



Introduction to future facilities II Neutrino Factory

J. Pozimski Imperial College London





Outline

- Neutrino factory baseline design
- Proton driver
- Target
- Pion capture and Decay
- Phase rotation and cooling
- Fast acceleration
- Decay rings
- Summary



Historical development

- US studies 1, 2 and 2a
- CERN Design study
- JPARC Design study
- BENE
- ISS-NF
- IDS-NF
- EUROv

'90
FP6
2005-2006
since 2007
2008 (summer)



Neutrino factory baseline design





Proton driver Parameters

Required beam parameters on target

Parameter	Value
Average beam power (MW)	4
Pulse repetition frequency (Hz)	50
Proton energy (GeV)	10±5
Proton rms bunch length (ns)	2±1
No. of proton bunches	3 or 5
Sequential extraction delay (µs)	≥17
Pulse duration, liquid-Hg target (µs)	≤40



Proton driver options

- an H⁻ linac with a 50-Hz booster RCS and a 50-Hz nonscaling, non-linear, fixed-field alternating gradient (NFFAG) driver ring
- an H⁻ linac with pairs of 50 Hz booster and 25 Hz driver synchrotrons (RCS)
- an H⁻ linac with a chain of three non-scaling FFAG rings in series
- an H⁻ linac with two slower cycling synchrotrons and two holding rings
- a full energy H⁻ linac with an accumulator and bunch compression ring(s)



The Linac, RCS, NFFAG option 200 MeV H + H', H' beam coveries collimaters 3 GeV RCS booster dipoles. triplet 66 cells - 10 GeV 8° dipole NEFAG triplet → Hº, H⁺ dipolas H^{*} collimators 200 MeV H* linee extraction + cavities 20.0- $\beta(m)$ 0.0 -Beance (in) 6.0 -D(m) 0.0 inscense (red)



Linac/compressor ring option at CERN



The time structure of the chopped linac beam is chosen such that the beam circulating in the accumulator forms 5 bunches. At the end of accumulation, bunches are successively sent to the compressor ring. Inside the compressor, bunches rotate in the longitudinal phase plane. After 36 turns, the first bunch has a minimal length of approximately 2 ns, whereupon it can be ejected to the target. The following bunches are then successively ejected.





3 Proton (H-) Front ends are under construction: FNAL - HINS Injector for Project X :60 MeV RFQ/Chopper/Linac 50 Hz (5 Hz), 30 mA, , 1ms, 325 MHz (1.3 GHz - SC ILC technology) CERN Injector for SPL: 3 MeV RFQ/Chopper 50 Hz, 70 mA, 0.4 ms, 352 MHz (704 MHz) RAL Injector for ISIS upgrade 3 MeV RFQ/Chopper -50 Hz, 60 mA, 2 ms, 324 MHz



Target - Pion / muon yield

Carbon target maximun yield ~ @ 10 GeV

Mercury target

maximun yield ~ @ 10 GeV







Liquid mercury target - Merit experiment





Mercury Target - 4+4 TP illumination Merit results







Single Turn Extraction → 0 Delay 4TP Probe extracted on subsequent turn → 3.2 μs Delay

4TP Probe extracted after 2nd full turn → 5.8 μs Delay









Solid Targets and Stress Test wire, 0.5 mm diameter Pulsed Power Supply Number of pulses on target ulu 0-60 kV: 0-8000 A Coaxial wires 100 ns rise and fall time and number of Protons per 800 ns flat top pulse to be optimized Repetition rate 50 Hz or sub-multiples of 2 Vacuum chamber. $2-10 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar Results TUNGSTEN ranget Power + 4 MW, repetition nets + 50 Hz, sparating at 2000 K Boar every - 6 Oal (perchalle durmbarian) LS-DOLA 2 na long burches Beam radius + Rod radius Enangy depetition from MARS 0.00 3 exercises in 3 one diameter target 10.00 micro-culter Peek Ven Wises Strass [APa] Lorentz Force Thermal Force Lorentz + Thermal Force marcon pretor Radial characturistic (6)JM set of the set o tire. 100 ns pulse 3 mero-pulses in 3 cm diameter target ы 248 Goran Skoro S micho -publica in 2 cm diameter tanget 0.1 0.2 6.5 0.5 0.6 0.2 0 0.6 0.9 time (ap) di In her dass on south start Goran Skoro Macro-pulse length, us



Target - other ideas





Pion decay : MARS simulation results





Phase rotation and cooling

- Drift $-\pi \rightarrow \mu$ decay
 - beam develops ϕ -E correlation
- Buncher
 - Form μ -beam into string of ~200 MHz bunches
 - ~100m, ~70 bunches
- φ -E Rotator -rotate bunches to ~equal energies
 - Adiabatic
- Cooler







Phase rotation

Low RF frequency : large longitudinal acceptance but low RF voltage High RF frequency : low longitudinal acceptance but larger RF voltage





Muon ionisation Cooling



3 D energy loss in Absorber material (Hydrogen)

Recovery of longitudinal energy but use of RF cavity

Final transversal emittance defined by costs and equilibrium due to scattering

Trade off (costs) between cooling and increasing accelerator acceptance



The Mice experiment at RAL

To measure the cooling efficiency a short section of a cooling channel is under construction. A Target dips into the halo of the circulating ISIS beam and produces Pions which are the extracted and decay to Muons. The beam emittance is measured (better than 1%) before and after the cooling by the use of scintillation fiber trackers.





Dispersive elements (dipoles) and wedged absorbers allow also longitudinal cooling. => Ring cooler, Helical cooling channel



RF cavities in magnetic fields

Problem :

To contain the beam within the acceptance of the cooling channel transversal focussing (solenoids 5T) is required together with an field gradient in the cavities of ~ 15 MV/m

High magnetic fields degrades the available accelerating voltage (dark currents, RF breakdown) to below 10 MV/m and causes damage of RF cavities

Extensive experimental program underway to investigate this problem (surface roughness, coating, magnetic isolation)



- Linear Pre-accelerator (244 MeV to 900 MeV)
- RLA I 4.5 pass, 0.6 GeV/pass, (0.9 GeV to 3.6 GeV)
- RLA II 4.5 pass, 2 GeV/pass (3.6 GeV to 12.6 GeV)
- Non scaling FFAG 8 revolutions (12.6 GeV to 25 GeV)



SC Linac - 201 MHz - 244 to 909 MeV







Transfer line from Linac to first RLA



Tue Mar 18 13:50:11 2008 OptiM - MAIN: - D:\IDS\Arcs\double_chicane3.opt

Imperial College London





RLA 1 - FODO



initial phase adv/cell 90 deg – fixed gradient in all cells (no scaling with energy)

1-pass, 1290-1800 MeV

Tue Mar 18 00:02:10 2008 OptiM - MAIN: - D:\IDS\Linacs\Linac1.opt

phase adv. diminish uniformly in both planes





Mirror symetric droplet arcs





Droplet arc scaling for RLA 1

i = 14	E _i [GeV]	p _i /p ₁	cell_out	cell_in	length [m]
Arc1	1.2	1	2×2	10	130
Arc2	1.8	3/2	2×3	15	172
Arc3	2.4	2	2×4	20	214
Arc4	3.0	5/2	2×5	25	256

- Fixed dipole field: B_i =10.5 kGauss
- Quadrupole strength scaled with momentum:

•
$$G_i = \frac{p_i}{n} \times 0.4$$
 kGauss/cm

• Arc circumference increases by: $(1+1+5) \times 6 \text{ m} = 42 \text{ m}$



FFAG's and cyclotrons







FFAG

- Fixed magnetic field
- Transversal focusing
- Phase slip due to acceleration



Scaling and non scaling FFAG's F D D D く High E High E Low E Scaling FFAG Non-Scaling FFAG Low E $B = B_0$ where k=7.5 Magnets are large, complex & expensive!



EMMA - first NS FFAG under construction





Lattice - Cell tune and resonance crossing





Phase slip during acceleration



Transversal motion increases the phase slip





Decay rings - Racetrack





Decay rings triangle





Neutrinofactory, superbeam, β beam Neutrinofactory :

 $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \overline{\nu_e} \nu_\mu$ and $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e \overline{\nu_\mu}$ Defined energy given by muon, 12 channels to observe, magnetized detector required to distinguish sign of particles, 3500 km and 7500 km baselines.

Superbeam :

Broad energy spectrum upper limit defined by proton energy, pion decay, search for ν_{μ} disappearence or ν_{τ} , ν_{e} appearence, large volume detector (water Cerenkov), typical baselines 100 - 1000 km

 β -beam :

Defined energy spectrum defined by γ , pure $\nu_{e \text{ or }} \nu_{e}^{-}$ beams, 6 channels to observe, no magnetisation required - large volume detector (water Cerenkov), typical baselines 100 - 700 km





Summary

- Proton driver and target issues similar to superbeam
- Complex (and costly) handling of the post processing of muons
 - Phase rotation
 - Cooling
 - NSFFAG accelerators and dogbone linacs
 - Highest precission for very low θ_{13}
 - Low energy NF (3-4 GeV) could reduce cost without reducing precision to much



Acknowledgments

I would like to thank all members of the ISS and IDS study team for providing materials, specifically:

Harald Kirk,

David Neuffer,

Roger Bennett,

Bob Palmer,

Scott Berg,

Alex Bogacz,

Chris Densham,

Goran Skora

Rob Edgecock.....