A new proof of HLS inequalities

J. A. Carrillo

in collaboration with E. Carlen (Rutgers) and M. Loss (Georgia-Tech) (PNAS, 2010)

ICREA - Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Benasque, Spain

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Outline



A new proof of HLS inequalities

• A New Proof of the HLS inequality with Equality Cases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

A new proof of HLS inequalities ••••••••• A New Proof of the HLS inequality with Equality Cases

Two Inequalities, One Equation

Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality

^{*a*} It states that for all non-negative measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^d , and all $0 < \lambda < d$,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} f(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{\|f\|_p^2} \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} h(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{\|h\|_p^2}$$

where

$$h(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{(2d-\lambda)/2}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

and $p = \frac{2d}{2d - \lambda}$.

Moreover, there is equality if and only if for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, *f* is a non-zero multiple of $h(x/s - x_0)$.

^aE. Lieb, Ann. Math 1983.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality

^{*a*} It states that for all non-negative measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^d , and all $0 < \lambda < d$,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} f(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{\|f\|_p^2} \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} h(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y}{\|h\|_p^2}$$

where

$$h(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{(2d-\lambda)/2}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

and $p = \frac{2d}{2d - \lambda}$.

Moreover, there is equality if and only if for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, *f* is a non-zero multiple of $h(x/s - x_0)$.

^aE. Lieb, Ann. Math 1983.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

^{*a*} It states that for all locally integrable functions f on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \ge 2$, with a square integrable distributional gradient, and p with 1

$$\frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^{\theta} \|f\|_{p+1}^{1-\theta}}{\|f\|_{2p}} \ge \frac{\|\nabla g\|_2^{\theta} \|g\|_{p+1}^{1-\theta}}{\|g\|_{2p}}$$

where

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$$

and

$$\theta = \frac{d(p-1)}{p(d+2-(d-2)p)}$$

Moreover, there is equality if and only if for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, *f* is a non-zero multiple of $g(x/s - x_0)$.

^aDelPino & Dolbeault, JMPA, 2002.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

^{*a*} It states that for all locally integrable functions f on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \ge 2$, with a square integrable distributional gradient, and p with 1

$$\frac{\|\nabla f\|_2^{\theta} \|f\|_{p+1}^{1-\theta}}{\|f\|_{2p}} \geq \frac{\|\nabla g\|_2^{\theta} \|g\|_{p+1}^{1-\theta}}{\|g\|_{2p}}$$

where

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$$

and

$$\theta = \frac{d(p-1)}{p(d+2-(d-2)p)}$$

Moreover, there is equality if and only if for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, *f* is a non-zero multiple of $g(x/s - x_0)$.

^aDelPino & Dolbeault, JMPA, 2002.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

The Fast Diffusion Equation

The equality cases are steady states for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations related to the fast diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t)=\Delta u^m(x,t)\,.$$

u(x, t) solves the fast diffusion equation if and only if

 $v(x,t) := e^{td} u(e^t x, e^{\alpha t})$

with $\alpha = 2 - d(1 - m)$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x,t) = \Delta v^m(x,t) + \nabla \cdot [xv(x,t)] .$$

$$v_{\infty}(x) := \left(\frac{2m}{(1-m)}\right)^{1/(1-m)} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{1/(1-m)}$$

is a stationary solution with certain mass M.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

The Fast Diffusion Equation

The equality cases are steady states for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations related to the fast diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t)=\Delta u^m(x,t)\,.$$

u(x, t) solves the fast diffusion equation if and only if

$$v(x,t) := e^{td} u(e^t x, e^{\alpha t})$$

with $\alpha = 2 - d(1 - m)$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x,t) = \Delta v^m(x,t) + \nabla \cdot [xv(x,t)] .$$

$$v_{\infty}(x) := \left(\frac{2m}{(1-m)}\right)^{1/(1-m)} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{1/(1-m)}$$

is a stationary solution with certain mass M.

Two Inequalities, One Equation

The Fast Diffusion Equation

The equality cases are steady states for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations related to the fast diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t)=\Delta u^m(x,t)\,.$$

u(x, t) solves the fast diffusion equation if and only if

$$v(x,t) := e^{td} u(e^t x, e^{\alpha t})$$

with $\alpha = 2 - d(1 - m)$ satisfies

$$\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x,t) = \Delta v^m(x,t) + \nabla \cdot [xv(x,t)] .$$

$$v_{\infty}(x) := \left(\frac{2m}{(1-m)}\right)^{1/(1-m)} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{1/(1-m)}$$

is a stationary solution with certain mass M.

The connection

- The relation between the HLS optimizers, the GNS optimizers and the FD equation is more than a superficial coincidence, at least for certain λ , p and m.
- For $d \ge 3$, the $\lambda = d 2$ case of the sharp HLS inequality can be proved by using the fast diffusion flow for m = d/(d+2) to deform any reasonably nice trial function into a multiple of *h*.

Motivation: We choose *m* for the fast diffusion equation and λ for the HLS inequality in order to have *h* as stationary solution/equality case. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{1-m} = \frac{d+2}{2} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad m = \frac{d}{d+2}.$$

The exact value of the exponent for the GNS inequality

$$1$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The connection

- The relation between the HLS optimizers, the GNS optimizers and the FD equation is more than a superficial coincidence, at least for certain λ , *p* and *m*.
- For $d \ge 3$, the $\lambda = d 2$ case of the sharp HLS inequality can be proved by using the fast diffusion flow for m = d/(d+2) to deform any reasonably nice trial function into a multiple of *h*.

Motivation: We choose *m* for the fast diffusion equation and λ for the HLS inequality in order to have *h* as stationary solution/equality case. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{1-m} = \frac{d+2}{2} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad m = \frac{d}{d+2}.$$

The exact value of the exponent for the GNS inequality

$$1$$

The connection

- The relation between the HLS optimizers, the GNS optimizers and the FD equation is more than a superficial coincidence, at least for certain λ , *p* and *m*.
- For $d \ge 3$, the $\lambda = d 2$ case of the sharp HLS inequality can be proved by using the fast diffusion flow for m = d/(d+2) to deform any reasonably nice trial function into a multiple of *h*.

Motivation: We choose *m* for the fast diffusion equation and λ for the HLS inequality in order to have *h* as stationary solution/equality case. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{1-m} = \frac{d+2}{2} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad m = \frac{d}{d+2}.$$

The exact value of the exponent for the GNS inequality

$$1$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The connection

- The relation between the HLS optimizers, the GNS optimizers and the FD equation is more than a superficial coincidence, at least for certain λ , *p* and *m*.
- For $d \ge 3$, the $\lambda = d 2$ case of the sharp HLS inequality can be proved by using the fast diffusion flow for m = d/(d+2) to deform any reasonably nice trial function into a multiple of *h*.

Motivation: We choose *m* for the fast diffusion equation and λ for the HLS inequality in order to have *h* as stationary solution/equality case. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{1-m} = \frac{d+2}{2} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad m = \frac{d}{d+2}.$$

The exact value of the exponent for the GNS inequality

$$1$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The proof

The HLS functional

For $\lambda = d - 2$, the HLS inequality can be rewritten as $\mathcal{F}[f] \ge 0$ for all $f \in L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where

$$\mathcal{F}[f] := C_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{2d/(d+2)}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(d+2)/d} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left[(-\Delta)^{-1} f \right](x) \mathrm{d}x \,,$$

with

$$C_S := rac{4}{d(d-2)} |S^d|^{-2/d}$$
.

The GNS inequality

The GNS inequality with p = (d+1)/(d-1) can be written as $\mathcal{D}[g] \ge 0$ for all g with a square integrable distributional gradient on \mathbb{R}^d where

$$\mathcal{D}[g] := C_S \frac{d(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへ⊙

The proof

The HLS functional

For $\lambda = d - 2$, the HLS inequality can be rewritten as $\mathcal{F}[f] \ge 0$ for all $f \in L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where

$$\mathcal{F}[f] := C_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{2d/(d+2)}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(d+2)/d} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left[(-\Delta)^{-1} f \right](x) \mathrm{d}x \,,$$

with

$$C_S := rac{4}{d(d-2)} |S^d|^{-2/d}$$
.

The GNS inequality

The GNS inequality with p = (d+1)/(d-1) can be written as $\mathcal{D}[g] \ge 0$ for all g with a square integrable distributional gradient on \mathbb{R}^d where

$$\mathcal{D}[g] := C_s \frac{d(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

The proof

Dissipation of the HLS functional by the FD equation

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be non-negative, and suppose that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = M$$

Let u(x, t) be the solution of the FD equation with u(x, 1) = f(x). Then, for all t > 1,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,t)] = -2\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,t)].$$

HLS inequality via FD flow

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be non-negative, and suppose that

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = M \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|x| > R} j$

Then $\mathcal{F}[f] \geq 0$.

The proof

Dissipation of the HLS functional by the FD equation

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be non-negative, and suppose that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = M$$

Let u(x, t) be the solution of the FD equation with u(x, 1) = f(x). Then, for all t > 1,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,t)] = -2\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,t)].$$

HLS inequality via FD flow

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{2d/(d+2)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be non-negative, and suppose that

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = M \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|x| > R} f(x) |x|^{2/(1-m)} < \infty \, .$

Then $\mathcal{F}[f] \geq 0$.

The proof

Same steps as in the log-HLS case. The only difference is that the scaling relation implies now for all t > 0,

$$\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)] = e^{t(d-2)} \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

And thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = -\frac{8}{d+2}e^{t4/(d+2)}\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

from which

$$\mathcal{F}[f] - \left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^t e^{s4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4s/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}s \,.$$

Passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ and using Bonforte-Vazquez result with the assumptions on *f*, gives

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^\infty e^{t4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot, e^{4t/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{1}$$

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

The proof

Same steps as in the log-HLS case. The only difference is that the scaling relation implies now for all t > 0,

$$\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)] = e^{t(d-2)} \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

And thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = -\frac{8}{d+2}e^{t4/(d+2)}\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

from which

$$\mathcal{F}[f] - \left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^t e^{s4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4s/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}s \,.$$

Passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ and using Bonforte-Vazquez result with the assumptions on f, gives

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^\infty e^{t4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot, e^{4t/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{1}$$

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

The proof

Same steps as in the log-HLS case. The only difference is that the scaling relation implies now for all t > 0,

$$\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)] = e^{t(d-2)} \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

And thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = -\frac{8}{d+2}e^{t4/(d+2)}\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

from which

$$\mathcal{F}[f] - \left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^t e^{s4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4s/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}s \,.$$

Passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ and using Bonforte-Vazquez result with the assumptions on f, gives

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^\infty e^{t4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot, e^{4t/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{1}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The proof

Same steps as in the log-HLS case. The only difference is that the scaling relation implies now for all t > 0,

$$\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)] = e^{t(d-2)} \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

And thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = -\frac{8}{d+2}e^{t4/(d+2)}\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

from which

$$\mathcal{F}[f] - \left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^t e^{s4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4s/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}s \;.$$

Passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ and using Bonforte-Vazquez result with the assumptions on f, gives

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^\infty e^{t4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot, e^{4t/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{1}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The proof

Same steps as in the log-HLS case. The only difference is that the scaling relation implies now for all t > 0,

$$\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)] = e^{t(d-2)} \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

And thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = -\frac{8}{d+2}e^{t4/(d+2)}\mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4t/(d+2)})].$$

from which

$$\mathcal{F}[f] - \left(e^{-t(d-2)}\mathcal{F}[v(\cdot,t)]\right) = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^t e^{s4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot,e^{4s/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}s \;.$$

Passing to the limit $t \to \infty$ and using Bonforte-Vazquez result with the assumptions on f, gives

$$\mathcal{F}[f] = \frac{8}{d+2} \int_0^\infty e^{t4/(d+2)} \mathcal{D}[u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}(\cdot, e^{4t/(d+2)})] \,\mathrm{d}t \,. \tag{1}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Given a solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t) = \Delta u^{d/(d+2)}(x,t)$$

we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}[u] = C_s \frac{d+2}{d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{2d/(d+2)} dx \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{2d}{d+2} u^{(d-2)/(d+2)} \Delta u^{d/(d+2)} dx$$
$$- 2 \int \left(\Delta u^{d/(d+2)} \right) (-\Delta)^{-1} u dx$$
$$= -2C_s \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{2d/(d+2)} dx \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{d-2}{d+2} \right) \left(\frac{d}{d+2} \right) u^{-6/(d+2)} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$
$$+ 2 \int u^{(2d+2)/(d+2)} u dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Given a solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x,t) = \Delta u^{d/(d+2)}(x,t)$$

we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{F}[u] = C_s \frac{d+2}{d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{2d/(d+2)} dx \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{2d}{d+2} u^{(d-2)/(d+2)} \Delta u^{d/(d+2)} dx$$
$$-2 \int \left(\Delta u^{d/(d+2)} \right) (-\Delta)^{-1} u dx$$
$$= -2C_s \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{2d/(d+2)} dx \right)^{2/d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{d-2}{d+2} \right) \left(\frac{d}{d+2} \right) u^{-6/(d+2)} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$
$$+2 \int u^{(2d+2)/(d+2)} u dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Define

$$g = u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}$$
.

Then one computes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{-6/(d+2)} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \left(\frac{d+2}{d-1}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Now rewriting the right hand side in terms of g, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{F}[u] &= -2C_S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} \frac{d(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + 2\int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -2 \left[\frac{4|S^d|^{2/d}}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} - \int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Expressing this in terms of \mathcal{D} , we have the result

Define

$$g = u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}$$
.

Then one computes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{-6/(d+2)} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \left(\frac{d+2}{d-1}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Now rewriting the right hand side in terms of g, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{F}[u] &= -2C_S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} \frac{d(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + 2\int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -2 \left[\frac{4|S^d|^{2/d}}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} - \int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right] \,. \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Expressing this in terms of \mathcal{D} , we have the result.

Define

$$g = u^{(d-1)/(d+2)}$$
.

Then one computes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^{-6/(d+2)} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \left(\frac{d+2}{d-1}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Now rewriting the right hand side in terms of g, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{F}[u] &= -2C_S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} \frac{d(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + 2\int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -2 \left[\frac{4|S^d|^{2/d}}{(d-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2d/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/d} - \int g^{(2d+2)/(d-1)} \,\mathrm{d}x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶◆圖▶◆圖▶◆圖▶ ■ のへで

Expressing this in terms of \mathcal{D} , we have the result.