoint state equation

Design equation





Optimality System

• Optimization Problem:

 $\min_{\phi \in \Phi} J(W,\phi) \quad s.t. \ R(W,\phi) = 0$

• Lagrangian: instead $L = J + \Lambda^T R$, continuous L:

 $L = J + \left\langle \Lambda, R \right\rangle_{H^*, H}$

• Optimality condition (KKT system, 1. order necessary cond.):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Lambda} = R \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial W} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \phi} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial \phi} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

State equation

Adjoint state equation

Design equation





Fixed point iteration:

Optimality System

• Optimization Problem:

 $\min_{\phi \in \Phi} J(W,\phi) \quad s.t. \ R(W,\phi) = 0 \iff W = G(W,\phi)$

• Lagrangian: instead $L = J + \Lambda^T R$, continuous or discrete L:

 $L = J + \left\langle \Lambda, R \right\rangle_{H^*, H} \iff L(W, \Lambda, \phi) = J(W, \phi) + \Lambda^T (G(W, \phi) - W)$

• Optimality condition (KKT system, 1. order necessary cond.):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Lambda} = R \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial W} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \phi} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial R}{\partial \phi} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

State equation

Adjoint state equation

Design equation





Fixed point iteration:

Optimality System

• Optimization Problem:

 $\min_{\phi \in \Phi} J(W,\phi) \quad s.t. \ R(W,\phi) = 0 \iff W = G(W,\phi)$

• Lagrangian: instead $L = J + \Lambda^T R$, continuous or discrete L:

 $L = J + \left\langle \Lambda, R \right\rangle_{H^*, H} \iff L(W, \Lambda, \phi) = J(W, \phi) + \Lambda^T (G(W, \phi) - W)$

• Optimality condition (KKT system, 1. order necessary cond.):

Black-box differentiation:

$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Lambda} = G(W,\phi) - W \stackrel{!}{=} 0$	State equation
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W} + \Lambda^T \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial W} - I \right)^! = 0 \Leftrightarrow N_W^T(W, \Lambda, \phi) = \Lambda$	Adjoint state equation
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \phi} + \Lambda^T \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$	Design equation





Fixed point iteration:

Optimality System

• Optimization Problem:

 $\min_{\phi \in \Phi} J(W,\phi) \quad s.t. \ R(W,\phi) = 0 \iff W = G(W,\phi)$

• Lagrangian: instead $L = J + \Lambda^T R$, continuous or discrete L:

$$L = J + \left\langle \Lambda, R \right\rangle_{H^*, H} \iff L(W, \Lambda, \phi) = J(W, \phi) + \Lambda^T (G(W, \phi) - W)$$

Black-box differentiation:

$$G(W,\phi) - W = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial W} + \Lambda^T \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial W} - I \right)^! = 0 \Leftrightarrow N_W^T(W,\Lambda,\phi) = \Lambda$$

Primal contractivity: $||G_W|| = ||G_W^T|| \le \rho < 1 \implies \text{Adjoint contractivity:}$

Adjoint code inherits convergence properties of primal code

$$\frac{\partial N_{W}^{T}}{\partial \Lambda} \left\| = \left\| G_{W}^{T} \right\| \le \rho < 1$$





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Optimal Separation Control on Airbus HIREX Configuration

(Primal) Flow Simulation



- *Re=* : 1.5 x 10⁶, AoA=7^o
- ELAN Code (TU Berlin)





Actuation slot distribution on HIREX



• 33, 441 synthetic jet actuators Actuation boundary condition: Control variables: on wing and flap • No. of control variables : 167, 205 $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = A \left[\vec{n} + \frac{1}{\tan \beta_1} \vec{t_1} + \frac{1}{\tan \beta_2} \vec{t_2} \right] \cos(2\pi f(t - t_0)) \begin{pmatrix} A - \text{Amplitude} \\ \beta_{1,2} - \text{Angles} \\ t_0 - \text{Phase shift} \\ f - \text{Frequency} \end{pmatrix}$





Flow Solver and Techniques for Algorithmic Differentiation

- RANS flow solver: ELAN (TU Berlin)
 - Block-structured, FVM, incompressible, SIMPL
 - Fully implicit, MPI based parallelisation
 - Turbulence model : SST k-ω, LLR k-ω, ...
 - Coded in Fortran
- AD tool for adjoint : TAPENADE (INRIA Sophia Antipolis)
- Optional: Reverse Accumulation for SIMPL loops [Christianson]
- Checkpointing by REVOLVE [Griewank, Walther]
 - Usable for Fortran and C





Optimal Separation Control on Airbus HIREX Configuration

(Primal) Flow Simulation – Validation (Team: RWTH/TU KL, TU Berlin, Airbus)

TFB / SFB 557, DFG GA 857/5-1



Variation of mean lift with angle of attack

Variation of mean lift with actuation intensity

• Grid size : 48 Million cells

• Re=: 1.5 x 10⁶, AoA=7⁰





Scalability of ELAN Code (Primal / Adjoint)

- Simulations are performed on JUQUEEN (IBM Blue Gene/Q) at Jülich, Germany
- IBM PowerPC A2, 16 cores/node, 1.6 GHz, 1GB RAM/core







Initial actuated flow: $A / u_{\infty} = 0.00736, f = 200Hz, t_0 = 0, \beta_{1,2} = 90^{\circ}$







Amplitude sensitivities for the initial actuated flow



[Nemili, Özkaya, Gauger, Kramer, Höll, Thiele, 2014]





Validation of the 3D discrete adjoint URANS solver

Control parameter	Forward code	Adjoint code
Amplitude	-1.172328410564145E-05	-1.172328410564145E-05
Frequency	-2.753956866987843E-05	-2.753956866987 <mark>779</mark> E-05
Phase shift	2.101437468727700E-03	2.101437468727 <mark>652</mark> E-03
Blowing angle eta_1	-5.469583093515221E-12	-5.469583093515 <mark>383</mark> E-12
Blowing angle β_2	3.607784441402103E-12	3.6077844414021 <mark>58</mark> E-12

Comparison of sensitivities at a randomly selected actuation slot

[Nemili, Özkaya, Gauger, Kramer, Höll, Thiele, 2014]





Optimal active flow control on HIREX

Objective function

$$J = \overline{C}_{l} = \frac{1}{N - N^{*}} \sum_{n = N^{*} + 1}^{N} C_{l}^{n}$$

$$N - N^* \sum_{n=N^*+1}^{n} N^*$$

N = 800 and $N^* = 250$

- **Optimizer: Method of steepest ascent** ۲
- Number of cycles 11 ۲
- Optimal actuation increased the mean lift ٠ by 90 counts over the base flow by 60 counts over the initial actuation



Comparison of lift for base flow, Initial and optimal actuated flow

[Nemili, Özkaya, Gauger, Kramer, Höll, Thiele, 2014]





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation



Nested Approach

Scientific Computing





Nested Approach

Scientific Computing







One-Shot Approach







Problem Setup (Steady PDE)

Goal:
$$\min_{y,u} f(y,u) \quad s.t. \quad c(y,u) = 0$$

▶ $y \in Y$ state variables, $u \in U$ design variables

► PDE is solved by an iterative fixed-point solver *G*:

$$y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u) \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} y_* = G(y_*, u)$$

Contractivity: $\left\|rac{\partial {m {G}}}{\partial {m {y}}}
ight\| \leq
ho < 1$

• KKT-system for $L(y, \overline{y}, u) := f(y, u) + (G(y, u) - y)^T \overline{y}$:

$$y = G(y, u)$$

$$\bar{y} = \nabla_y f(y, u) + G_y(y, u)^T \bar{y}$$

$$0 = \nabla_u f(y, u) + G_u(y, u)^T \bar{y}$$

State Equation Adjoint Equation Design Equation





Classical Nested vs. One-Shot Optimization Approach

- Classical nested optimization approach Repeat for m = 1, ...
 - ▷ Solve for state $y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_m) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} y_*$
 - ▷ Solve adjoint $\bar{y}_{l+1} = \nabla_y f(y_*, u_m) + G_y(y_*, u_m)^T \bar{y}_l \xrightarrow{l \to \infty} \bar{y}_*$ ▷ Update design $u_{m+1} = u_m - B_m^{-1} (\nabla_u f(y_*, u_m) + G_u(y_*, u_m)^T \bar{y}_*)$





Classical Nested vs. One-Shot Optimization Approach

- Classical nested optimization approach Repeat for m = 1, ...
 - ▷ Solve for state y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_m) → y_{*}
 ▷ Solve adjoint y
 _{l+1} = ∇_y f(y_{*}, u_m) + G_y(y_{*}, u_m)^T y
 l → y{*}
 ▷ Update design u_{m+1} = u_m B_m⁻¹ (∇_u f(y_{*}, u_m) + G_u(y_{*}, u_m)^T y
 _{*})

One-Shot optimization approach Repeat for k = 1,...

- Update state y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_k)
 Update adjoint y
 _{k+1} = ∇_yf(y_k, u_k) + G_y(y_k, u_k)^Ty
 _k
 Update design u_{k+1} = u_k B_k⁻¹ (∇_uf(y_k, u_k) + G_u(y_k, u_k)^Ty
 _k)
- Choice of B ensures convergence of the One-Shot method. [Gauger, Griewank, Riehme, 2008], [Hamdi, Griewank, 2008], ...





$$\begin{aligned} & \text{One-Shot Approach} \\ & L(y, \bar{y}, u) = f(y, u) + (G(y, u) - y)^T \bar{y} \\ &= \underbrace{N(y, \bar{y}, u)}_{\text{shifted Lagrangian}} - y^T \bar{y} \\ & \text{Stationary point:} \end{aligned} \begin{cases} L_{\bar{y}} = G(y, u) - y = 0 \\ L_{y} = N_{y}(y, \bar{y}, u)^T - \bar{y} = 0 \\ L_{u} = N_{u}(y, \bar{y}, u)^T = 0 \end{aligned}$$

One-step one-shot (step k+1):

$$(OS) \begin{cases} y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_k) & \text{primal update} \\ \overline{y}_{k+1} = N_y(y_k, \overline{y}_k, u_k)^T & \text{adjoint update} \\ u_{k+1} = u_k - B_k^{-1} N_u(y_k, \overline{y}_k, u_k)^T & \text{design update} \end{cases}$$





One-Shot Approach

$$L(y, \overline{y}, u) = f(y, u) + (G(y, u) - y)^T \overline{y}$$

$$= \underbrace{N(y, \overline{y}, u)}_{\text{shifted Lagrangian}} - y^T \overline{y}$$

$$L_{\overline{y}} = G(y, u) - y = 0$$

$$L_y = N_y (y, \overline{y}, u)^T - \overline{y} = 0$$

$$L_u = N_u (y, \overline{y}, u)^T = 0$$

One-step one-shot (step k+1):

Piggy-Back
$$y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_k)$$
primal update $\bar{y}_{k+1} = N_y(y_k, \bar{y}_k, u_k)^T$ adjoint update $u_{k+1} = u_k - B_k^{-1} N_u(y_k, \bar{y}_k, u_k)^T$ design update

[Griewank,Faure 2002]





One-Shot Approach

One-step one-shot (step k+1):

$$(OS) \begin{cases} y_{k+1} = G(y_k, u_k) & \text{primal update} \\ \overline{y}_{k+1} = N_y (y_k, \overline{y}_k, u_k)^T & \text{adjoint update} \\ u_{k+1} = u_k - B_k^{-1} N_u (y_k, \overline{y}_k, u_k)^T & \text{design update} \end{cases}$$

Aims: Choose B such that:

- Convergence of (OS)
- Bounded retardation
 i.e. O(opt) / O(sim) < const

Jacobian:

$$J_{*} = \frac{\partial(y_{k+1}, \overline{y}_{k+1}, u_{k+1})}{\partial(y_{k}, \overline{y}_{k}, u_{k})}\Big|_{(y^{*}, \overline{y}^{*}, u^{*})} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{y} & 0 & G_{u} \\ N_{yy} & G_{y}^{T} & N_{yu} \\ -B^{-1}N_{uy} & -B^{-1}G_{u}^{T} & I - B^{-1}N_{uu} \end{pmatrix}$$

1





Doubly Augmented Lagrangian

- > Deriving (sufficient) conditions on *B* for J_* to have a spectral radius smaller than 1 has proven difficult.
- Instead, we look for descent on the augmented Lagrangian

$$L^{a}(y,\overline{y},u) \coloneqq \frac{\alpha}{2} \underbrace{\left\| G(y,u) - y \right\|^{2}}_{\text{primal residual}} + \frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\left\| N_{y}(y,\overline{y},u)^{T} - \overline{y} \right\|^{2}}_{\text{adjoint residual}} + \underbrace{N - \overline{y}^{T}y}_{\text{Lagrangian}},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

> Gradient of L^a:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{y}L^{a} \\ \nabla_{\overline{y}}L^{a} \\ \nabla_{\overline{y}}L^{a} \\ \nabla_{u}L^{a} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \alpha(I-G_{y})^{T} & -I-\beta N_{yy} & 0 \\ -I & \beta(I-G_{y}) & 0 \\ -\alpha G_{u}^{T} & -\beta N_{yu}^{T} & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G(y,u)-y \\ N_{y}(y,\overline{y},u)^{T}-\overline{y} \\ -B^{-1}N_{u}(y,\overline{y},u)^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

=: *M*

one-shot increment





Descent Direction for *L*^a

Theorem (Correspondence condition):

L^a is an exact penalty function, if $\alpha\beta(I-G_y)^T(I-G_y) \succ I + \beta N_{yy}$.

Theorem (Descent condition): The One-Shot increment vector $s(y, \overline{y}, u) := \begin{bmatrix} G(y, u) - y \\ N_y(y, \overline{y}, u)^T - \overline{y} \\ -B^{-1}N_u(y, \overline{y}, u)^T \end{bmatrix}$

is a descent direction for all large positive B if and only if

$$\alpha\beta(I - \frac{1}{2}(G_{y} + G_{y}^{T})) \succ (I + \frac{\beta}{2}N_{yy})(I - \frac{1}{2}(G_{y} + G_{y}^{T}))^{-1}(I + \frac{\beta}{2}N_{yy}).$$

> Both conditions are implied by $\sqrt{\alpha\beta}(1-\rho) > 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|N_{yy}\|$.

[Hamdi, Griewank, 2008], ...

[Gauger, Griewank, Hamdi, Kratzenstein, Özkaya, Slawig, 2012]





Convergence of One-Shot

> Choose
$$\beta = \frac{2}{c}$$
, $\alpha = \frac{2c}{(1-\rho)^2}$ with $c = \left\| N_{yy} \right\|$

Choose B such that

$$B \geq B_0 := \alpha G_u^T G_u + \beta N_{yu}^T N_{yu} + N_{uu}.$$

then s yields descent on L^a.

 \Rightarrow Convergence of One-Shot approach.

[Hamdi, Griewank, 2008], ...

[Gauger, Griewank, Hamdi, Kratzenstein, Özkaya, Slawig, 2012]

In practice: BFGS-updates for the Hessian

$$\nabla_{u}^{2}L^{a} = \underbrace{\alpha G_{u}^{T}G_{u} + \beta N_{yu}^{T}N_{yu} + N_{uu}}_{B} + \alpha \underbrace{(G-y)}_{\rightarrow_{*}0}^{T}G_{uu} + \beta \underbrace{(N_{y}^{T}-\overline{y})}_{\rightarrow_{*}0}^{T}N_{yuu}.$$
The gradient $\nabla_{u}L^{a} = \alpha (G-y)^{T}G_{u} + \beta (N_{y}-\overline{y})^{T}N_{yu} + N_{u}$
is evaluated by Algorithmic Differentiation (AD).

[Özkaya, Gauger, 2008]





Efficient One-Shot Approach

ONERA M6, M=0.83, α =3.01°

Drag reduction by constant lift DLR TAU Code (Euler)

Initial geometry:

- \neg C_D^{init} = 106 drag counts
- \neg C_L^{init} = 27.6 lift counts

Optimized geometry:

- \neg C_D^{opt} = 72 drag counts
- \neg C_L^{opt} = 26.5 lift counts

O(opt) / O(sim) = 5 (wall clock time) = 2 (# iterations)

32% drag reduction





Scientific Computing



Scaling with Number of Parameters



[llic, Gauger, Schmidt, Schulz, 2009]





Multilevel Descent

>2-level: coarse grid **18k** design parameters, fine **36k**



> 2-level iteration brings factor ~2 in optimization time

[llic, Gauger, Schmidt, Schulz, 2009]





One-Shot Optimization of VELA (DLR)





Design study for blended wing-body configurations

- 115,673 surface node positions to be optimised
- Planform constant





[Schmidt, Schulz, Ilic, Gauger, 2011]







Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Problem Setup (Unsteady PDE)

Goal:

$$\min_{y,u} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(y(t), u) dt \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$\frac{\partial y(t)}{\partial t} + c(y(t), u) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$
$$y(0) = y_*^0$$

- Use existing, well-established simulation tools.
- Implicit time marching scheme:

$$\frac{y(t_i) - y(t_{i-1})}{t_i - t_{i-1}} + c(y(t_i), u) = 0$$

for each discrete time step $0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_N = T$.





Solving the Unsteady PDE

Given: Fixed point iterator *G* to solve the residuum equations at each time step:

for $t_1 < ... < t_N$:

iterate
$$y_{k+1}(t_i) = G(y_k(t_i), y_*(t_{i-1}), u) \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} y_*(t_i)$$

• Contractivity:
$$\left\| \frac{\partial G(y(t_i), y(t_{i-1}), u)}{\partial y(t_i)} \right\| \le \rho < 1$$

How to incorporate design updates for One-Shot?





Prepare for One-Shot

Modification of time marching scheme:

iterate
$$k=0,1,\ldots$$
 :
for $t_1<\ldots< t_N$: $y_{k+1}(t_i)=G(y_k(t_i),y_{k+1}(t_{i-1}),u)$ (*)

Update a complete trajectory within one iteration.

• Consider $y = (y(t_1), \ldots, y(t_N)) = (y^1, \ldots, y^N) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^N$ then

iterate k = 0, 1, ...: $y_{k+1} = H(y_k, u)$

where H performs (*).





Contractivity of H

• The Jacobian $\frac{\partial H(y,u)}{\partial y}$ is block-triangular:

$$\frac{\partial H(y,u)}{\partial y} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{y^1} G(y^1, y^0, u) & 0 & 0 \\ & * & \ddots & 0 \\ & * & * & \partial_{y^N} G(y^N, y^{N-1}, u) \end{pmatrix}$$

Its spectral radius is bounded:

$$\operatorname{spr}\left(\frac{\partial H(y,u)}{\partial y}\right) = \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,N\}} \operatorname{spr}\left(\partial_{y^i} G(y^i, y^{i-1}, u)\right) \le \rho < 1$$

 \implies *H* is **contractive**.

[Günther, Gauger, 2013]





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Discrete Unsteady Optimization Problem

$$\min_{y,u} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(y^i, u) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y = H(y, u)$$

y ∈ (ℝ^m)^N state variable, u ∈ ℝⁿ design variable
Fixed point iterator H to solve the unsteady PDE

$$y_{k+1} = H(y_k, u) \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} y_* = H(y_*, u)$$

• Contractivity:
$$\left\|\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right\| \leq \rho < 1$$

 \implies Same structure as in steady case.

 \implies One-Shot method can be applied.

[Günther, Gauger, 2013]





Unsteady One-Shot Iterations

- Lagrangian function $L(y, \overline{y}, u) = J(y, u) + (H(y, u) y)^T \overline{y}$
- Iterate simultaneously

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{k+1} \\ \bar{y}_{k+1} \\ u_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H(y_k, u_k) \\ \nabla_y J(y_k, u_k) + H_y(y_k, u_k)^T \bar{y}_k \\ u_k - B_k^{-1} \left(\nabla_u J(y_k, u_k) + H_u(y_k, u_k)^T \bar{y}_k \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

- y_{k+1} contains loop over all time steps forward in time
- \bar{y}_{k+1} contains loop over all time steps backwards in time





Optimal Active Flow Control

- Flow around cylinder at Re=100 governed by incompressible unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations (URANS)
- 15 actuation slots for pulsed blowing/suction
- Design parameters: Amplitude at each slot
- Objective function: Average drag coefficient







Unsteady One-Shot Optimization for Cylinder Flow

- Flow Solver ELAN (developed at ISTA, TU Berlin)
- Implicit 2nd order in space and time
- Pressure correction loops in each time step (SIMPLE algorithm)
- Modification for One-Shot framework
- Automatic Differentiation for generation of adjoint solver



[Günther, Gauger, Wang, 2015]





Efficiency of Unsteady One-Shot Approach?

- Bounded retardation for One-Shot applications
- Performance of modified time-marching scheme:



- Number of outer iteration cycles for primal convergence depends linearly on *N*.
- Each iteration cycle contains a loop over entire time domain.





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





Model Problem: Van der Pol Oscillator

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{v}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ -x(t) + u (1 - x(t)^2) v(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ v(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Autonomous ODE system
- Backward Euler discretization
- Quasi-Newton fixed point solver
- Modification for One-Shot framework



► Numerical time dilation is the main error source.





Adaptive Time Scaling

• Assign $\tilde{y}(t_i) := y(\tilde{t}_i)$ where \tilde{t}_i minimizes the residuum equation

$$\min_{\tilde{t}_{i}} \left\| \frac{y(t_{i}) - y(t_{i-1})}{\tilde{t}_{i} - t_{i-1}} + c(y(t_{i}), u) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

- For autonomous ODEs: $\tilde{t}_i = t_{i-1} - \frac{\langle y(t_i) - y(t_{i-1}), c(y(t_i), u) \rangle}{\|c(y(t_i), u)\|_2^2}$
- New trajectories are in phase with the unsteady solution.







Adaptive Time Scaling



Residuals with time scaling



 Independence of number of time steps

[Günther, Gauger, Wang, 2015]





Outline

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation





One-Shot Optimization

$$\min_{\mu, y} \int_0^T \|y - y_{ref}\|^2 + \gamma \|\mu\|^2 dt \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{v}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ -x(t) + \mu \left(1 - x(t)^2 \right) v(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

 BFGS-updates for the preconditioner

 $\begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ v(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}$

Retardation factor = 7



[Günther, Gauger, Wang, 2015]





Advection-Diffusion Equation

$$egin{aligned} \dot{y}(t,x) + a y_x(t,x) &= 0 & orall x \in (0,1), t > 0 \ y(t,0) &= u \cdot (\sin(2\pi t) + 1) & orall t > 0 \ y(0,x) &= 0 & orall x \in [0,1] \end{aligned}$$

with a = 1.0 and $\mu = 10^{-5}$.

- Non-autonomous ODE
- Backward Euler discretization
- Quasi-Newton fixed point solver
- Modification for One-Shot framework



Numerical time dilation plus error in amplitude





Transformation into Autonomous System

- Transform non-autonomous PDE into a system of autonomous PDEs
- State w(t) = (y(t), s(t)) satisfying

$$\begin{split} \dot{y}(t,x) + ay_x(t,x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in (0,1), t > 0 \\ y(t,0) - u \cdot (\sin(2\pi s(t)) + 1) &= 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \\ y(0,x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in [0,1] \\ \dot{s}(t) &= 1 \quad \forall t > 0 \\ s(0) &= 0 \end{split}$$

Adaptive time scaling

$$\tilde{t}_i = t_{i-1} - \frac{\langle w(t_i) - w(t_{i-1}), c(w(t_i), u) \rangle}{\|c(w(t_i), u)\|_2^2}$$

[Günther, Gauger, Wang, in prep.]





Adaptive Time Scaling



[Günther, Gauger, Wang, in prep.]





Content Presented

- Consistent and Robust Discrete Adjoints
- Application to Separation Control on the 3D High-Lift Configuration HIREX
- One-Shot Approach for Optimization with Steady PDEs
- Adjustments for Optimization with Unsteady PDEs
- One-Shot Optimization with Unsteady RANS
- Improving the Efficiency
- Numerical Results for Van der Pol Oscillator and Advection-Diffusion Equation

Thanks for your attention!