

Potential theory of the porous medium equation, obstacle problems, and all that

Teemu Lukkari
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Jyväskylä
`teemu.j.lukkari@jyu.fi`

- The aim is to give an overview of the potential theory of the porous medium equation.
- Superharmonic functions, Perron solutions, Riesz measures, etc. have their counterparts.
- The obstacle problem is a crucial tool.

Porous medium equation

- The porous medium equation (PME for short) is

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u^m = 0$$

with $m > 1$.

- Weak solutions: $u^m \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} -u \partial_t \varphi + \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \, dt = 0$$

for all smooth φ .

- Weak supersolutions: require “ \geq ” for positive φ .

- The other prototype is the p -parabolic equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u = 0$$

with $p > 2$.

- Weak solutions: $u \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} -u \partial_t \varphi + |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \, dt = 0$$

for all smooth φ .

Nonlinear analogue of superharmonic functions

A function u is a semicontinuous supersolution, if it is

- ① lower semicontinuous, and
- ② satisfies the comparison principle with respect to continuous weak solutions:

For $U_{t_1, t_2} \in \Omega_T$, if $v \in C(\overline{U}_{t_1, t_2})$ is a weak solution in U_{t_1, t_2} with $v \leq u$ on $\partial_p U_{t_1, t_2}$, then $v \leq u$ in U_{t_1, t_2} .

Definition due to F. Riesz.

- The Barenblatt solution is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_m(x, t) = \begin{cases} t^{-\lambda} \left(C - \frac{\lambda(m-1)}{2mn} \frac{|x|^2}{t^{2\lambda/n}} \right)_+^{1/(m-1)}, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda = n/(n(m-1) + 2)$, and $C > 0$ can be chosen freely.

- Barenblatt, Zeldovich-Kompaneets

- Nonlinear counterpart of the fundamental solution.
- Found by looking for solutions in the form

$$u(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} F(|x|t^\beta).$$

- \mathcal{B}_m is a semicontinuous supersolution in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , but not a weak supersolution in any open set containing the origin.

The friendly giant I

- The friendly giant is given by

$$G_m(x, t) = \begin{cases} t^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} F(x), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $F \geq 0$ solves the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta F^m + \frac{1}{m-1} F = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ F = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

in a bounded domain Ω .

- Constructed by Dahlberg-Kenig.

The friendly giant II

- Since $F > 0$ in Ω ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_m(x, t) = \infty$$

for all $x \in \Omega$.

- G_m is a semicontinuous supersolution in $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ but not a weak supersolution.
- In fact G_m^m is not integrable in any cylinder intersecting the line $t = 0$.
- No counterpart in linear theory!

What about obstacle problems?

- By lower semicontinuity, one may approximate a semicontinuous supersolution by an increasing sequence of smooth functions.
- Solve the obstacle problem with these smooth functions as obstacles.
- Outcome: any semicontinuous supersolution is an increasing limit of weak supersolutions. (Lindqvist)

- A consequence of the approximation is the existence of Riesz measures of semicontinuous supersolutions.
- For a class of semicontinuous supersolutions u , there is a measure μ such that

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u^m = \mu.$$

(Kinnunen-Lindqvist).

- Conversely, one may start from the measure, and construct a semicontinuous supersolution so that the above equation holds.

Why obstacle problems?

- Heuristic principle: solutions to obstacle problems are as regular as weak solutions, as long as the obstacle allows it.
- The point of using obstacle problems is to have the ability to construct supersolutions with favorable regularity properties.
- Regularity in time is a particularly delicate issue.

- We want to solve the Dirichlet problem with continuous boundary values $g : \partial_p \Omega_T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.
- The *upper class* \mathfrak{U}_g : semicontinuous supersolutions v which satisfy

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow \xi} v(z) \geq g(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \partial_p \Omega_T$. The *upper Perron solution* is then

$$\bar{H}_g(z) = \inf_{v \in \mathfrak{U}_g} v(z).$$

- Lower Perron solution \underline{H}_g has a similar definition in terms of semicontinuous subsolutions below g on the boundary.

- Immediate from definitions: $\underline{H}_g \leq \overline{H}_g$.
- Resolutivity: for which functions g it holds $\underline{H}_g = \overline{H}_g$?
- The common function is the Perron solution H_g .

- Wiener's resolutivity theorem for the PME:

$$\underline{H}_g = \overline{H}_g$$

holds for all continuous g in any space-time cylinder Ω_T .
(Kinnunen-Lindqvist-L.)

- Crucial in the proof: find supersolutions v such that $\partial_t v^m$ is an L^2 function.
- Such supersolutions come from the obstacle problem.

- One can also use semicontinuous supersolutions to construct solutions to the obstacle problem.
- The procedure is analogous to the balayage concept from classical potential theory.

Smallest solution

- Consider an obstacle ψ , positive, continuous and compactly supported in Ω_T .
- Define

$$\mathcal{U}_\psi = \{v \text{ semicontinuous supersolution} : v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_T\}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_\psi(x, t) = \inf\{v(x, t) : v \in \mathcal{U}_\psi\}.$$

- The smallest solution to the obstacle problem u_ψ is then

$$u_\psi(x, t) = \operatorname{ess\,liminf}_{(y,s) \rightarrow (x,t)} \tilde{u}_\psi(y, s),$$

the lower semicontinuous regularization of \tilde{u}_ψ .

- Parviainen-Lindqvist (p -parabolic equation) , Avelin-L. (PME).

- A function u is a variational solution to the PME obstacle problem if

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \partial_t u (v^m - u^m) + \nabla u^m \cdot (\nabla v^m - \nabla u^m) \, dx \, dt \geq 0$$

for comparison functions $v \geq \psi$.

- Bögelein-L.-Scheven.

The connection between variational solutions and the smallest solution

- The smallest solution is a pointwise limit of variational solutions. (Avelin-L.)
- By stability of variational solutions, the smallest solution is a variational solution for sufficiently regular obstacles.

- Are variational solutions to the PME obstacle problem unique?
- Are semicontinuous supersolutions to the PME the same as viscosity supersolutions?
- For the p -parabolic equation, the answer to both questions is yes.

- One way to solve the uniqueness: show that a variational solution is the smallest supersolution.
- A variational solution u is a weak solution to the PME in $\{u > \psi\}$.
- Since $u = \psi$ on $\partial\{u > \psi\}$, by comparison $u \leq v$ whenever v is a supersolution with $\psi \leq v$. Thus u must be the smallest solution.

- The gap in the above argument is that $\{u > \psi\}$ is a general open set in R^{n+1} , not a space-time cylinder.
- A sufficiently strong comparison principle in general open sets for the PME is not known.

- One would like to prove a comparison principle for viscosity solutions.
- This turns out to be a bit complicated even for simple equations like

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) = 0,$$

where the coefficient a is a smooth, strictly positive.

- Formally one may write the PME as

$$\partial_t - \operatorname{div}(mu^{m-1}\nabla u) = 0.$$

- The coefficient mu^{m-1} can be rough and vanish on a large set...

Thank you for your attention.