Fractional quantum Hall effect Conformal Field Theory and Matrix Product States

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE, Paris)

Entanglement in Strongly Correlated Systems

Benasque



- Landau levels
- Practional quantum Hall effect
 - Laughlin state
 - 3 The chiral boson
 - and the Laughlin state
- 4 Conformal field theory...
 - as an ansatz for FQH states
- 5 Matrix Product States
 - a powerful numerical method

Integer quantum Hall effect



Classical Hall effect

Hall effect : a 2D electron gas in a perpendicular magnetic field.

 \Rightarrow current \perp voltage $R_{xy} \propto B$



Integer Quantum Hall effect (IQHE)



IQHE : von Klitzing (1980) Quantized Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy} = \nu \frac{e^2}{h}$ ν is an integer up to $O(10^{-9})$ Used in metrology

A single electron in 2D and in a \perp magnetic field *B*. Uniform \perp magnetic field : gauge choice $H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p} - e\vec{A} \right)^2, \qquad \vec{A} = \frac{B}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} -y \\ x \end{array} \right)$

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{eB}{2}y \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2m} \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{eB}{2}x \right)^2$$

energy scale cyclotron frequency ω_c = ^{|eB|}/_m,
 length scale : magnetic length I_B = √^ħ/_{|eB|}

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{c} \left[\left(-il_{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{2l_{B}} \right)^{2} + \left(-il_{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{2l_{B}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

Landau levels

In (dimensionless) complex coordinate $z = (x + iy)/I_B$, and setting

$$a = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{z}{2} \right), \qquad a^{\dagger} = -\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\bar{z}}{2} \right)$$

Familiar form of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega_c \left(a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \qquad [a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$$

Discrete spectrum, large degeneracy

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Lowest Landau Level (N = 0)

Since $a = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} + \frac{z}{2} \right)$, ground states are of the form

 $\Psi(z,\bar{z})=f(z)\,e^{-z\bar{z}/4}$

with f(z) is any holomorphic function $(\partial_{\overline{z}}f = 0)$.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 chirality : $(x, y) \rightarrow z = (x + iy)$

Ground states, a.k.a. Lowest Landau level (LLL) states

$$\Psi(x,y) = f(x + iy) e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/4l_B^2}$$

Projection to the LLL : x and y no longer commute $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = i l_B^2$

$$\Delta_x \Delta_y \ge l_B^2/2$$

 \Rightarrow each electron occupies an area $2\pi l_B^2$ magnetic flux through this area = quantum of flux $\Phi = h/e$

LLL degeneracy \sim number N_{Φ} of flux quanta through the surface

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Landau problem on arbitrary surfaces

Lowest Landau Level on arbitrary surface :



The magnetic flux has to be quantized $\int d^2 x B = N_{\Phi} \frac{h}{e}$, with N_{Φ} integer.

The ground state degeneracy on a surface of genus g is

$$N_{\Phi} + (1-g)$$

- it depends on the topology (genus).
- it does NOT depend on the geometry (metric)

Back on flat space : magnetic translations

translation invariance : \vec{x} and $\vec{x} + \vec{u}$ are gauge equivalent

$$\vec{A} = \frac{B}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} -y \\ x \end{array} \right)$$



$$R_{\vec{u}}R_{\vec{v}} = e^{i\frac{qB}{\hbar}\vec{u}\wedge\vec{v}}R_{\vec{v}}R_{\vec{u}}$$



Infinitesimal generators of translations commute with H, but

$$[T_x, T_y] = -i \neq 0$$

Let us choose momentum along the y direction as a quantum number.

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)



$$\Psi_{k_y}(x,y) = e^{iyk_y}e^{-\frac{(x-k_y)^2}{2}}$$
 $(I_B = 1)$

Momentum k_y and position x are locked :

 $x \sim l_B^2 k_y$

- $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = i l_B^2$ implies that $\hbar \hat{x} = l_B^2 \hat{p}_y$.
- localized in \hat{x} and delocalized in \hat{y}
- the interorbital distance is $\frac{2\pi}{L}I_B^2$



Density profile of the LLL orbital $\Psi_{k_y}(x, y)$.

Projection to the LLL : dimensional reduction

Projection to the LLL : x and y no longer commute $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = i l_B^2$ (link with non-commutative geometry).

4 dimensional phase space \Rightarrow 2 dimensional phase space A basis of LLL states



looks like a one-dimensional chain





But !

Physical short range interactions become long range in this description (distance of order I_B means $\sim L/I_B$ sites).

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

The IQHE : bulk insulator

Cartoon picture : no interactions, no disorder





- Landau Levels = flat bands
- Integer filling with fermions
 ⇒ Bulk insulator.

How come we have $I \propto V$ then?

The IQHE : conducting edges



Topological insulator

This quantization is insensitive to disorder or strong periodic potential :

topological invariant : the Chern number

Disclaimer : this is just a cartoon picture. Does not explain plateaux.

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)



FQHE trial wavefunctions

Fractional filling : the role of interactions

N fermions in N_{Φ} orbital/states (filling fraction $\nu = N/N_{\phi} < 1$) (or N bosons at any filling fractions)

without interactions we would expect a **metallic bulk** ! Experimentally, emergence of exotic and non perturbative physics :

- insulating bulk,
- metallic chiral edge modes,
- excitations with fractional charges,

due to electron-electron interactions

Strongly correlated system, no small parameter. What can we do?

- Exact diagonalization
- Effective field theories (theories of anyons)
- Trial wavefunctions



Trial wave functions

The $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state.

filling fraction $\nu = 1/3$ + short range model interaction \Rightarrow exact ground-state :

$$\Psi_{\frac{1}{3}} = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3$$

The model interaction is the short range part of Coulomb.

Extremely high overlap with Coulomb interaction ! (obtained by exact diagonalization)

First hints of a topological phase :

- excitations with fractional charge e/3
- topology dependent ground state degeneracy : 3^g exact ground states.

Cartoon picture : thin cylinder limit $(L \ll I_B)$



Very small cylinder perimeter *L* : **LLL orbitals no longer overlap** 1d problem

At filling fraction u = 1/3, we get three possible states

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_1\rangle &= |\cdots 100100100\cdots\rangle \\ |\Psi_2\rangle &= |\cdots 010010010\cdots\rangle \\ |\Psi_3\rangle &= |\cdots 001001001\cdots\rangle \end{split}$$

3-fold degenerate ground state on the cylinder (and torus).

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Bulk excitations/defects : anyons

Adiabatic insertion of a flux quantum at position w

creates a hole in the electronic liquid :

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{w}} = \prod_{i} (\mathbf{w} - z_i) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3$$

Cartoon picture : $|\cdots 1001000100\cdots\rangle$



Electronic density around a quasihole (N. Regnault)

fractionalization : the missing electronic charge is e/3 these excitations are called **quasi-holes**.



under adiabatic exchange of two quasi-holes

 \Rightarrow phase $e^{2i\pi/3}$ non trivial braiding!



Massless edge modes

$$\Psi_{\boldsymbol{u}} = P_{\boldsymbol{u}} \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3$$

where P_u is any symmetric, homogeneous polynomial.

Cartoon picture : no more than 1 electron in 3 orbitals.

- dispersion relation : $E \propto P$ chiral and gapless edge
- Number of edge states :
 - E = 0 : 1 state
 - ► E = 1 : 1 state
 - E = 2 : 2 states
 - E = 3 : 3 states
 - E = 4 : 5 states
 - E = 5 : 7 states
 - • •





(cartoon picture)

spectrum of massless chiral boson.

Massless edge modes

$$\Psi_{\boldsymbol{u}} = P_{\boldsymbol{u}} \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3$$

where P_u is any symmetric, homogeneous polynomial.

Cartoon picture : no more than 1 electron in 3 orbitals.

- dispersion relation : *E* \propto *P* chiral and gapless edge
- Number of edge states :











(d) E = 2

spectrum of massless chiral boson.

Entanglement entropy

Cut the system in two parts A and B (the boundary has length L)

The entanglement entropy is

 $S_{\mathcal{A}} = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}\log\rho_{\mathcal{A}})$

with ρ_A the reduced density matrix.

For a topological phase :

 $S_A \sim lpha L - \log \mathcal{D}$

where $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$ is the quantum dimension.

For $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin : $\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{3}$



Entanglement entropy of the $\nu = 1/3$ Laughlin state as a function of the cylinder perimeter L (N. Regnault)

Entanglement spectrum

Schmidt decomposition

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha} \exp(-\xi_{\alpha}/2) |A, \alpha\rangle \otimes |B, \alpha\rangle \\ \rho_{a} &= \sum_{\alpha} \exp(-\xi_{\alpha}) |A, \alpha\rangle \langle A, \alpha| \end{split}$$



Entanglement spectrum

Li and Haldane (2008) : spectrum of $\xi = -\log \rho_A$ (plot ξ vs momentum)

⇒ Reproduces the physical edge spectrum !



Entanglement spectrum of the $\nu=1/3$ Laughlin state on the sphere

Topological phases

A system is in a topological phase if, at low energy, all observables are invariant under smooth deformation of the underlying space-time manifold, i.e. when its low energy effective field theory is a TQFT (with a gap).

• Ground state degeneracy depends on the genus



• Excitations ("quasi-holes") with fractional charges, possibly non-abelian anyons (non trivial action of the braid group)



Link between 2 + 1 TQFT and 1 + 1 CFT Quasi-hole wavefunctions are conformal blocks. • degeneracy = number of conformal blocks

• braiding = monodromies

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Chiral boson and Laughlin

using the edge theory to describe the bulk

The free boson a.k.a. U(1) CFT

Massless gaussian field in 1 + 1 dimensions

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^2 z \, \partial \phi \, \bar{\partial} \phi$$

The mode decomposition of the chiral free boson is

$$\phi(z) = \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}} - i\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{0}}\log(z) + i\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}z^{-n}$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}] = n\delta_{n+m,0}, \qquad [\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{0}}] = i$$

U(1) symmetry : $\phi(z) \rightarrow \phi(z) + \theta$ conserved current :

$$J(z) = i\partial\phi(z) = \sum_{n} a_n z^{-n-1}$$

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Vertex operators :

$$V_Q(z) =: e^{iQarphi(z)}:$$

Primary states/ vacua $|Q\rangle$ are defined by their U(1) charge Q

$$a_0|Q
angle=Q|Q
angle, \qquad a_n|Q
angle=0 ext{ for } n>0$$

The Hilbert space is simply a Fock space

Descendants are obtained with the lowering operators $a_n^{\dagger} = a_{-n}$, n > 0

•
$$\Delta E = 0 : \mathbf{1}$$
 state : $|Q\rangle$

•
$$\Delta E = 1: oldsymbol{1}$$
 state : $a_{-1} \ket{Q}$

•
$$\Delta E = 2$$
 : 2 states : $a_{-1}^2 |Q\rangle$, $a_{-2} |Q\rangle$

•
$$\Delta E = 3$$
 : 3 states : $a_{-1}^3 |Q\rangle$, $a_{-2}a_{-1}|Q\rangle$, $a_{-3} |Q\rangle$

• $\Delta E = 4:5$ states : $a_{-1}^4 |Q\rangle$, $a_{-2}a_{-1}^2 |Q\rangle$, $a_{-2}^2 |Q\rangle$, $a_{-3}a_{-1} |Q\rangle$, $a_{-4} |Q\rangle$

The Laughlin state written in terms of a U(1) CFT

Ground state wavefunction

$$\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} V(z_1) \cdots V(z_N) | 0 \rangle, \qquad V(z) =: e^{i\sqrt{3}\varphi(z)}:$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\text{b.c.}} = e^{-i\sqrt{3}N\varphi_0}$ is just a neutralizing background charge.

Bulk excitations

Wavefunction for p quasiholes

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} V_{\mathrm{qh}}(w_1) \cdots V_{\mathrm{qh}}(w_p) V(z_1) \cdots V(z_N) \rangle$$

with

$$V_{\mathsf{qh}}(w) =: e^{rac{i}{\sqrt{3}}\varphi(w)}:$$

Edge excitations

$$\Psi_{\boldsymbol{u}} = \langle \boldsymbol{u} | \mathcal{O}_{ ext{b.c.}} V(z_1) \cdots V(z_N) | 0 \rangle$$

• edge mode = CFT descendant

• we recover
$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, \cdots$$

Conformal field theories (CFT)



CFT = Quantum Field Theory + conformal invariance

conformal = angle preserving

$$z \to f(z) = \sum_n f_n z^n$$

Symmetry generators $\{L_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}n(n^2-1)\delta_{n+m,0}$$

In particular L_0 generates dilatations.

conformal invariance comes from criticality.

- 2D classical stat mech models : scale invariance
- 1+1 quantum models : masslessness



Calculating in CFT : primary fields

Observables in a QFT = correlation functions

 $\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\cdots\phi_n(x_n)\rangle$

In a CFT fields ϕ_i (observables) have a scaling dimension Δ_i :

$$\phi_i(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\Delta_i} \phi_i(x), \qquad [L_0, \phi_i] = \Delta_i \phi_i$$

Fields fall into representations of the Virasoro algebra :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{a} & \Phi_{a} \\ \Delta_{a}+1 & L_{-1}\Phi_{a} \\ \Delta_{a}+2 & L_{-1}^{2}\Phi_{a}, L_{-2}\Phi_{a} \\ \Delta_{a}+3 & L_{-1}^{3}\Phi_{a}, L_{-2}L_{-1}\Phi_{a}, L_{-3}\Phi_{a} \end{array}$$

Finitely many primary fields Φ_a .

. . .

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

÷

Calculating in CFT : Operator Product Expansion

as
$$z o w$$
 : $\Phi_i(z) \Phi_j(w) \sim \sum_k F^k_{ij}(z,w) \Phi_k(w)$

Conformal symmetry fixes everything, and OPEs are exact !

$$\Phi_{a}(z)\Phi_{b}(w) = \sum_{c} \frac{C_{ab}^{c}}{(z-w)^{\Delta_{a}+\Delta_{b}-\Delta_{c}}} \left(\Phi_{c}(w) + \gamma_{ab}^{c}(z-w)L_{-1}\Phi_{c}(w) + \cdots\right)$$

closely related to anyon models : fusion rules $\Phi_a \times \Phi_b = N^c_{a\,b} \Phi_c$

OPEs reduces *n*-point correlation functions to (n-1)-point ones!

$$\langle \underbrace{\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)}_{OPE}\cdots\phi_n(x_n)\rangle$$

CFT : operator picture

From the 1 + 1D perspective : cylinder of perimeter *L*.



$$\langle \phi_1(x_1, t_1)\phi_2(x_2, t_2)\cdots\phi_n(x_n, t_n)\rangle = \langle 0|\hat{\phi}_n(x_n)\cdots\hat{\phi}_3(x_3)e^{-\hat{H}(t_3-t_2)}\hat{\phi}_2(x_2)e^{-\hat{H}(t_2-t_1)}\hat{\phi}_1(x_1)e^{-\hat{H}t_1}|0\rangle$$

Dilatations on the plane become translations in the time direction :

$$\hat{H} \sim rac{2\pi}{L} L_0$$

CFT : Hilbert space

Product of matrices with auxiliary space = CFT Hilbert space.

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\cdots} \langle 0|\hat{\phi}_n(x_n)\cdots|\beta\rangle e^{-\frac{2\pi}{L}(t_3-t_2)\Delta_\beta} \langle \beta|\hat{\phi}_2(x_2)|\alpha\rangle e^{-\frac{2\pi}{L}(t_2-t_1)\Delta_\alpha} \langle \alpha|\hat{\phi}_1(x_1)|0\rangle$$

So how does the CFT Hilbert space looks like?

state-operator correspondence : $|a\rangle = \Phi_a(0)|0\rangle$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{a} & |a\rangle \\ \Delta_{a}+1 & L_{-1}|a\rangle \\ \Delta_{a}+2 & L_{-1}^{2}|a\rangle, L_{-2}|a\rangle \\ \Delta_{a}+3 & L_{-1}^{3}|a\rangle, L_{-2}L_{-1}|a\rangle, L_{-3}|a\rangle \\ \vdots & \cdots \end{array}$$

Truncated CFT : efficient way to approximate correlation functions.

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

FQH trial wave-function from CFT

Moore and Read (1990) proposed to write FQH Trial wavefunctions as CFT correlators

$$\Psi(z_1,\cdots,z_N) = \langle u | \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} V(z_1) \cdots V(z_N) | v \rangle$$

• Operator
$$V(z) = \sum_n z^n V_n$$

Infinite dimensional Hilbert space (graded by momentum/conformal dimension)

Why is this ansatz sensible?

- correct entanglement behavior (area law and counting)
- yields a consistent anyon model (pentagon and hexagon equations)
- Laughlin state is of this form

Beyond Laughlin (for bosons)

•
$$U(1)$$
 $\underline{\nu = 1/r \text{ Laughlin state}}$ $V(z) =: e^{i\sqrt{r}\varphi(z)}:$
 $\Psi_{\text{ground-state}} = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^r$
• $SU(2)_2$ Moore-Read state $V(z) = \Psi(z) \otimes : e^{i\varphi(z)}:$
 $\Psi_{\text{ground-state}} = Pf\left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\right) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$
• $SU(2)_k$ Read-Rezayi state

$$V(z)=J^+(z)=\Psi_1(z)\otimes:e^{i\sqrt{2/k}arphi(z)}:$$

What about quasi-hole operators?

$$V_{qh}(w) = \sigma_1(w) \otimes e^{i\sqrt{1/2k}arphi(w)} :\Rightarrow$$
 non-Abelian anyons

Trial wavefunctions from CFT

Extrapolating the **thermodynamic limit** of these trial states is difficult.

- Gapped ?
- Well-defined quasi-holes?
- Non-Abelian braiding?
- Area law for the entanglement entropy?
- Entanglement spectrum?
- Quantum dimensions?
- etc...

The natural conjecture is that they are described by the **anyon model** (TQFT) corresponding to the underlying CFT.

Matrix Product State (MPS)

Limitations of exact diagonalizations and trial wf

 \rightarrow decomposition of a state $|\Psi\rangle$ on a convenient occupation basis

$$\left|\Psi
ight
angle = \sum_{\left\{m_{i}
ight\}}c_{\left\{m_{i}
ight\}}\left|m_{1},...,m_{N_{\Phi}}
ight
angle$$



What is the amount of memory needed to store the Laughlin state?



Can't store more than 21 particles !

Matrix Product State : more compact and computationally friendly

Matrix Product States



Why is this formalism interesting?

Many quantities (correlation functions, entanglement spectrum, ...) can be computed in the (relatively small) auxiliary space.

The CFT ansatz $\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \langle u | V(z_1) \dots V(z_n) | v \rangle$ is a continuous MPS

Dubail, Read, Rezayi (2012)

Translation invariant MPS

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\{m_i\}} \left(\langle u | B^{m_1} B^{m_2} \cdots B^{m_n} | v \rangle \right) | m_1 \cdots m_n \rangle$$

Zaletel, Mong (2012)

- the matrices B^m are operators in the underlying CFT
- the auxiliary space is the (infinite dimensional) CFT Hilbert space ...
- ... which can be truncated while keeping arbitrary large precision

Where does this MPS structure come from ?

Starting from a trial wavefunction given by a CFT correlator

$$\Psi(z_1,\cdots,z_N) = \langle u | \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} V(z_1) \cdots V(z_N) | v \rangle$$

and expanding $V(z) = \sum_{n} V_{-n} z^{n}$, one finds (up to orbital normalization)

$$c_{(m_1,\cdots,m_n)} = \langle u | \mathcal{O}_{\text{b.c.}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1!}} V_{-1}^{m_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_2!}} V_{-2}^{m_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_n!}} V_{-n}^{m_n} | v \rangle$$

This is a site/orbital dependent MPS

$$c_{(m_1,\cdots,m_n)} = \langle u | \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} B^{m_1}[1] B^{m_2}[2] \cdots B^{m_n}[n] | v \rangle$$

with matrices at site/orbital j:

$$B^{m}[j] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(V_{-j} \right)^{m}$$

Spreading the background charge

The background charge (for n orbitals) is a (non-local) operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{b.c.}} = e^{-rac{i}{\sqrt{
u}}n\varphi_0} = \left(e^{-rac{i}{\sqrt{
u}}\varphi_0}
ight)^n$$

where φ_0 is the bosonic zero mode.

$$c_{(m_1,\cdots,m_n)} = \langle u | \left(e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_0} \right)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1!}} V_{-1}^{m_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_2!}} V_{-2}^{m_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_n!}} V_{-n}^{m_n} | v \rangle$$

From $e^{-rac{i}{\sqrt{
u}} arphi_0} V_{-j} = V_{-j+1} e^{-rac{i}{\sqrt{
u}} arphi_0}$, so we get a site independent MPS

$$\langle u | \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{1}!}} V_{0}^{m_{1}} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{2}!}} V_{0}^{m_{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_{0}} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{n}!}} V_{0}^{m_{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_{0}} | v \rangle$$

Translation invariant MPS on the cylinder

Uniform background charge \Rightarrow site independant MPS

$$B^{m}[j] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(V_{-j} \right)^{m} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad B^{m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(V_{0} \right)^{m} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_{0}}$$

Taking into account the orbital normalization on the cylinder :

$$B^m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(V_0 \right)^m e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_0} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{L}H}$$

where

- φ_0 is the bosonic zero mode (B_0 shifts the electric charge by ν)
- *H* is the cylinder Hamiltonian : $H = \frac{2\pi}{L}L_0$
- V_0 is the zero mode of V(z)

auxiliary space = CFT Hilbert space infinite bond dimension :/

Truncation of the auxiliary space

The auxiliary space (i.e. the CFT Hilbert space) basis is graded by the conformal dimension Δ_{α} .

$$L_{0}\left|\alpha\right\rangle = \Delta_{\alpha}\left|\alpha\right\rangle$$

But in the MPS matrices we have a term

$$B^{m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(V_{0} \right)^{m} e^{-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\varphi_{0}} e^{-\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^{2} L_{0}}$$

The conformal dimension provides a natural cut-off. Truncation parameter P: keep only states with $\Delta_{\alpha} \leq P$.

- P = 0 recovers the thin-cylinder limit $|\cdots 100100100\cdots\rangle$
- The correct 2d physics requires $L \gg$ bulk correlation length ζ
- For a cylinder perimeter L, we must take $P \sim L^2$
- Bond dimensions $\chi \sim e^{\alpha L}$... of course! since $S_A \sim \alpha L$.

What about the torus?

CFT ansatz : ground state $|\Psi\rangle_a$

$$\Psi_{a}(z_{1},\cdots,z_{N})=\mathsf{Tr}_{a}\left(e^{i2\pi\tau L_{0}-i\sqrt{\nu}n\varphi_{0}}V(z_{1})\cdots V(z_{N})\right)$$

becomes

$$\left|\Psi\right\rangle_{a}=\sum_{\{m_{i}\}}\operatorname{Tr}_{a}\left(e^{i\pi(N-1)\sqrt{\nu}a_{0}}B^{m_{n}}\dots B^{m_{1}}\right)\left|m_{1},\cdots,m_{n}\right\rangle$$

where the blue term is only present for fermions (ensures antisymmetry). The MPS matrices are

$$B^{m} = q^{\frac{L_{0}}{2n}} e^{-i\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2}\varphi_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} V_{0}^{m} e^{-i\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2}\varphi_{0}} q^{\frac{L_{0}}{2n}}, \qquad q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$$

Again χ grows exponentially with torus thickness.

Matrix Product States : a powerful numerical method

plots from collaborations with : Y-L. Wu, Z. Papic, N. Regnault, B. A. Bernevig

Infinitely long cylinder, bulk correlation length



Model state	Laughlin 1/3	Laughlin 1/5	MR vac.	MR qh
ζ/I_B	1.381(1)	2.53(7)	2.73(1)	2.69(1)

Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

2016, Feb 18 48 / 53

Entanglement entropy (orbital cut)

Area law $S_A = \alpha L - \gamma$, where the subleading term γ is universal

 $\gamma = \log \mathcal{D}/d_{a}$





Benoit Estienne (LPTHE)

Quasi-hole excitations



- Insert quasi-holes in the MPS
- Compute the density profile
- Measure the radius of the quasi-hole



	ν	R/ℓ_0	
Laughlin	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{e}{3}$: 2.6	
Moore-Read	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u><i>e</i></u> ∶ 2.8	<u>€</u> : 2.7
\mathbb{Z}_3 Read-Rezayi	<u>3</u> 5	<u>e</u> : 3.0	3 <u>e</u> : 2.8

Braiding non-Abelian quasi-holes



Instead of computing the Berry phase, \Rightarrow check the behavior of conformal block overlaps

$$\langle \Psi_{a}|\Psi_{b}
angle = C_{a}\delta_{a\,b} + O\left(e^{-|\Delta\eta|/\xi_{ab}}\right)$$



Microscopic, quantitative verification of the non-Abelian braiding.

Conclusion

Conclusion

FQH trial wavefunctions have been used for more than 20 years :

They are nothing but Matrix Product States in disguise

Numerically powerful

- Bulk correlation length ζ (or equivalently bulk gap)
- precision computation of the topological entanglement entropy γ (and the quantum dimensions d_a)
- Non-Abelian quasihole radius and braiding

CFT/MPS provide a strong link between microscopics and 3d TQFT

As conjectured by Moore and Read

Model states \Rightarrow (non-Abelian) chiral topological phases.

Limitations : at the end of the day these states are model states

with the anyon data as an input. Similar to quantum-double models.

- Are they in the same universality class as the experimental states?
- DMRG methods might help answer this question.