



# Accelerator Physics Transverse motion

# Elena Wildner

Acknowldements to Simon Baird, Rende Steerenberg, Mats Lindroos, for course material

E.Wildner

NUFACTO8 School



#### Accelerator co-ordinates





# Rotating Cartesian Co-ordinate System



Two particles in a dipole field



✓ What happens with two particles that travel in a dipole field with different initial angles, but with equal initial position and equal momentum ?



- $\checkmark$  Assume that Bp is the same for both particles.
- ✓ Lets unfold these circles.....





✓ The horizontal displacement of particle B with respect to particle A.



- ✓ Particle B oscillates around particle A.
- $\checkmark\,$  This type of oscillation forms the basis of all transverse motion in an accelerator.
- ✓ It is called 'Betatron Oscillation'



#### Dipole magnet



- $\checkmark\,$  A dipole with a uniform dipolar field deviates a particle by an angle  $\theta.$
- $\checkmark$  The deviation angle  $\theta$  depends on the length L and the magnetic field B.
- $\checkmark$  The angle  $\theta$  can be calculated:



✓ If  $\theta$  is small:



✓ So we can write:  $\theta = \frac{LB}{(B\rho)}$ 





# 'Stable' or 'unstable' motion ?



- ✓ Since the horizontal trajectories close we can say that the horizontal motion in our simplified accelerator with only a horizontal dipole field is <u>'stable'</u>
- ✓ What can we say about the vertical motion in the same simplified accelerator ? Is it <u>'stable'</u> or <u>'unstable'</u> and why ?
- $\checkmark$  What can we do to make this motion stable ?
- ✓ We need some element that 'focuses' the particles back to the reference trajectory.
- $\checkmark$  This extra focusing can be done using:

# Quadrupole magnets



#### Quadrupole Magnet







### Resistive Quadrupole magnet







#### Quadrupole fields





 $\checkmark$  The <u>'normalised gradient'</u>, <u>k</u> is defined as:





#### Types of quadrupoles



✓ Rotating this magnet by 90° will give a:

Defocusing Quadrupole (QD)

NUFACTO8 School



# Focusing and Stable motion



- Using a combination of focusing (QF) and defocusing (QD) quadrupoles solves our problem of 'unstable' vertical motion.
- ✓ It will keep the beams focused in both planes when the position in the accelerator, type and strength of the quadrupoles are well chosen.
- ✓ By now our accelerator is composed of:
  - Dipoles, constrain the beam to some closed path (orbit).
  - Focusing and Defocusing Quadrupoles, provide horizontal and vertical focusing in order to constrain the beam in transverse directions.
- ✓ A combination of focusing and defocusing sections that is very often used is the so called: <u>FODO lattice</u>.
- ✓ This is a configuration of magnets where focusing and defocusing magnets alternate and are separated by nonfocusing drift spaces.



# FODO cell



#### ✓ The <u>'FODO' cell</u> is defined as follows:





# The mechanical equivalent



- ✓ The gutter below illustrates how the particles in our accelerator behave due to the quadrupolar fields.
  - ✓ Whenever a particle beam diverges away from the central orbit the quadrupoles focus them back towards the central orbit.

✓ How can we represent the focusing gradient of a quadrupole in this mechanical equivalent ?



#### The particle characterized



- ✓ A particle during its transverse motion in our accelerator is characterized by:
  - <u>Position</u> or displacement from the central orbit.
  - Angle with respect to the central orbit.



✓ This is a motion with a <u>constant restoring coefficient</u>, similar to the <u>pendulum</u>



## Hill's equation



These transverse oscillations are called <u>Betatron</u> <u>Oscillations</u>, and they exist in both horizontal and vertical planes.

The number of such oscillations/turn is  $Q_x$  or  $Q_y$ . (Betatron Tune)

(Hill's Equation) describes this motion

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + K(s)x = 0$$

If the restoring coefficient (K) is constant in "s" then this is just SHM

Remember "**s**" is just longitudinal displacement around the ring



#### Hill's equation (2)



- $\checkmark$  In a real accelerator K varies strongly with 's'.
- Therefore we need to solve Hill's equation for K varying as a function of 's'

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + K(s)x = 0$$

- ✓ The <u>phase advance</u> and the <u>amplitude modulation</u> of the oscillation are determined by the variation of K around (along) the machine.
- The overall <u>oscillation amplitude</u> will depend on the <u>initial</u> <u>conditions</u>.



Solution of Hill's equation (1)



$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + K(s)x = 0$$

- $\checkmark$  2<sup>nd</sup> order differential equation.
- ✓ Guess a solution:

 $x = \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta(s)} \cos(\phi(s) + \phi_0)$ 

- $\checkmark \epsilon$  and  $\phi_0$  are constants, which depend on the <u>initial</u> <u>conditions</u>.
- $\checkmark$   $\beta(s)$  = the <u>amplitude modulation</u> due to the changing focusing strength.
- $\checkmark$   $\phi(s)$  = the <u>phase advance</u>, which also depends on focusing strength.





✓ In order/to solve Hill's equation we differentiate our guess, which results in:

$$x' = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{d\omega}{ds} \cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi' \sin\phi$$

 $\checkmark$  .....and differentiating a second time gives:

 $x'' = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'' \cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \cos\phi$ 

Now we need to substitute these results in the original equation.

E.Wildner

NUFACTO8 School



# Solution of Hill's equation (3)



✓ So we need to substitute  $x = \sqrt{\epsilon \cdot \beta(s)} \cos(\phi(s) + \phi_0)$ and its second derivative

 $x'' = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'' \cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi'' \cos\phi$ 

into our initial differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + K(s)x = 0$$

# $\checkmark$ This gives:

 $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega''\cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi'\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi'\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi''\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi''\cos\phi + K(s)\sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\cos\phi = 0$ 

Sine and Cosine are orthogonal and will never be o at the same time



# Solution of Hill's equation (4)



$$\sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega''\cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi'\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega'\phi'\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi''\sin\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\phi''\cos\phi + K(s)\sqrt{\varepsilon}\omega\cos\phi = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing the 'Sin' terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

$$\sqrt{U}sing terms \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0 \longrightarrow 2\omega'\phi' + \omega^2\phi'' = 0$$

 $\checkmark$  So our guess seems to be correct

NUFACTO8 School



Solution of Hill's equation (5)



✓ Since our solution was correct we have the following for x:  $x = \sqrt{\epsilon . \beta} \cos \phi$ 



 $\checkmark$  Thus the expression for x' finally becomes:

$$x' = -\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi$$



## Phase Space Ellipse



 $\checkmark$  So now we have an expression for x and x'

$$x = \sqrt{\varepsilon.\beta} \cos\phi$$
 and  $x' = -\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon/\beta} \cos\phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon/\beta} \sin\phi$ 

✓ If we plot <u>x' versus x</u> we get an ellipse, which is called the <u>phase space ellipse</u>.





## Phase Space Ellipse (2)



 $\checkmark$  As we move around the machine the shape of the ellipse will change as  $\beta$  changes under the influence of the quadrupoles





## Phase Space Ellipse (3)





- ✓ The projection of the ellipse on the x-axis gives the <u>Physical transverse beam size.</u>
- ✓ The variation of  $\beta$ (s) around the machine will tell us how the transverse beam size will vary.





#### Emittance & Acceptance



- ✓ To be rigorous we should define the emittance slightly differently.
  - ✓ Observe all the particles at a single position on one turn and measure both their position and angle.
  - ✓ This will give a large number of points in our phase space plot, each point representing a particle with its co-ordinates x, x'.



- ✓ The <u>emittance</u> is the <u>area</u> of the ellipse, which contains a defined percentage, of the particles.
- ✓ The <u>acceptance</u> is the maximum <u>area</u> of the ellipse, which the emittance can attain without losing particles.



#### Matrix Formalism



- ✓ Lets represent the particles transverse position and angle by a column matrix. (x)
- ✓ As the particle moves around the machine the values for x and x' will vary under influence of the dipoles, quadrupoles and drift spaces.
- ✓ These modifications due to the different types of magnets can be expressed by a <u>Transport Matrix M</u>
- ✓ If we know  $x_1$  and  $x_1'$  at some point  $s_1$  then we can calculate its position and angle after the next magnet at position  $S_2$  using:

$$\binom{x(s_2)}{x(s_2)'} = M\binom{x(s_1)}{x(s_1)'} = \binom{a}{c} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(s_1) \\ x(s_1) \end{pmatrix}$$





- ✓ If we want to know how a particle behaves in our machine as it moves around using the matrix formalism, we need to:
  - Split our machine into separate element as dipoles, focusing and defocusing quadrupoles, and drift spaces.
  - Find the matrices for all of these components
  - Multiply them all together
  - Calculate what happens to an individual particle as it makes one or more turns around the machine



#### Matrix for a drift space









## Matrix for a quadrupole (2)



 $\checkmark$  We found :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{LK}{(B\rho)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{pmatrix}$$

✓ Define the focal length of the quadrupole as f=  $\frac{(B\rho)}{KL}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$



A quick recap.....



- ✓ We solved <u>Hill's equation</u>, which led us to the definition of <u>transverse emittance</u> and allowed us to describe particle motion in <u>phase space</u> in terms of β, α, etc...
- ✓ We constructed the <u>Transport Matrices</u> corresponding to <u>drift spaces</u> and <u>quadrupoles</u>.
- Now we must <u>combine</u> these <u>matrices</u> with the solution of <u>Hill's equation</u> to evaluate β, α, etc...



### Matrices & Hill's equation



- ✓ We can multiply the matrices of our drift spaces and quadrupoles together to form a transport matrix that describes a larger section of our accelerator.
- ✓ These matrices will move our particle from one point  $(x(s_1),x'(s_1))$  on our phase space plot to another  $(x(s_2),x'(s_2))$ , as shown in the matrix equation below.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(s_2) \\ x'(s_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x(s_1) \\ x'(s_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

- ✓ The elements of this matrix are fixed by the elements through which the particles pass from point  $s_1$  to point  $s_2$ .
- $\checkmark$  However, we can also express (x,x') as solutions of Hill's equation.

$$x = \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi$$
 and  $x' = -\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi - \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \sin \phi$ 



- $\checkmark$  Assume that our transport matrix describes <u>a complete turn</u> around the machine.
- ✓ Therefore :  $\beta(s_2) = \beta(s_1)$
- $\checkmark$  Let  $\mu$   $\,$  be the change in betatron phase over one complete turn.
- ✓ Then we get for  $x(s_2)$ :

 $x(s_2) = \sqrt{\varepsilon.\beta} \cos(\mu + \phi) = a\sqrt{\varepsilon.\beta} \cos\phi - b\alpha\sqrt{\varepsilon/\beta} \cos\phi - b\sqrt{\varepsilon/\beta} \sin\phi$ 



# Matrices & Hill's equation (3)





# Matrices & Twiss parameters



- ✓ Remember previously we defined:
- ✓ These are called <u>TWISS parameters</u>



✓ Remember also that m is the total betatron phase advance over one complete turn is.





✓ Our transport matrix becomes now:









- ✓ This matrix describes one complete turn around our machine and will vary depending on the starting point (s).
- ✓ If we start at any point and multiply all of the matrices representing each element all around the machine we can calculate a,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  for that specific point, which then will give us  $\beta(s)$  and Q
- ✓ If we repeat this many times for many different initial positions (s) we can calculate our <u>Lattice Parameters</u> for all points around the machine.





- ✓ Obviously m (or Q) is not dependent on the initial position 's', but we can calculate the change in betatron phase, dm, from one element to the next.
- ✓ Computer codes like "MAD" or "Transport" vary lengths, positions and strengths of the individual elements to obtain the desired beam dimensions or envelope ' $\beta$ (s)' and the desired 'Q'.
- ✓ Often a machine is made of many individual and identical sections (FODO cells). In that case we only calculate a single cell and not the whole machine, as the the functions  $\beta$  (s) and dµ will repeat themselves for each identical section.
- $\checkmark$  The insertion section have to be calculated separately.



 $\checkmark$ 

## The $\Omega(s)$ and Q relation.



$$Q = \frac{\mu}{2\pi}$$
, where  $\mu = \Delta \phi$  over a complete turn



✓ Increasing the focusing strength decreases the size of the beam envelope ( $\beta$ ) and increases Q and vice versa.



#### Tune corrections



- ✓ What happens if we change the focusing strength slightly?
- $\checkmark$  The Twiss matrix for our 'FODO' cell is given by:



- $\checkmark\,$  Add a small QF quadrupole, with strength dK and length ds.
- ✓ This will modify the 'FODO' lattice, and add a horizontal focusing term:  $\begin{pmatrix}
  1 & 0 \\
  -dkds & 1
  \end{pmatrix}
  \quad dk = \frac{dK}{(B\rho)}
  \quad f = \frac{(B\rho)}{dKds}$
- $\checkmark$  The new Twiss matrix representing the modified lattice is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -dkds & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\mu + \alpha \sin\mu & \beta \sin\mu \\ -\gamma \sin\mu & \cos\mu - \alpha \sin\mu \end{pmatrix}$$



#### Tune corrections (2)



$$\checkmark \text{ This gives } \begin{pmatrix} \cos\mu + \alpha \sin\mu & \beta \sin\mu \\ -dkds(\cos\mu + \sin\mu) - \gamma \sin\mu & -dkds\beta \sin\mu + \cos\mu - \alpha \sin\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

✓ This extra quadrupole will modify the phase advance  $\mu$  for the FODO cell. New phase advance  $\mu_1 = \mu + d\mu$ 

Change in phase advance

- $\checkmark\,$  If  $d\mu$  is small then we can ignore changes in  ${\cal B}$
- $\checkmark$  So the new Twiss matrix is just:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu_1 + \alpha \sin \mu_1 & \beta \sin \mu_1 \\ -\gamma \sin \mu_1 & \cos \mu_1 - \alpha \sin \mu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$



#### Tune corrections (3)



 $\checkmark\,$  These two matrices represent the same FODO cell therefore:

 $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\mu + \alpha \sin\mu & \beta \sin\mu \\ -dkds(\cos\mu + \sin\mu) - \gamma \sin\mu & -dkds\beta \sin\mu + \cos\mu - \alpha \sin\mu \end{pmatrix}$ 

✓ Which equals:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu_1 + \alpha \sin \mu_1 & \beta \sin \mu_1 \\ -\gamma \sin \mu_1 & \cos \mu_1 - \alpha \sin \mu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

✓ Combining and compare the first and the fourth terms of these two matrices gives:





If we follow the same reasoning for both transverse planes for both QF and QD quadrupoles

$$QD$$

$$dQv = +\frac{1}{4\pi} \beta v.dk_D ds_D - \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta v.dk_F ds_F$$

$$QF$$

$$dQh = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \beta h.dk_D ds_D + \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta h.dk_F ds_F$$

E.Wildner

NUFACTO8 School





Let 
$$\mathbf{dk}_{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{dk}$$
 for  $\mathbf{QF}$  and  $\mathbf{dk}_{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{dk}$  for  $\mathbf{QD}$ 

 $\beta_{hF}$ ,  $\beta_{vF} = \beta$  at **QF** and  $\beta_{hD}$ ,  $\beta_{vD} = \beta$  at **QD** 

Then:

$$\begin{pmatrix} dQv \\ dQh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_{vD} & \frac{-1}{4\pi} \beta_{vF} \\ \frac{-1}{4\pi} \beta_{hD} & \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_{hF} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dk_D ds \\ dk_F ds \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix relates the change in the tune to the change in strength of the quadrupoles.

We can invert this matrix to calculate change in quadrupole field needed for a given change in tune