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## Accelerator co-ordinates



## Rotating Cartesian Co-ordinate System

## Two particles in a dipole field

$\checkmark$ What happens with two particles that travel in a dipole field with different initial angles, but with equal initial position and equal momentum?


-     -         -             - Particle B

$\checkmark$ Assume that Bp is the same for both particles.
$\checkmark$ Lets unfold these circles......


## The 2 trajectories unfolded

$\checkmark$ The horizontal displacement of particle B with respect to particle $A$.

$\checkmark$ Particle B oscillates around particle A.
$\checkmark$ This type of oscillation forms the basis of all transverse motion in an accelerator.
$\checkmark$ It is called 'Betatron Oscillation'

## Dipole magnet

$\checkmark$ A dipole with a uniform dipolar field deviates a particle by an angle $\theta$.
$\checkmark$ The deviation angle $\theta$ depends on the length $L$ and the magnetic field $B$.
$\checkmark$ The angle $\theta$ can be calculated:

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)=\frac{L}{2 \rho}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{L B}{(B \rho)}
$$

$\checkmark$ If $\theta$ is small:

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)=\frac{\theta}{2}
$$

$\checkmark$ So we can write:

$$
\theta=\frac{L B}{(B \rho)}
$$



## ‘Stable’ or ‘unstable’ motion?

$\checkmark$ Since the horizontal trajectories close we can say that the horizontal motion in our simplified accelerator with only a horizontal dipole field is 'stable'
$\checkmark$ What can we say about the vertical motion in the same simplified accelerator? Is it 'stable' or 'unstable' and why?
$\checkmark$ What can we do to make this motion stable?
$\checkmark$ We need some element that 'focuses' the particles back to the reference trajectory.
$\checkmark$ This extra focusing can be done using:

## Quadrupole magnets

## Quadrupole Magnet

$\checkmark$ A Quadrupole has 4 poles, 2 north and 2 south
$\checkmark$ They are symmetrically arranged around the centre of the magnet
$\checkmark$ There is no magnetic field along the central axis.

S

## Resistive Quadrupole magnet



## Quadrupole fields

Magnetic $\quad$ y On the x-axis (horizontal) the field


- is vertical and given by:

$\checkmark$ On the $y$-axis (vertical) the field is horizontal and given by:

$\checkmark$ The 'normalised gradient', $k$ is defined as:



## Types of quadrupoles


$\checkmark$ Rotating this magnet by $90^{\circ}$ will give a:

## Defocusing Quadrupole (QD)

## Focusing and Stable motion

$\checkmark$ Using a combination of focusing (QF) and defocusing (QD) quadrupoles solves our problem of 'unstable' vertical motion.
$\checkmark$ It will keep the beams focused in both planes when the position in the accelerator, type and strength of the quadrupoles are well chosen.
$\checkmark$ By now our accelerator is composed of:
$\checkmark$ Dipoles, constrain the beam to some closed path (orbit).
$\checkmark$ Focusing and Defocusing Quadrupoles, provide horizontal and vertical focusing in order to constrain the beam in transverse directions.
$\checkmark$ A combination of focusing and defocusing sections that is very often used is the so called: FODO lattice.
$\checkmark$ This is a configuration of magnets where focusing and defocusing magnets alternate and are separated by nonfocusing drift spaces.
$\checkmark$ The 'FODO' cell is defined as follows:


## The mechanical equivalent

$\checkmark$ The gutter below illustrates how the particles in our accelerator behave due to the quadrupolar fields.

$\checkmark$ How can we represent the focusing gradient of a quadrupole in this mechanical equivalent?

## The particle CharaCterized

$\checkmark$ A particle during its transverse motion in our accelerator is characterized by:
$\checkmark$ Position or displacement from the central orbit.
$\checkmark$ Angle with respect to the central orbit.

$\checkmark$ This is a motion with a constant restoring coefficient, similar to the pendulum

## Hill's equation

These transverse oscillations are called Betatron
Oscillations, and they exist in both horizontal and vertical planes.
The number of such oscillations/turn is $\mathbf{Q}_{x}$ or $\mathbf{Q}_{y}$. (Betatron Tune)
(Hill's Equation) describes this motion

$$
\frac{d^{2} x}{d s^{2}}+K(s) x=0
$$

If the restoring coefficient $(K)$ is constant in " $s$ " then this is just SHM

Remember "s" is just longitudinal displacement around the ring
$\checkmark$ In a real accelerator $K$ varies strongly with 's'.
$\checkmark$ Therefore we need to solve Hill's equation for $K$ varying as a function of ' $s$ '

$$
\frac{d^{2} x}{d s^{2}}+K(s) x=0
$$

$\checkmark$ The phase advance and the amplitude modulation of the oscillation are determined by the variation of $K$ around (along) the machine.
$\checkmark$ The overall oscillation amplitude will depend on the initial conditions.

## Solution of Hill's equation (1)

$$
\frac{d^{2} x}{d s^{2}}+K(s) x=0
$$

$\checkmark 2^{\text {nd }}$ order differential equation.
$\checkmark$ Guess a solution:

$$
x=\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta(s)} \cos \left(\phi(s)+\phi_{0}\right)
$$

$\checkmark \varepsilon$ and $\phi_{0}$ are constants, which depend on the initial conditions.
$\beta(s)=$ the amplitude modulation due to the changing focusing strength.
$\phi(s)=$ the phase advance, which also depends on focusing strength.

## Solution of Hill's equation (2)

$\checkmark$ Define some parameters
$\checkmark$... and let $\phi=\left(\phi(\mathrm{s})+\phi_{0}\right)$
$x=\sqrt{\varepsilon} . \omega(\mathrm{s}) \cos \phi$
Remember $\phi$ is still a function of $s$

$\checkmark$ In order/to solve Hill's equation we differentiate our guess, which results in:

$$
x^{\prime}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{d \omega}{d s} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi
$$

$\checkmark$......and differentiating a second time gives: $x^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime \prime} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime \prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime \prime} \cos \phi$
$\checkmark$ Now we need to substitute these results in the original equation.

## Solution of Hill's equation (3)

$\checkmark$ So we need to substitute $x=\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta(s)} \cos \left(\phi(s)+\phi_{0}\right)$ and its second derivative
$x^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime \prime} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime \prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime 2} \cos \phi$
into our initial differential equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} x}{d s^{2}}+K(s) x=0
$$

$\checkmark$ This gives:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime \prime} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime \prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime 2} \cos \phi \\
+K(s) \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \cos \phi=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Sine and Cosine are orthogonal and will never be o at the same time

## Solution of Hill's equation (4)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime \prime} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime 2} \cos \phi \\
+K(s) \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^{\prime} \cos \phi=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\checkmark$ Using the 'Sin' terms

$$
2 \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\omega \phi^{\prime \prime}=0 \longrightarrow 2 \omega \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\omega^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

$\checkmark$ We defined $\beta=\omega^{2}$, which after differentiating gives $\beta^{\prime}=2 \omega \omega^{\prime}$
$\checkmark$ Combining $2 \omega \omega^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\omega^{2} \phi^{\prime}=0$ and $\beta^{\prime}=2 \omega \omega^{\prime}$ gives:

$$
\beta^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\beta \phi^{\prime \prime}=\left(\beta \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

$$
\frac{d \beta}{d s}=\frac{d \beta}{d \omega} \frac{d \omega}{d s}
$$

$\checkmark$ Which is the case as: $\beta \phi^{\prime}=$ const. $=1$ since $\phi^{\prime}=\frac{d \phi}{d s}=\frac{1}{\beta}$
$\checkmark$ So our guess seems to be correct
$\checkmark$ Since our solution was correct we have the following for $x$ :

$$
x=\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \cos \phi
$$

$\checkmark$ For $x^{\prime}$ we have now: $x^{\frac{d \omega}{d s}=\frac{\beta}{2 \omega}=-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{d \omega}{d s} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega \phi^{\prime} \sin \phi} \quad \omega=\sqrt{\beta}$
$\checkmark$ Thus the expression for $x^{\prime}$ finally becomes:

$$
x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

## Phase Space Ellipse

$\checkmark$ So now we have an expression for $x$ and $x^{\prime}$

$$
x=\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi \quad \text { and } x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

$\checkmark$ If we plot $x$ versus $x$ we get an ellipse, which is called the phase space ellipse.

$$
\phi=3 \pi / 2
$$



## Phase Space Ellipse (2)

$\checkmark$ As we move around the machine the shape of the ellipse will change as $\beta$ changes under the influence of the quadrupoles
$\checkmark$ However the area of the ellipse ( $\pi \varepsilon$ ) does not change

$\varepsilon$ is called the transverse emittance and is determined by the initial beam conditions.
$\checkmark$ The units are meter-radians, but in practice we use more often $\mathrm{mm} \cdot \mathrm{mrad}$.

## Phase Space Ellipse (3)


$\checkmark$ The projection of the ellipse on the $x$-axis gives the Physical transverse beam size.
$\checkmark$ The variation of $\beta(s)$ around the machine will tell us how the transverse beam size will vary.


## Emittance $\downarrow$ Acceptance

$\checkmark$ To be rigorous we should define the emittance slightly differently.
$\checkmark$ Observe all the particles at a single position on one turn and measure both their position and angle.
$\checkmark$ This will give a large number of points in our phase space plot, each point representing a particle with its co-ordinates $x, x^{\prime}$.

$\checkmark$ The emittance is the area of the ellipse, which contains a defined percentage, of the particles.
$\checkmark$ The acceptance is the maximum area of the ellipse, which the emittance can attain without losing particles.

## Matrix Formalism

$\checkmark$ Lets represent the particles transverse position and angle by a column matrix.

$$
\binom{x}{x^{\prime}}
$$

$\checkmark$ As the particle moves around the machine the values for $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ will vary under influence of the dipoles, quadrupoles and drift spaces.
$\checkmark$ These modifications due to the different types of magnets can be expressed by a Transport Matrix M
$\checkmark$ If we know $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ at some point $s_{1}$ then we can calculate its position and angle after the next magnet at position $S_{2}$ using:

$$
\binom{x\left(s_{2}\right)}{x\left(s_{2}\right)^{\prime}}=M\binom{x\left(s_{1}\right)}{x\left(s_{1}\right)^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{x\left(s_{1}\right)}{x\left(s_{1}\right)^{\prime}}
$$

## How to apply the formalism

$\checkmark$ If we want to know how a particle behaves in our machine as it moves around using the matrix formalism, we need to:
$\checkmark$ Split our machine into separate element as dipoles, focusing and defocusing quadrupoles, and drift spaces.
$\checkmark$ Find the matrices for all of these components
$\checkmark$ Multiply them all together
$\checkmark$ Calculate what happens to an individual particle as it makes one or more turns around the machine

## Matrix for a drift space

$\checkmark$ A drift space contains no magnetic field.
$\checkmark$ A drift space has length $L$.


## Matrix for a quadrupole

$\checkmark$ A quadrupole of length $L$.


Remember $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{y}} \propto \mathrm{x}$ and the deflection due to the magnetic field is: $L B$

$$
\frac{L B_{y}}{(B \rho)}=-\frac{L K}{(B \rho)} \cdot x
$$



$$
\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-\frac{L K}{(B \rho)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

## Matrix for a quadrupole (2)

$\checkmark$ We found:

$$
\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-\frac{L K}{(B \rho)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

$\checkmark$ Define the focal length of the quadrupole as $f=\frac{(B \rho)}{K L}$

$$
\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{f} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

A quick recap.......
$\checkmark$ We solved Hill's equation, which led us to the definition of transverse emittance and allowed us to describe particle motion in phase space in terms of $\beta, \alpha$, etc...
$\checkmark$ We constructed the Transport Matrices corresponding to drift spaces and quadrupoles.
$\checkmark$ Now we must combine these matrices with the solution of Hill's equation to evaluate $\beta, \alpha$, etc...

## Matrices $\downarrow$ Hill's equation

$\checkmark$ We can multiply the matrices of our drift spaces and quadrupoles together to form a transport matrix that describes a larger section of our accelerator.
$\checkmark$ These matrices will move our particle from one point ( $x\left(s_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)$ ) on our phase space plot to another ( $x\left(s_{2}\right), x^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)$ ), as shown in the matrix equation below.

$$
\binom{x\left(s_{2}\right)}{x^{\prime}\left(s_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{x\left(s_{1}\right)}{x^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right)}
$$

$\checkmark$ The elements of this matrix are fixed by the elements through which the particles pass from point $s_{1}$ to point $s_{2}$.
$\checkmark$ However, we can also express ( $x, x^{\prime}$ ) as solutions of Hill's equation.

$$
x=\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \cos \phi \quad \text { and } \quad x^{\prime}=-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-\sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$


$\checkmark$ Assume that our transport matrix describes a complete turn around the machine.
$\checkmark$ Therefore: $\beta\left(s_{2}\right)=\beta\left(s_{1}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Let $\mu$ be the change in betatron phase over one complete turn.
$\checkmark$ Then we get for $x\left(s_{2}\right)$ :

$$
x\left(s_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos (\mu+\phi)=a \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi-b \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \cos \phi-b \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

## Matrices $\downarrow$ Hill's equation (3)

$\checkmark$ So, for the position $x$ at $s 2$ we have...

$$
\sqrt{ } \varepsilon \cdot \beta \cos (\mu+\phi)=a \sqrt{ } \varepsilon \cdot \beta \cos \phi-b \alpha \sqrt{ } \varepsilon / \beta \cos \phi-b \sqrt{ } \varepsilon / \beta \sin \phi
$$

$\cos \phi \cos \mu-\sin \phi \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ Equating the 'sin' terms gives:

$$
-\sqrt{\varepsilon . \beta} \sin \mu \sin \phi=-b \sqrt{\varepsilon / \beta} \sin \phi
$$

$\checkmark$ Which leads to: $b=\beta \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ Equating the 'cos' terms gives:

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \mu \cos \phi=a \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \cos \phi-\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta} \sin \mu \cos \phi
$$

$\checkmark$ Which leads to: $a=\cos u+\alpha \sin \mu$
$\checkmark$ We can repeat this for $c$ and $d$.

## Matrices $\downarrow$ Twiss parameters

$\checkmark$ Remember previously we defined: $\quad \rightarrow \begin{aligned} & \alpha=-\beta^{\prime} / 2=-\omega \omega^{\prime} \\ & \beta=\omega^{2} \\ & \checkmark \text { These are called TWISS parameters } \\ & \gamma=\frac{1+\alpha^{2}}{\beta}\end{aligned}$
$\checkmark$ Remember also that $m$ is the total betatron phase advance over one complete turn is.

$$
Q=\frac{\mu}{2 \pi}
$$

Number of betatron
oscillations per turn
$\checkmark$ Our transport matrix becomes now:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Lattice parameters

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ This matrix describes one complete turn around our machine and will vary depending on the starting point (s).
$\checkmark$ If we start at any point and multiply all of the matrices representing each element all around the machine we can calculate $a, \beta, \gamma$ and $\mu$ for that specific point, which then will give us $\beta(s)$ and $\underline{Q}$
$\checkmark$ If we repeat this many times for many different initial positions (s) we can calculate our Lattice Parameters for all points around the machine.

## Lattice Calculations and codes

$\checkmark$ Obviously $m$ (or $Q$ ) is not dependent on the initial position ' $s$ ', but we can calculate the change in betatron phase, dm , from one element to the next.
$\checkmark$ Computer codes like "MAD" or "Transport" vary lengths, positions and strengths of the individual elements to obtain the desired beam dimensions or envelope ' $\beta(s)$ ' and the desired ' $Q$ '.
$\checkmark$ Often a machine is made of many individual and identical sections (FODO cells). In that case we only calculate a single cell and not the whole machine, as the the functions $\beta$ (s) and $\mathrm{d} \mu$ will repeat themselves for each identical section.
$\checkmark$ The insertion section have to be calculated separately.

## The $\Omega(S)$ and $Q$ relation.

$\checkmark Q=\frac{\mu}{2 \pi}$, where $\mu=\Delta \phi$ over a complete turn
$\checkmark$ But we also know: $\frac{d \phi(s)}{d s}=\frac{1}{\beta(s)}$
$\checkmark$ This leads to:

$\checkmark$ Increasing the focusing strength decreases the size of the beam envelope ( $\beta$ ) and increases $Q$ and vice versa.

## Tune corrections

$\checkmark$ What happens if we change the focusing strength slightly?
$\checkmark$ The Twiss matrix for our 'FODO' cell is given by:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Add a small QF quadrupole, with strength dK and length ds.
$\checkmark$ This will modify the 'FODO' lattice, and add a horizontal focusing term:

$d k=\frac{d K}{(\mathrm{~B} \rho)}$

$$
f=\frac{(B \rho)}{d K d s}
$$

$\checkmark$ The new Twiss matrix representing the modified lattice is:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ -d k d s & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$

## Tune corrections (2)

$\checkmark$ This gives $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\ -d k d s(\cos \mu+\sin \mu)-\gamma \sin \mu & -d k d s \beta \sin \mu+\cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu\end{array}\right)$
$\checkmark$ This extra quadrupole will modify the phase advance $\mu$ for the FODO cell.
New phase advance $-\mu_{1}=\mu+\mathrm{d} \mu$
$\checkmark$ If $d \mu$ is small then we can ignore changes in $\beta$
$\checkmark$ So the new Twiss matrix is just:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu_{1}+\alpha \sin \mu_{1} & \beta \sin \mu_{1} \\
-\gamma \sin \mu_{1} & \cos \mu_{1}-\alpha \sin \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Tune corrections (3)

$\checkmark$ These two matrices represent the same FODO cell therefore:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-d k d s(\cos \mu+\sin \mu)-\gamma \sin \mu & -d k d s \beta \sin \mu+\cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ Which equals:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu_{1}+\alpha \sin \mu_{1} & \beta \sin \mu_{1} \\
-\gamma \sin \mu_{1} & \cos \mu_{1}-\alpha \sin \mu_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\checkmark$ Combining and compare the first and the fourth terms of these two matrices gives:

$$
2 \cos \mu_{1}=2 \cos \mu-\mathrm{dk} \text { ds } \beta \sin \mu
$$

Only valid for change in $\delta$


In the horizontal plane this is a QF
$d \mu=\frac{1}{2} d k d s \beta \quad$,but: $\quad \mathrm{dQ}=\mathrm{d} \mu / 2 \pi$

$$
d Q h=+\frac{1}{4 \pi} d k . d s . \beta h
$$

If we follow the same reasoning for both transverse planes for both QF and QD quadrupoles


## Tune corrections (5)

Let $\mathbf{d k}_{\mathbf{F}}=\mathbf{d k}$ for $\mathbf{Q F}$ and $\mathbf{d k}_{\mathbf{D}}=\mathbf{d k}$ for $\mathbf{Q D}$
$\beta_{\mathrm{hF}}, \beta_{\mathrm{vF}}=\beta$ at $\mathbf{Q F}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{hD}}, \beta_{\mathrm{vD}}=\beta$ at $\mathbf{Q D}$
Then:


This matrix relates the change in the tune to the change in strength of the quadrupoles.
We can invert this matrix to calculate change in quadrupole field needed for a given change in tune

