On Adaptive

High Resolution Shock Capturing techniques for Multi-Class Traffic Flow problems

PDEs, Optimal Design and Numerics Benasque Center for Sciences, 2007

R. Donat¹, P. $Mulet^1$

Grup d'Anàlisi Numèrica, d'Imatges, Multirresolució i Simulació

¹Dept. de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Valencia

Multi-Class Lighthill-Whitham-Richards traffic models

- HRSC numerical schemes for LWR Multi-Class Models: Characteristic-based schemes versus component-wise schemes.
 - Adaptive Mesh Refinement for Finite-Difference High Resolution Shock Capturing Schemes

Scalar hyperbolic conservation law for vehicle density $\rho(x, t)$:

- The total number of vehicles is conserved
- If the flow speed v (average of speed of cars) is a function of $\rho(x, t)$.

 $\partial_t \rho + \partial_x (\rho v(\rho)) = 0,$

where $v'(\rho) < 0$ and $(\rho v(\rho))'' < 0$ (concave flux).

Multi-Class LWR models

- Generalizations to multiple classes of drivers: e.g.
 - slow and fast cars (Zhang & Jin 02)
 - more general *Multiple classes* of drivers, depending on maximal speed attained under free flow

[Wong& Wong 02, Benzoni-Cavage & Colombo 03]

Class *i*, $1 \le i \le m$ with individual density $\rho_i(x, t)$ evolves by LWR equation

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x (\underbrace{\rho_i v_i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)}_{Q_i(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m)}) = 0,$$

$$U_t + Q(U)_x = 0 \qquad U_i = \rho_i, \quad Q_i = \rho_i v_i.$$

• Hyperbolicity of system by studying the Jacobian matrix DQ:

$$DQ_{ij} = \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial \rho_j} = \delta_{i,j} v_i + \rho_i \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \rho_j}$$



MCLWR models

Working Assumption: Drivers belonging to different classes adjust their speed to the local traffic density **in the same way**.

• $v_i(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m) = v_i(\rho), \rho = \sum \rho_i$ the total car density

Wong& Wong 02, Benzoni-Cavage & Colombo 03] Assume $v_i(\rho) := \beta_i V(\rho), \quad V(\rho) \text{ as in LWR model and } \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_m.$

•
$$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \rho_j} = v'_i(\rho) = \beta_i V'(\rho)$$
, then :
 $DQ = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial U} = \operatorname{diag}(v_i) + \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 v'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_m v'_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Only numerical evidence of hyperbolic character of MCLWR system until Zhang et al 2006!. By working out $det(DQ - \lambda I) = 0$ shows that, under appropriate conditions, eigenvalues of DQ are roots of

$$0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\rho_i v'_i(\rho)}{v_i(\rho) - \lambda} = R(\lambda)$$

0

Hyperbolicity of Multi-class LWR models

Since
$$v'_i = \beta_i V'(\rho) < 0$$
, roots of $R(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\rho_i v'_i(\rho)}{v_i(\rho) - \lambda}$ verify:

$$v_1(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i v_i'(\rho) < \lambda_1 < v_1(\rho) < \lambda_2 < \dots < v_{m-1}(\rho) < \lambda_m < v_m(\rho)$$

Strictly hyperbolic system, with only one possibly negative eigenvalue λ_1 .





Eigen-structure of Multi-class LWR models

- **Solution** Exploit structure of $DQ = D + ae^T$ to obtain its eigen-decomposition!
- **Solution** Theorem Let $\rho_i \neq 0$, $\forall i$ and $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \ldots < \beta_n$. Then the eigenvalues of DQ are the real roots of the function

$$0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\rho_i v'_i(\rho)}{v_i(\rho) - \lambda} = R(\lambda)$$

Right and Left (non-normalized) eigenvectors by

$$r_i(\lambda) = rac{
ho_i v_i'(
ho)}{v_i(
ho) - \lambda}, \quad l_i(\lambda) = rac{1}{v_i(
ho) - \lambda}.$$



Numerical schemes for Multi-class LWR models

Conservative Numerical Schemes: $\frac{dU_i}{dt} + \frac{1}{\Delta t}$

$$\frac{dU_i}{dt} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\bar{Q}_{i+1/2} - \bar{Q}_{i-1/2} \right) = 0$$

First Numerical Results [Wong, Wong]: Global Lax-Friedrichs (GLF)

$$\bar{Q}_{j,i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_j + \alpha \rho_j) + \frac{1}{2}(Q_{j+1} - \alpha \rho_{j+1}), \qquad \alpha = \max_{\rho} \{|v_1(\rho)|, \dots, |v_m(\rho)|\}$$

Qualitative behaviour OK BUT Very Poor Resolution (First order scheme)



HRSC schemes for Multi-class LWR models

Shu-Osher HRSC framework: Characteristic-based Local Lax FriedrichsLLF Numerical Flux function:

$$\bar{Q}_{i+1/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} r^k \left(\mathcal{R}^+(l^k \cdot \frac{Q + \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) + \mathcal{R}^-(l^k \cdot \frac{Q - \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) \right)$$

 α_k estimate of the local k-th speed at the i + 1/2 interface.

BUT Eigen-structure of
$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial U}$$
 WAS NOT explicitly known,

[Wong et al] propose to use a component-wise WENO5GLF scheme:

$$\bar{Q}_{j,i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{R}^+(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j + \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{R}^-(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j - \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}),$$

 $\mathcal{R}^{\pm} \equiv \pm$ -biased WENO5-rec.

Adaptive WENO schemes

[Burger, Kozakevicius, JCP]

Same GLF-WENO5 Component-wise flux splitting

$$\bar{Q}_{j,i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{R}^+(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j + \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{R}^-(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j - \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}),$$

 $\alpha = \max_{\rho} (|v_1(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i v'_i(\rho)|, v_m(\rho));$

- Multiresolution-based Sparse-Point-Representation (MR-SPR) of numerical solution.
- Adaptive techniques optimize computational resources, while maintaining the HRSC properties of the basic underlying scheme.

Characteristic-Based Shu-Osher WENO

BUT Eigen-structure of $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial U}$ can be obtained by

Siven λ_k , Compute r^k , l^k (and normalize)

$$r_l^k = \frac{\rho_l v_l'(\rho)}{v_l(\rho) - \lambda_k}, \quad l_l^k = \frac{1}{v_l(\rho) - \lambda_k}$$

Characteristic-based Local Lax FriedrichsLLF Numerical Flux function:

$$\bar{Q}_{i+1/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} r^k \left(\mathcal{R}^+(l^k \cdot \frac{Q + \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) + \mathcal{R}^-(l^k \cdot \frac{Q - \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) \right)$$

 $\alpha_k = \max\{|\lambda_k(\rho_{i-1/2})|, |\lambda_k(\rho_{i+1/2})|, |\lambda_k(\rho_{i+3/2})|\}$

Characteristic-based versus component-wise HRSC

LLF-Characteristic-based WENO5

$$\bar{Q}_{i+1/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} r^k \left(\mathcal{R}^+(l^k \cdot \frac{Q + \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) + \mathcal{R}^-(l^k \cdot \frac{Q - \alpha_k U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) \right)$$

 $\alpha_k = \max\{|\lambda_k(\rho_{i-1/2})|, |\lambda_k(\rho_{i+1/2})|, |\lambda_k(\rho_{i+3/2})|\}$

GLF- Component-wise WENO5

$$\bar{Q}_{j,i+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{R}^+(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j + \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{R}^-(\frac{1}{2}(Q_j - \alpha\rho_j); x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}),$$

 $\alpha = \max_{\rho} (|v_1(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i v'_i(\rho)|, v_m(\rho));$

- GLF-Component-wise PHM:
 - **9** $\mathcal{R}^{\pm} \equiv \pm$ -biased Piecewise hyperbolic reconstruction, [Marquina]
- Numerical studies using Adaptive Mesh Refinement code AMR [Baeza,Mulet, IJNMF06] for basic Shu-Osher style scheme.

Memory Savings: AMR [Berger & Oliger]



$$\bullet$$
 $u_l = u_l(G_l)$, solution on grid G_l

Patches at same level can overlap, but information in u_l is maintained coherently.

Main AMR-steps

Adaption process:

- Flagging (+ safety region) [Gradients (Quirk), Higher order interpolation (Baeza-Mulet)]
- **Clustering**: Creations of rectangular patches
- **Transfer** of solution between patches

Main AMR-steps

Adaption process:

- Flagging (+ safety region) [Gradients (Quirk), Higher order interpolation (Baeza-Mulet)]
- **Clustering**: Creations of rectangular patches
- **Transfer** of solution between patches
- Flow Integration and time refinement:

Each single patch is integrated 'in isolation', using its own time step, so that $\Delta t_l/h_l = \text{constant}$ (indep. of *l*)

- **Treatment of patch boundaries** (Filling up the ghost cells)
- Conservative update (Flux Projection) (Sub-grid Transfer of Information. From fine to coarse).



AMR-based Convergence Studies

Drake model for traffic velocity:

$$V(\rho) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0})^2}, \rho_0 = 50 \text{veh/Km}$$

Nine-class model with distribution and total density [Wong et al, JCP 06]:





Non-Congested versus Congested traffic

Initial platoon with maximum density ρ_{max}

 $\rho_{max} = 40 \text{ veh/Km}$

 $\rho_{max} = 120 \text{ veh/Km}$





Congested traffic $\rho_{max} = 120$ **veh/Km**

 $T = 0.015h = 54s, N_0 = 20, Levels = 11 (N = 20480)$



Congested traffic





Non-congested traffic $\rho_{max} = 40$ **veh/Km**

 $I = 0.015h = 54s, N_0 = 20$, levels = 9 (N = 5120)



Non-Congested Traffic

WENO5-GLF Comp-wise, PHM-GLF Comp-wise, WENO5-LLF Spec



Convergence-Study: N=1600 , N=3200, N= 6400



WENO SPEC

WENO COMP

PHM COMP



Benasque-07 - p.21/27

A Block-Spec Flux-Splitting

- Compute only the *s* first characteristic eigen-values/vectors, λ_k , r^k , l^k , k = 1, ..., s at each interface ($\rho_{i+1/2} = .5(\rho_i + \rho_{i+1})$)
- Incorporate only this spectral information into the numerical flux:

$$\bar{Q}_{i+1/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} r^{k} \left(\mathcal{R}^{+} (l^{k} \cdot \frac{Q + \alpha_{k}U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) + \mathcal{R}^{-} (l^{k} \cdot \frac{Q - \alpha_{k}U}{2}; x_{i+1/2}) \right) \\ + \mathcal{R}^{+} \left((\sum_{k=s+1}^{m} r^{k} l^{k}) \frac{Q + \alpha U}{2}; x_{i+1/2} \right) + \mathcal{R}^{-} \left((\sum_{k=s+1}^{m} r^{k} l^{k}) \frac{Q - \alpha U}{2}; x_{i+1/2} \right)$$

$$\alpha_{k} = \max\{|\lambda_{k}(\rho_{i-1/2})|, |\lambda_{k}(\rho_{i+1/2})|, |\lambda_{k}(\rho_{i+3/2})|\}$$
$$\alpha = \max\{\alpha_{1}, |v_{m}(\rho_{i-1/2})|, |v_{m}(\rho_{i+1/2})|, |v_{m}(\rho_{i+3/2})|\}$$

 $\sum_{k=s+1}^{m} r^k l^k$, projector onto 'unknown characteristic fields'
 implemented as
 $I - \sum_{k=1}^{s} r^k l^k$

WENO5: GLF, **Block-Spec**-s = 1, **Full-Spec**

Non-congested traffic - GLF COMF GLF COMP GLF COMP B-SPEC 1 B-SPEC 1 B-SPEC 1 - SPEC SPEC - SPEC 27.08 19.675 7.28 19.67 27.07 7.275 19.665 27.06 7.27 19.66 19.655 27.05 7.265 19.65 7.26 27.04 19.645 7.255 27.03 19.64 7.25 19.635 0.97 0.975 0.965 0.98 0.985 0.99 0.985 0.995 1.01 1.015 1.02 1.02 1.06 0.96 0.99 1.005 1.025 1.03 1.035 1.04 1 0 4 5 1.05 1.055 - GLF COMF - GLF COMP - GLF COMP - B-SPEC 1 SPEC SPEC - SPEC 37.38 35.375 37.387 31.97 37.386 35.37 31.96 37.385 35.365 37.384 31.95 ^{┎╪╪╪}╪╪╪╪┿┿╵ 37.383 35.36 37.382 31.94 37.381 35.355 31.93 37.38 35.35 37.379 31.92 1.21 1 0 5 5 1.095 1.105 1.11 1.115 1.12 1.125 1.13 1.135 1.14 1.145 1.15 1.155 1.16 1.17 1.18 1.19 1.2 1.06 1.065 1 07 1 075 1.08 1.085 1.09 1.1

WENO5: GLF, **Block-Spec**-s = 4, **Full-Spec**

Non-congested traffic



WENO5: GLF, BS-1, BS-4, Full-Spec

Congested traffic

ID VALÈNCIA



Convergence-Study: Congested case

N=2560, N=5120, N=10240, N=20480



Conclusions

- Componentwise ENO/WENO reconstructions, slightly oscillatory. Oscillations do not diminish with grid refinement.
- Block-Spectral decomposition can help to obtain Essentially Oscillation Free solutions using only a part of the spectral information.
- Non-polynomial reconstructions with a smaller interpolatory stencil, such as PHM, better option for component-wise HRSC schemes.
- Adaptive Codes are essential to run these numerical studies.