

2021, Feb 15 -- Feb 26 Organizers: F. Mila (Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne) R. Orús (DIPC) D. Poilblanc (CNRS / U. Toulouse) N. Schuch (Max-Planck-Institute of Quantum Optics / MCQST)

# **QUANTUM MAGNETS ON SMALL-WORLD** NETWORKS

#### arXiv:2102.04919



#### Nicolas Laflorencie & Maxime Dupont

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique **CNRS** Toulouse





Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, USA





# What is a Small World ?

# What is a Small World ?



SMALL WORLD

× 🖸 🔍





# What is a Small World ?





#### SMALL WORLD NETWORK

Q Tous Vidéos ⊘ Shopping Images E Actualités : Plus Paramètr

#### Small-world network

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

A small-world network is a type of mathematical graph in which most nodes are not neighbors of one another, but the neighbors of any given node are likely to be neighbors of each other and most nodes can be reached from every other node by a small number of hops or steps. Specifically, a small-world network is defined to be a network where the typical distance L between two randomly chosen nodes (the number of steps required) grows proportionally to the logarithm of the number of nodes N in the network, that is:<sup>[1]</sup>





An example of a) a small-world network generated using ... researchgate.net



letwork	Lattice, Ordered	Small World	Random, Disordered
Sustering Coefficient	High	High	Low
Aean Path Length	Long	Short	Short

Is the Urban World Small? The Evidence for Small World Struct... link.springer.com



## **The Small-World Effect**

Random graph of *N* nodes, fluctuating connectivity Diameter  $\overline{\ell} \sim \ln N \Rightarrow d_{\text{eff}} = \infty$ 

# **The Small-World Effect**

Random graph of *N* nodes, fluctuating connectivity Diameter  $\overline{\ell} \sim \ln N \Rightarrow d_{\text{eff}} = \infty$ 

## Social network analysis







### airlines connections



epidemic spreading



# Small-World models

### The Watts-Strogatz model

NATURE VOL 393 4 JUNE 1998

#### **Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks**

Duncan J. Watts\* & Steven H. Strogatz

Department of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Kimball Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA



### Random regular graphs (Erdős–Rényi)





SCIENCE VOL 286 15 OCTOBER 1999

#### Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks

Albert-László Barabási\* and Réka Albert





# Small-World models

### The Watts-Strogatz model

NATURE VOL 393 4 JUNE 1998

#### Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks

Duncan J. Watts\* & Steven H. Strogatz

Department of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Kimball Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA









SCIENCE VOL 286 15 OCTOBER 1999

#### Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks

Albert-László Barabási\* and Réka Albert





## The undiluted Watts-Strogatz model

R. Monasson EPJ B (1999)
M. E. J. Newman & D. J. Watts, Phys. Lett. A (1999)



(branching probability p)

# further motivations

# further motivations

### Relevant for Anderson localization in random graphs and MBL physics

De Luca, Altshuler, Kravtsov, Scardicchio PRL 2014
Tikhonov, Mirlin PRB 2016
Garcia-Mata et al. PRL 2017
Biroli, Tarzia 2018
Tikhonov, Mirlin PRB 2019

Mace, Alet, NL, PRL 2019

The many-body Hilbert space looks like a random graph



# further motivations

### Relevant for Anderson localization in random graphs and MBL physics

De Luca, Altshuler, Kravtsov, Scardicchio PRL 2014
Tikhonov, Mirlin PRB 2016
Garcia-Mata et al. PRL 2017

- Biroli, Tarzia 2018
- Tikhonov, Mirlin PRB 2019
- Mace, Alet, NL, PRL 2019

### The many-body Hilbert space looks like a random graph



### Cold atom setup coupled to an optical cavity

G. Bentsen, I.-D. Potirniche, V. B. Bulchandani, T. Scaffidi, X. Cao, X.-L. Qi, M. Schleier-Smith, and E. Altman, PRX (2019)
 G. Bentsen, T. Hashizume, A. S. Buyskikh, E. J. Davis, A. J. Daley, S. S. Gubser, and M. Schleier-Smith, PRL (2019)

C. Bentsen, T. Hashizume, A. S. Buyskirn, E. J. Davis, A. J. Daley, S. S. Gubser, and M. Schleier-Smith, PRL (2019)
 E. J. Davis, A. Periwal, E. S. Cooper, G. Bentsen, S. J. Evered, K. Van Kirk, and M. H. Schleier-Smith, PRL (2020)

### Optically Programmable Interactions for Quantum Simulation

• Scientific meeting: New perspectives on quantum many-body chaos (8-11 Feb 2021)

Monika Schleier-Smith Stanford University, USA

#### Programmable Interactions

A. Periwal, E. Cooper, P. Kunke Professor Mo.. E. Davis & MS-S, in preparation.





Knobs:

- Sign of interaction (ferro- vs antiferromagnetic)
- Form of couplings (flip-flop vs Ising)

Spatial structure



Möbius ladder



ROYAL SOCIETY

04/14

# **Classical magnetism on SW networks**



A.Barrat & M. Weigt EPJB (2000)
M. Gitterman J. Phys. A (2000)

# **Classical magnetism on SW networks**



### **Finite temperature transition**



# **Classical magnetism on SW networks**





$$\epsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (p) \\ 0 & (1-p) \end{cases}$$

Finite temperature transition 0.4 **-------**A.Barrat & M. Weigt EPJB (2000) M. Gitterman J. Phys. A (2000) 0.3  $T_c \propto \frac{2J}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}$ Temperature 0.2 0.1 FM  $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 10^{-8} \\ 10^{-7} \\ 10^{-6} \\ 10^{-5} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-3} \\ 10^{-2} \\ 10^{-1} \end{array}$ Concentration *p* 

Simple mean-field (MF) argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \exp\left(\frac{2J}{T}\right) = 10$  correlation length

 $\zeta_p \sim 1/p\,$  = mean distance between shortcuts

we expect a transition when  $\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c \propto \frac{2J}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}$ 

 $T_c \rightarrow 0 \,\, {\rm very}$  slowly, only when  $p \rightarrow 0$ 



 $\xi(T) \sim \left| T - T_{\rm c}(0) \right|^{-\nu} = \text{bare correlation length}$  $\zeta_p \sim p^{-1/d} = \text{mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

 $\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \implies T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$ 



 $\xi(T) \sim \left| T - T_{\rm c}(0) \right|^{-\nu} = \text{bare correlation length}$  $\zeta_p \sim p^{-1/d} = \text{mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

 $\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \implies T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$ 

#### **Classical Monte Carlo Ising model**





 $\xi(T) \sim \left| T - T_{\rm c}(0) \right|^{-\nu} = \text{bare correlation length}$  $\zeta_p \sim p^{-1/d} = \text{mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

 $\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \implies T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$ 

#### **Classical Monte Carlo Ising model**







1



![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Is $\eta + d - 2 > 0$ always true?

#### **Critical correlations at Tc:**

 $\langle S^{z}(0)S^{z}(r)\rangle_{T_{c}} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta+d-2}} 0 \checkmark$ 

Is  $\eta + d - 2 > 0$  always true?

#### **Critical correlations at Tc:**

 $\langle S^{z}(0)S^{z}(r)\rangle_{T_{c}} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta+d-2}} 0 \checkmark$ 

Quantum criticality (T=0):  $\left\langle S^{\alpha}(0)S^{\alpha}(r)\right\rangle_{\rm GS} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta+d+z-2}}$   $\bigwedge \eta + d + z - 2 > 0$   $\bigotimes \eta + d - 2 > 0$  Is  $\eta + d - 2 > 0$  always true?

# Critical correlations at Tc: $\langle S^{z}(0)S^{z}(r)\rangle_{T_{c}} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta+d-2}} = 0$

Quantum criticality (T=0):  $\left\langle S^{\alpha}(0)S^{\alpha}(r)\right\rangle_{GS} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta+d+z-2}}$   $\bigwedge \eta + d + z - 2 > 0$   $\bigotimes \eta + d - 2 > 0$ 

### Tomonaga – Luttinger liquids ! (d = z = 1)

### S=1/2 XXZ chains

$$\mathcal{H}_{XXZ} = J \sum_{i} \left( S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right)$$
$$\left\langle S^{\alpha}(0) S^{\alpha}(r) \right\rangle_{GS} \sim \frac{1}{r^{\eta_{\alpha}}} \qquad \eta_x \le 1 \; !$$

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

$\mathcal{H}_{\rm SW} = \mathcal{H}_{\rm 1D}^{\rm XXZ} + \mathcal{H}_{\rm LR}$	$\mathcal{H}_{1\mathrm{D}}^{XXZ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta},$	with $h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z$
	$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta},$	if $ i - j  > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \overbrace{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ID}}^{XXZ}} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, & \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \\ & \overbrace{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{LR}}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, & \text{if } |i-j| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta=0, J<0$  and  $J_{ij}^{
m LR}=-\left|J_{ij}^{
m LR}
ight|$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ID}}^{XXZ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta=0, J<0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}}=-\left|J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}}\right|$ 

(ii) Staggered Heisenberg antiferromagnet  $\Delta = 1, J > 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} = -(-1)^{|i-j|} \left| J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \right|$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ID}} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta=0, J<0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}}=-\left|J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}}\right|$ 

(ii) Staggered Heisenberg antiferromagnet  $\Delta = 1, J > 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} = -(-1)^{|i-j|} \left| J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \right|$ 

both models are unfrustrated  $\Rightarrow$  quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations are possible

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ID}}^{XXZ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta = 0, J < 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\rm LR} = - \left| J_{ij}^{\rm LR} \right|$ 

(ii) Staggered Heisenberg antiferromagnet  $\Delta = 1, J > 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} = -(-1)^{|i-j|} \left| J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \right|$ 

both models are unfrustrated  $\Rightarrow$  quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations are possible

Undiluted SW (branching probability p)

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{ID}} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}$$
$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta = 0, J < 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\rm LR} = - \left| J_{ij}^{\rm LR} \right|$ 

(ii) Staggered Heisenberg antiferromagnet  $\Delta = 1, J > 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} = -(-1)^{|i-j|} \left| J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \right|$ 

### both models are unfrustrated $\Rightarrow$ quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations are possible

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{ID}} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{with } h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta} = S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}$$
$$\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{LR}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\mathrm{LR}} h_{i,i+1}^{\Delta}, \quad \text{if } |i-j| > 1$$

(i) Ferromagnetic XY model with  $\Delta = 0, J < 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\rm LR} = - \left| J_{ij}^{\rm LR} \right|$ 

(ii) Staggered Heisenberg antiferromagnet  $\Delta = 1, J > 0$  and  $J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} = -(-1)^{|i-j|} \left| J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \right|$ 

### both models are unfrustrated $\Rightarrow$ quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations are possible

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

## <u>1d Small-World $p \ll 1$ </u>

## **1d Hastings Small-World**

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

## 1d Small-World $p \ll 1$

### We repeat the simple MF argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \frac{uJ}{T} \mbox{ Luttinger liquid correlation length} \\ \zeta_p \sim 1/p \mbox{ = mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

# we expect a transition when

$$\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c^{\rm MF} \propto uJp$$

agree with

$$T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$$
 with  $\nu = d = 1$ 

## 1d Hastings Small-World

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

## 1d Small-World $p \ll 1$

### We repeat the simple MF argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \frac{uJ}{T} \mbox{ Luttinger liquid correlation length} \\ \zeta_p \sim 1/p \mbox{ = mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

# we expect a transition when

$$\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c^{\rm MF} \propto uJp$$

agree with

$$T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$$
 with  $\nu = d = 1$ 

## **1d Hastings Small-World**

# Random Phase approximation

D. J. Scalapino, Y. Imry, and P. Pincus, PRB (1975).
H. J. Schulz, PRL (1996).

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_{i} \cdot S_{i+1} + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \langle S_{j}^{z} \rangle S_{i}^{z}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_{i} \cdot S_{i+1} + \langle m \rangle \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} (-1)^{j} S_{i}^{z}$$

treat the LR part in MF

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

## <u>1d Small-World $p \ll 1$ </u>

### We repeat the simple MF argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \frac{\mu J}{T}$  Luttinger liquid correlation length  $\zeta_p \sim 1/p$  = mean distance between shortcuts

### we expect a transition when

$$\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c^{\rm MF} \propto uJp$$

agree with

$$T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$$
 with  $\nu = d = 1$ 

## **1d Hastings Small-World**

#### **Random Phase** D. J. Scalapino, Y. Imry, and P. Pincus, PRB (1975). approximation H. J. Schulz, PRL (1996). treat the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_{i} \cdot S_{i+1} + \sum_{i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \langle S_{j}^{z} \rangle S_{i}^{z}$ LR part $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \langle m \rangle \sum_{i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} (-1)^j S_i^z$ in MF ordering transition when

order parameter  $\langle m \rangle = \chi^{1D} \left( h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} + \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \langle m \rangle \right) = \frac{\chi^{1D}}{1 - \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \chi^{1D}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} = \chi^{\text{RPA}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb}$ 

 $\gamma^{\text{RPA}}(T_c^{\text{RPA}}) \to \infty \qquad T_c^{\text{RPA}}$ 

$$^{\text{RPA}} = \left[\chi^{1\text{D}}\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{J^{\text{LR}}}}\right)$$

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

## 1d Small-World $p \ll 1$

### We repeat the simple MF argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \frac{uJ}{T} \ \mbox{Luttinger liquid correlation length} \\ \zeta_p \sim 1/p \ = \mbox{mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

# we expect a transition when

$$\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c^{\rm MF} \propto uJp$$

agree with

$$T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$$
 with  $\nu = d = 1$ 

## 1d Hastings Small-World

### **Random Phase** D. J. Scalapino, Y. Imry, and P. Pincus, PRB (1975). approximation H. J. Schulz, PRL (1996). treat the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \sum_{i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \langle S_j^z \rangle S_i^z$ LR part $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \langle m \rangle \sum_{i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} (-1)^j S_i^z$ in MF order parameter $\langle m \rangle = \chi^{1D} \left( h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} + \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \langle m \rangle \right) = \frac{\chi^{1D}}{1 - \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \chi^{1D}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} = \chi^{\text{RPA}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb}$ ordering transition when $\chi^{\text{RPA}}(T_{\text{c}}^{\text{RPA}}) \to \infty \qquad T_{\text{c}}^{\text{RPA}} = \left[\chi^{1\text{D}}\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{T_{\text{LR}}}}\right)$ Divergence of the 1D susceptibility $J\chi^{1D}(T) \propto (T/J)^{-\gamma}$

$$T_{\rm c}^{\rm RPA} \propto J \left(\frac{\overline{J^{\rm LR}}}{J}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

Hastings result recovered

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

## 1d Small-World $p \ll 1$

### We repeat the simple MF argument

 $\xi(T) \sim \frac{uJ}{T}$  Luttinger liquid correlation length  $\zeta_p \sim 1/p = \text{mean distance between shortcuts}$ 

# we expect a transition when

$$\xi(T) \approx \zeta_p \Rightarrow T_c^{\rm MF} \propto uJp$$

agree with

$$T_c(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$$
 with  $\nu = d =$ 

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

## 1d Hastings Small-World

### **Random Phase** D. J. Scalapino, Y. Imry, and P. Pincus, PRB (1975). approximation H. J. Schulz, PRL (1996). treat the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + \sum_{i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} \langle S_j^z \rangle S_i^z$ LR part $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{XXX}} = J \sum_{i} S_{i} \cdot S_{i+1} + \langle m \rangle \sum_{i=i} J_{ij}^{\text{LR}} (-1)^{j} S_{i}^{z}$ in MF order parameter $\langle m \rangle = \chi^{1D} \left( h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} + \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \langle m \rangle \right) = \frac{\chi^{1D}}{1 - \overline{J^{\text{LR}}} \chi^{1D}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb} = \chi^{\text{RPA}} h_{\text{ext}}^{sb}$ ordering transition when $\chi^{\text{RPA}}(T_{\text{c}}^{\text{RPA}}) \to \infty \qquad T_{\text{c}}^{\text{RPA}} = \left[\chi^{1\text{D}}\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\overline{TLR}}\right)$ Divergence of the 1D susceptibility $J\chi^{1D}(T) \propto (T/J)^{-\gamma}$ $T_{\rm c}^{\rm RPA} \propto J \left(\frac{\overline{J^{\rm LR}}}{J}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$

### **Hastings result recovered**

# 1D quantum Hastings: test for the RPA

**S=1/2** 
$$\mathscr{H}_{XXZ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right)$$
  
XXZ chain

# 1D quantum Hastings: test of the former of the second seco

**S=1/2** 
$$\mathscr{H}_{XXZ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right)$$
  
**XXZ chain**

Luttinger liquid theory Transverse 1D susceptibility

10(

$$J\chi_{\perp}^{1\mathrm{D}}(T) = f_{\Delta} \left(\frac{J}{T}\right) \underbrace{\frac{1 + \frac{\arccos \Delta}{\pi}}{\gamma}}_{\gamma}$$

Exact (parameter-free) expression for  $\mid \Delta \mid < 1$ 

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

# 1D quantum Hastings: test of the rest of t

S=1/2 
$$\mathcal{H}_{XXZ}$$
  
XXZ chain

$$= J \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z} \right)$$

**Hastings model** 

$$\int J^{\rm LR} = J \frac{J}{N}$$

Random Phase approximation

$$T_{\rm c}^{\rm RPA} = \left[\chi^{\rm 1D}\right]^{-1} \left(1/p\right)$$

Luttinger liquid theory Transverse 1D susceptibility

10(

$$J\chi_{\perp}^{1\mathrm{D}}(T) = f_{\Delta} \left(\frac{J}{T}\right) \underbrace{\frac{1 + \frac{\arccos \Delta}{\pi}}{\gamma}}_{\gamma}$$

Exact (parameter-free) expression for  $\mid \Delta \mid < 1$ 

![](_page_38_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

# 1D quantum Hastings: QMC results

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# 1D quantum Hastings: QMC results

- $\blacktriangleright T_{\rm c}$  of the Hastings model perfectly agree with RPA estimates
- Universality class is MF
- $\blacktriangleright$  1D subtleties (such as log corrections at the SU(2) point) are perfectly well captured by a  $d=\infty$  model

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Random branching (i) — Heisenberg AF

$$\mathscr{H} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overrightarrow{S}_{i} \cdot \overrightarrow{S}_{i+1} - J \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|i-j|} \epsilon_{ij} \overrightarrow{S}_{i} \cdot \overrightarrow{S}_{j} \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (p) \\ 0 & (1-p) \\ \text{disorder - average } (N_{\text{samples}} \propto 10^{2-3}) \end{cases}$$

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Random branching (ii) — XY FM

$$\mathscr{H} = -J\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y \right) - J\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{ij} \left( S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y \right) \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & (p) \\ 0 & (1-p) \end{array} \right.$$

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

### Two mean-field approaches for the spin ordering transition on small-world networks

(i) Competition between random branching typical length  $\zeta_p \sim p^{-1/d}$  and thermal correlation length  $\xi(T)$  $T_c^{\rm MF}(p) - T_c(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\nu d}}$ 

• Classical phase transitions  $\gamma < \nu d$ RPA is generically expected

### Quantum case

- Luttinger liquids: crossover between two mean-field regimes
- Exact analytical expression for the "critical concentration"  $p^{\star}(\Delta)$

(ii) Chain-mean-field theory RPA analysis

$$T_{\rm c}^{\rm RPA}(p) - T_{\rm c}(0) \propto J p^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

![](_page_51_Figure_9.jpeg)

Influence of a spin gap

**Frustration effect** 

**Disorder effect** 

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Disorder effect**

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Disorder effect**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Disorder effect**

Random bonds  $\Rightarrow d = \infty$  random-singlet physics

Random fields  $\Rightarrow d = \infty$  Bose-glass (T = 0) / MBL ( $T = \infty$ )

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)