## Geometric phase formula for the macroscopic polarization

#### Ryan Requist Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Halle, Germany

Aug. 24, 2018



## Outline

- I. Classical theory of macroscopic polarization
  - A. Dielectrics, ferroelectrics, piezoelectrics, etc.
  - B. How to measure macroscopic polarization
  - C. Boundary conditions: finite sample versus infinite crystal
  - D. Clausius-Mossotti theory and its deficiencies
- II. Geometric phase formula for the polarization: mean-field case
  - A. Role of the current
  - B. Derivation of King-Smith-Vanderbilt formula
- III. Geometric phase formula for the polarization: interacting case
  - A. Ortiz-Martin formula
  - B. Twisted boundary conditions
- IV. Reduced geometric phase formula
  - A. Natural orbital geometric phases
  - B. Example: Rice-Mele-Hubbard model

## Induced macroscopic polarization

 $\rho_{\rm free} = {\rm free \ charge}$ 

 $\rho_b =$  bound charge



 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\text{free}}$ 

 $\nabla \cdot (\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}) = \rho_{\text{tot}} - \rho_b$ 

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = -\rho_b$ 

*Dielectric* materials are polarized by an applied electric field (e.g. in a capacitor)

Induced polarization

 $\mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi \mathbf{E}$ 

$$\epsilon_0 = vacuum permittivity$$
  
 $\chi = electric susceptibility$ 

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$$
$$= \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi) \mathbf{E}$$
$$= \epsilon \mathbf{E}$$

 $\epsilon = permittivity$ (dielectric function)

#### Polarizability under external perturbations

Permittivity

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{dP_{\alpha}}{dE_{\beta}}$$

Piezoelectric tensor

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial P_{\alpha}}{\partial \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}}$$

Pyroelectric coefficient

 $\Pi_{\alpha} = \frac{dP_{\alpha}}{dT}$ 

Born effective charge

$$Z^*_{s,\alpha\beta} = \frac{\Omega}{e} \frac{\partial P_\alpha}{\partial u_{s,\beta}}$$

## Spontaneous macroscopic polarization

*Ferroelectrics* are materials with nonzero macroscopic polarization in the absence of externally applied electric fields.

Ferroelectrics have noncentrosymmetric crystal structure.

Direction of the polarization can be reversed by applying a field.

Many perovskites are ferroelectrics, e.g. BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, PbTiO<sub>3</sub> and KNbO<sub>3</sub>.

ABO3 perovskite structure







Figures from *Physics of Ferroelectrics: A modern perspective,* Eds. Rabe, Ahn & Triscone (2007).

#### How to measure macroscopic polarization

Piezoelectric polarization

Ferroelectric polarization



E

measure integrated current as sample put under strain hysteresis loop under cyclic variation of  ${\bf E}$ 

#### Boundary conditions

#### **Finite sample**

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) \to 0 \text{ as } |\mathbf{r}| \to \infty$$

Macroscopic polarization is the dipole moment/volume

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{sample}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{sample}}} \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathbf{r} \, d^3 r$$

...determined by the charge density as in molecules.

#### Infinite crystal

 $\rho(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{L}) = \rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Born-von Karman} \\ \text{boundary conditions} \end{array}$  $\mathbf{L} = n_i M_i \mathbf{a}_i \quad n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ 

Macroscopic polarization is a bulk quantity, but...

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}} \neq \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathbf{r} \, d^3 r$$

Charge density is not sufficient to calculate the polarization\*

\*R. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 9, 1998 (1974)

#### Classical theory of macroscopic polarization

Classical theory presupposes a solid to be a collection of polarizable units

Clausius-Mossotti picture



Anions and cations separated by empty interstitial regions

Real materials



E

Induced charge density of Si in  $(1\overline{1}0)$  plane; E in (111) direction

Figures from Resta & Vanderbilt in *Physics of Ferroelectrics: A modern perspective*, Eds. Rabe, Ahn, Triscone (2007).

#### Fundamental problem with the classical theory

"the [charge density in a solid] ... cannot be unambiguously decomposed into [localized] contributions."

Incorrect definition of the macroscopic bulk polarization:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}} \neq \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \, \mathbf{r} \, d^3 r$$



shaded regions = regions of negative induced charge density

Quotation and figures from Resta & Vanderbilt in *Physics of Ferroelectrics: A modern perspective*, Eds. Rabe, Ahn, Triscone (2007).

## Definition of macroscopic polarization

Experiments actually measure changes in the polarization when some parameter  $\lambda$  changes adiabatically

$$\Delta \mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}}}{\partial \lambda} \dot{\lambda} dt$$

Therefore, Resta proposed the definition

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^3 r \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}t)$$

Properties:

- (i) intensive bulk quantity, insensitive to surface
- (ii) has nothing to do with the charge density
- (iii) depends on quantum mechanical phases through  ${f j}$

#### Role of the electronic current

Maxwell's equations in matter

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_f$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}_f + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$

constitutive relations

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{M}$$

 $\mathbf{J}_{tot} = \mathbf{J}_f + \mathbf{J}_b$ 

 $\mathbf{J}_f = \text{free current}$ 

 $\mathbf{J}_b =$  bound current

M = magnetization

$$\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{M}\right) = \mathbf{J}_{tot} - \mathbf{J}_b + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_0\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P})$$
$$-\left[\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}_{tot} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\epsilon_0\mathbf{E}\right]$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{M} = -\mathbf{J}_b + \frac{\partial\mathbf{P}}{\partial t}$$

#### Change in macroscopic polarization

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{J}_{b}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \times \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \Delta \mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}} &\equiv \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^{3}r \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} \\ &= \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^{3}r \mathbf{J}_{b}(\mathbf{r}) + \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^{3}r \nabla \times \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^{3}r \mathbf{J}_{b}(\mathbf{r}) + \underbrace{\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\partial(\text{cell})} d\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})}_{\text{vanishes due to periodicity of } \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{P}_{\text{macro}} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{V_{\text{cell}}} \int_{\text{cell}} d^3 r \, \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{J}_b(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}$  (ions give addl. contribution)

Martin & Ortiz, Phys. Rev. B 49, 14202 (1994)

Kohn-Sham electronic band structure of BaTiO3



 $\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) =$  Bloch function for band n and wavevector k

Argonne National Laboratory

To first order in  $\dot{\lambda}$ , time dependent perturbation theory gives

$$|\delta\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle = i\hbar\dot{\lambda}\sum_{m\neq n}\frac{\langle\psi_{m\mathbf{k}}|\partial_{\lambda}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle}{\epsilon_{m\mathbf{k}}-\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}}|\psi_{m\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$

Induced current from the n<sup>th</sup> occupied band is

$$\mathbf{j}_n = \frac{i\hbar e\dot{\lambda}}{m} \sum_{m\neq n} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} | \hat{\mathbf{p}} | \psi_{m\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}} | \partial_\lambda \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{m\mathbf{k}}} + c.c.$$

After some manipulation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = -e\dot{\lambda}\sum_{n}^{\text{occ}}\int\frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}2\text{Im}\langle\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}u_{n\mathbf{k}}|\partial_{\lambda}u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$

R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1651 (1993)

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = -e \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} B_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}$$

with the Berry curvature

$$B_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} = 2\mathrm{Im}\sum_{n} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \partial_{\lambda} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle,$$

To calculate  $\Delta P_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\alpha}$  along a given lattice vector  $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}$ , define, e.g.,

$$\Delta P_3 = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\text{occ}} \int \frac{dk_1 dk_2}{(2pi)^2} \underbrace{\int_0^{|\mathbf{G}_3|} i \langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \partial_{k_3} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle dk_3}_{\gamma(k_1, k_2, \lambda)} \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda=1}$$

R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1651 (1993)

 $\gamma = \sum_{n}^{\infty} \int i \langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle d^{3}k \text{ is a gauge-invariant quantity modulo } 2\pi$ 

gauge transformation  

$$|u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle \rightarrow |\tilde{u}_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle = e^{-i\lambda(k_{\alpha})}|u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle \qquad \gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma + \int_{0}^{2\pi/a} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial k_{\alpha}} dk_{\alpha}$$
  
 $= \gamma + 2\pi m$ 

$$\mathbf{P} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{n}^{\text{occ}} \int i \langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle d^{3}k$$

defines the polarization modulo a *polarization quantum* 

Polarization quantum 
$$\mathbf{P}_{quant} = \frac{e\mathbf{R}}{V_{cell}}$$
,  $\mathbf{R} = lattice vector$ 

#### Wannier function centers

Defining Wannier functions as

$$w_n(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = \frac{V_{\text{cell}}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{BZ} d^3k \, e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})} u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$

and using

$$u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})} w_n(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$$

one finds

$$\langle w_{n\mathbf{R}} | \mathbf{r} | w_{n\mathbf{R}} \rangle = \frac{V_{\text{cell}}}{(2\pi)^3} \int i \langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle d^3 k$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{P} = -\frac{e}{V_{\text{cell}}} \sum_{n} \langle w_{n\mathbf{R}} | \mathbf{r} | w_{n\mathbf{R}} \rangle$$

R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1651 (1993)

#### Limitations of the mean-field formula

When using the Kohn-Sham Bloch orbitals  $|\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}|u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ , the mean-field formula

$$\mathbf{P} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \int i \langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle d^{3}k$$

is not guaranteed to give the correct macroscopic polarization even when the exact  $v_{xc}$  is used.

It is not valid for insulating materials for which the Kohn-Sham band structure is metallic,\* e.g. Mott insulators, and can be expected to have significant errors in strongly-correlated materials.

Density-polarization functional theory, where the exchange-correlation functional depends on the macroscopic polarization in addition to the density, has been proposed to overcome this issue.\*

\*Gonze, Ghosez & Godby, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4035 (1995); *ibid.* 78, 294 (1997)

#### Geometric phase formula: interacting case

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m} + \hat{V}_{ee} + \hat{V}_{ext}(\lambda)$$

Based on Thouless's charge pumping formula,\* Ortiz and Martin proposed<sup>†</sup>

$$\Delta P = -e \lim_{\substack{N,L\to\infty\\N/L=const.}} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_0^{2\pi/L} \frac{dk}{2\pi} 2\mathrm{Im} \langle \partial_k \Phi | \partial_\lambda \Phi \rangle,$$

where  $\Phi(r_1\sigma_1,\ldots,r_N\sigma_N)$  is the ground state of the "twisted" Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(\hat{p}_{i} + \hbar k)^{2}}{2m} + \hat{V}_{ee} + \hat{V}_{ext}(\lambda).$$

 $|\Phi\rangle$  is related to the ground state of the original Hamiltonian via

$$\Phi(r_1\sigma_1,\ldots,r_N\sigma_N)=e^{-ik(r_1+\cdots+r_N)}\Psi(r_1\sigma_1,\ldots,r_N\sigma_N).$$

<sup>\*</sup>D. J. Thouless, PRB 27, 6083 (1983); Q. Niu and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17, 2453 (1984). \*G. Ortiz and R. M. Martin, PRB 49, 14202 (1994).

#### Geometric phase formula: interacting case

$$\Delta P = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \lim_{\substack{N,L\to\infty\\N/L=const.}} \int_0^{2\pi/L} i\langle\Phi|\partial_k\Phi\rangle dk \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda=1}$$
$$= -\frac{e}{2\pi} \left[\gamma(\lambda=1) - \gamma(\lambda=0)\right]$$

$$N =$$
 number of electrons  $L = Ma =$  length of supercell,  $M =$  integer



Twisted boundary conditions

$$\Psi(r_1,\ldots,r_i+L,\ldots,r_N)=e^{ikL}\Psi(r_1,\ldots,r_i,\ldots,r_N)$$

#### Reduced geometric phase formula

$$\Delta \mathbf{P}_{red} = -e \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} 2\mathrm{Im} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} v_{n\mathbf{k}} | \partial_\lambda v_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$
$$\Delta P_3 = -\frac{e}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int dk_1 dk_2 \int_0^{|\mathbf{G}_3|} i \langle v_{n\mathbf{k}} | \partial_{k_3} v_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle dk_3 \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda=1}$$

natural orbital geometric phases\*  $\gamma_n = \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} i \langle v_{n\mathbf{k}} | \partial_{k_3} v_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ 

natural Bloch orbitals<sup>†</sup>  

$$\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}$$
  
 $v_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{f_{n\mathbf{k}}}e^{-i\zeta_{n\mathbf{k}}}\phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ 

\*RR & Pankratov, PRA 81, 042519 (2010); PRA 83, 052510 (2011); RR PRA 86, 022117 (2012) †RR & Gross, arxiv:1709.03372

- $\phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) =$  natural orbital
  - $f_{n\mathbf{k}} =$ occupation number
  - $\zeta_{n\mathbf{k}} = \text{phase variable from}$ higher-order reduced density matrices\*#

#Related work for molecules by Giesbertz Gritsenko Baerends PRL 105, 013002 (2010)

#### Reduced geometric phase formula

$$\Delta P_{red} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{BZ} i \langle \chi_{nk} | \partial_k \chi_{nk} \rangle dk \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda=1}$$

One-body reduced density matrix

 $\rho_1(r\sigma, r'\sigma') = \sum_{\sigma_2...\sigma_N} \int \Psi(r\sigma, r_2\sigma_2, \dots, r_N\sigma_N) \Psi^*(r'\sigma', r_2\sigma_2, \dots, r_N\sigma_N) dr_2 \dots dr_N$ 

$$\sum_{\sigma'} \int \rho_1(r\sigma, r'\sigma')\psi_{nk}(r'\sigma')dr' = f_{nk}\psi_{nk}(r\sigma) \qquad \frac{\partial E(\{f_{nk}, \phi_{nk}, \zeta_{nk}, \beta_{nk}\})}{\partial \zeta_{nk}} = 0$$

Properties:

- (i) approximates the Ortiz-Martin formula
- (ii) accounts for quantum fluctuations through the  $f_{nk}$
- (iii) reduces to King-Smith-Vanderbilt in noninteracting limit
- (iv) exact in certain cases

#### Rice-Mele-Hubbard model

$$\Delta P = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \lim_{\substack{N,L\to\infty\\N/L=const.}} \int_0^{2\pi/L} i\langle \Phi | \partial_k \Phi \rangle dk \Big|_{\lambda=0}^{\lambda=1}$$

Applications to the *band insulator* - *Mott insulator* transition in the Rice-Mele-Hubbard model in 1D and 2D.\*



\*R. Resta and S. Sorella, PRL 74, 4738 (1995);

G. Ortiz, P. Ordejon, R. M. Martin and G. Chiappe, PRB 54, 13515 (1996);

N. Gidopoulos, S. Sorella and E. Tosatti, Eur. Phys. J. B 14, 217 (2000).

Diagram from T. Egami, S. Ishihara and M. Tachiki, Science 261, 1307 (1993); PRB 49, 8944 (1994).

#### Rice-Mele-Hubbard model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n\sigma} \left[ \epsilon_n c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n\sigma} + t_{nn+1} (c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n+1\sigma} + c_{n+1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n\sigma}) + U c_{n\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{n\uparrow} c_{n\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{n\downarrow} \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{2n} = -\Delta$$
  $t_1 = t_0 - \alpha \xi$   
 $\epsilon_{2n+1} = +\Delta$   $t_2 = t_0 + \alpha \xi$ 

 $\xi = displacement of B sublattice$ 



R. Resta and S. Sorella, PRL 74, 4738 (1995); D. Vanderbilt and R. D. King-Smith, PRB 48, 4442 (1993).

#### Rice-Mele-Hubbard model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n\sigma} \left[ \epsilon_n c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n\sigma} + t_{nn+1} (c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n+1\sigma} + c_{n+1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{n\sigma}) + U c_{n\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{n\uparrow} c_{n\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{n\downarrow} \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{2n} = -\Delta$$
  $t_1 = t_0 - \alpha \xi$   
 $\epsilon_{2n+1} = +\Delta$   $t_2 = t_0 + \alpha \xi$ 



 $\xi = displacement of B sublattice$ 

$$\mathbf{P} = -\frac{e}{2\pi}\gamma(\xi)$$
$$\gamma(\xi) = \int_0^{2\pi/L} i\langle\Phi|\partial_k\Phi\rangle dk$$

 $\gamma(\xi)$  is an order parameter for the band insulator-Mott insulator transition



RR & Gross, arxiv:1709.03372

#### Average Born charge $\langle Z^*(\xi) \rangle$

$$\Delta P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots) = e \sum_s \langle Z_s^*(\xi_s) \rangle \xi_s$$

 $\xi = displacement of B sublattice$ 

# Definition of Born charge $\langle Z^*(\xi)\rangle = \frac{\Delta P(\xi)}{\xi}$



 $\xi = (0.05, 0.035, 0.0245, 0.0140, 0.0035)$ 

R. Resta and S. Sorella, PRL 74, 4738 (1995)

## Summary

- Charge density does not uniquely determine the macroscopic polarization in infinite crystals (periodic boundary conditions)
- Macroscopic polarization is related to the adiabatic current flowing through a unit cell and can be expressed as a geometric phase
- Mean-field formula gives the polarization as the geometric phase of the Kohn-Sham Bloch functions across the Brillouin zone
- Interacting formula gives the polarization as the geometric phase of the many-body wavefunction w.r.t. twisted boundary conditions
- Reduced geometric phase formula simplies the full interacting formula, while retaining the most important correlation effects