Approximate system

Strategy

Exact control of the approximate system

A system of Schrödinger equations modeling two trapped ions. Some controllability results and open problems.

Jean-Pierre Puel in collaboration with Sylvain Ervedoza and Mazyar Mirrahimi

JPP : Ikerbasque and BCAM, Bilbao and LMV, Université de Versailles St Quentin, Versailles jppuel@bcamath.org

Benasque, August 29., 2011.

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system 0 000 | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|
| Outline | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

- 1 Physical motivation
- 2 Mathematical model
- 3 Change of frame
- Approximate system
 - Lamb-Dicke approximation
 - Averaging approximation
- 5 Strategy
- 6 Exact control of the approximate system
 - Some invariant spaces

| | Trapped | ions or Qub | its | |
|--|---------|-------------|-----|--|

- The goal is to create quantum logic gates like the phase gate or the C-Not gate.
 See S.Haroche lectures at College de France on Quantum Information Theory (available on the web) and experiments by the group S.Haroche, J.M.Raimond and collaborators at ENS Paris.
- Experiments are based on trapped ions (qubits) with the case of one single trapped ion (one qubit problem) or two coupled trapped ions (two qubits problem).

| Trapped ions or Qubits | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

- Each ion is a two level system, trapped in an electromagnetic cavity, all ions are stabilized by the same spatial oscillations, here a harmonic oscillator with vibration quantum ω (phonon).
- The system is submitted to a superposition of electromagnetic waves of complex amplitude u_1 and u_2 . The phases depend on the spatial coordinate in order to be able to conserve the impulsion : when an ion absorbs a photon, its energy changes and its impulsion captures the photon impulsion and excites the (quantized) vibration modes (phonon) inside the trap.

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system O OOO | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | Mather | matical mode | el | |

- Two ions.
- Each ion is a two level system.
- · Coupled to the same quantized harmonic oscillator

$$A=\frac{1}{2}(-\partial_{xx}^2+x^2)$$

with vibration quantum ω . We have

$$A = \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$$

is the annihilation operator and

$$\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - rac{\partial}{\partial x})$$

is the creation operator.

| | NA 11 | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| | | | |

• Controls : two electromagnetic waves of complex amplitude *u*₁ and *u*₂ and phases depending on spatial coordinate :

$$u_j(t)e^{i(\Omega_j^Lt-k_jx)}, \ j=1,2,$$

• State of the system : 4-d vector-wave function

$$|\psi>=\psi=^{t}(\psi_{gg},\psi_{ge},\psi_{eg},\psi_{ee})$$

• Dynamics of the system described by the Hamiltonian H

$$i\bar{h}rac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi>=H|\psi>,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \frac{H}{\bar{h}} &= \omega A + \frac{\Omega}{2} (\sigma_{1,z} + \sigma_{2,z}) + \left(u_1 e^{i(\Omega_1^L t - k_1 x)} + u_1^* e^{-i(\Omega_1^L t - k_1 x)} \right) \sigma_{1,x} \\ &+ \left(u_2 e^{i(\Omega_2^L t - k_2 x)} + u_2^* e^{-i(\Omega_2^L t - k_2 x)} \right) \sigma_{2,x}. \end{split}$$

| Physical motivation Mathematical model Change of frame Approximate system Strategy Exact control of the approximate system | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mathematical model | | | | | | | | |
| Pauli matrices : $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\sigma_{1,z} = (e> < e - g> < g)_1 = \left(egin{array}{ccccc} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} ight)$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\sigma_{2,z} = (e> < e - g> < g)_2 = egin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\sigma_{1,x} = (g> < e + e> < g)_1 = \left(egin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} ight)$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\sigma_{2,x} = (g> < e + e> < g)_2 = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ | | | | | | | | |

| | 000 | |
|--|-----|--|
| | ŏoo | |
| | | |

$$\begin{split} i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} &= \omega A\psi + \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_{1,z}\psi + \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_{2,z}\psi \\ &+ (u_1e^{i(\Omega_1^Lt - k_1x)} + u_1^*e^{-i(\Omega_1^Lt - k_1x)})\sigma_{1,x}\psi \\ &+ (u_2e^{i(\Omega_2^Lt - k_2x)} + u_2^*e^{-i(\Omega_2^Lt - k_2x)})\sigma_{2,x}\psi, \\ \psi(0) &= \psi^0 \quad . \end{split}$$

Question : Given an initial configuration ψ^0 and a final configuration ψ^1 , can we find control amplitudes u_1 and u_2 in order to drive the system at time T "close" to ψ^1 ?

Parameters :

 $\begin{array}{ll} \omega \ \ \mbox{large and} \ \ \Omega \ \ \mbox{very large}, \\ |\Omega_1^L - \Omega| << \Omega, \quad |\Omega_2^L - \Omega| << \Omega, \quad \omega << \Omega, \\ |u_1| << \Omega, \quad |u_2| << \Omega, \quad |\frac{du_1}{dt}| << \Omega, \quad |\frac{du_2}{dt}| << \Omega. \end{array}$

| | | | 0000 | | 0000 | | | |
|-------------|--|--|------|--|------|--|--|--|
| Laser frame | | | | | | | | |

Set

$$\psi = e^{-i\frac{\Omega_1^L}{2}t\sigma_{1,z}} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\Omega_2^L}{2}t\sigma_{2,z}}\varphi$$

 $\varphi = e^{i\frac{\Omega_2^L}{2}t\sigma_{2,z}} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\Omega_1^L}{2}t\sigma_{1,z}}\psi.$

And

$$egin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= rac{\Omega - \Omega_1^L}{2}, \ \Delta_2 &= rac{\Omega - \Omega_2^L}{2}, \ k_1 x &= \eta_1 (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger), \ k_2 x &= \eta_2 (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_{j},\,j=1,2$ are the Lamb-Dicke parameters with

 $\eta_j << 1.$

| | lutau | 000 | |
|--|-------|-----|--|
| | | | |

$$A = \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2},$$
$$S(t) = e^{-i\omega tA} \cdot e^{-i\Delta_1 t\sigma_{1,z}} \cdot e^{-i\Delta_2 t\sigma_{2,z}}$$

(A, $\sigma_{1,z}$ and $\sigma_{2,z}$ commute.)

$$\xi(t) = S(-t)\varphi(t)$$
 , $\varphi(t) = S(t)\xi(t)$.

$$\begin{split} i\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} &= S(-t)\Big(u_1e^{2i\Omega_1^Lt - i\eta_1(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} + u_1^*e^{i\eta_1(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})}\Big)(|e > < g|)_1S(t)\xi \\ &+ S(-t)\Big(u_1e^{-i\eta_1(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} + u_1^*e^{-2i\Omega_1^Lt + i\eta_1(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})}\Big)(|g > < e|)_1S(t)\xi \\ &+ S(-t)\Big(u_2e^{2i\Omega_2^Lt - i\eta_2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} + u_2^*e^{i\eta_2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})}\Big)(|e > < g|)_2S(t)\xi \\ &+ S(-t)\Big(u_2e^{-i\eta_2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} + u_2^*e^{-2i\Omega_2^Lt + i\eta_2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})}\Big)(|g > < e|)_2S(t)\xi \end{split}$$

 $|\eta_1|, |\eta_2| << 1.$

$$e^{i\eta_j(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} \sim \left(Id + i\eta_j(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}) \right), \ e^{-i\eta_j(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\dagger})} \sim \left(Id - i\eta_j(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}) \right).$$

We then have (for example)

$$e^{i\omega tA}(e^{i\eta_1(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\dagger})})e^{-i\omega tA} \sim Id + i\eta_1(\mathbf{a}e^{-i\omega t} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}e^{i\omega t})$$

We obtain

$$i\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} = \left(u_1 e^{2i\Omega_1^L t} \left(Id - i\eta_1 (\mathbf{a} e^{-i\omega t} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega t}) \right) + u_1^* \left(Id + i\eta_1 (\mathbf{a} e^{-i\omega t} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right) e^{2i\Delta_1 t} (|e\rangle \langle g|)_1 \xi \\ + \left(u_1 \left(Id - i\eta_1 (\mathbf{a} e^{-i\omega t} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega t}) \right) + u_1^* e^{-2i\Omega_1^L t} \left(Id + i\eta_1 (\mathbf{a} e^{-i\omega t} + \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega t}) \right) \right) e^{-2i\Delta_1 t} (|g\rangle \langle e|)_1 \xi \\ + \cdots$$

| | 000 | |
|--|-----|--|
| | | |

First of all we take each control u_j to be a superposition of 3 monochromatic waves, two of them having a pulsation shifted by \pm a vibration quantum ω . In fact we take

$$u_1(t)e^{-2i\Delta_1 t} = v_0(t) + \tilde{v}_r(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{v}_b(t)e^{i\omega t}$$
$$u_2(t)e^{-2i\Delta_2 t} = w_0(t) + \tilde{w}_r(t)e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{w}_b(t)e^{i\omega t}.$$

Then, using the averaging approximation, we can show that we can neglect the rapidly oscillating terms as ω , Ω_1^L , Ω_2^L and Ω are very large.

| | Mathematical model | Change of frame | O O O●O | Strategy | 0000 | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| Approximate model | | | | | | | | |

Similar to Law-Eberly equations in the case of one qubit.

$$\begin{split} i\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} &= (\mathbf{v}_0 - i\eta_1\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_r\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} - i\eta_1\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_b\mathbf{a})(|g> < e|)_1 y\\ (\mathbf{v}_0^* + i\eta_1\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_r^*\mathbf{a} + i\eta_1\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_b^*\mathbf{a}^{\dagger})(|e> < g|)_1 y\\ (\mathbf{w}_0 - i\eta_2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_r\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} - i\eta_2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_b\mathbf{a})(|g> < e|)_2 y\\ (\mathbf{w}_0^* + i\eta_2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_r^*\mathbf{a} + i\eta_2\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_b^*\mathbf{a}^{\dagger})(|e> < g|)_2 y\end{split}$$

Writing

$$v_r = -i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_r, \ v_b = -i\eta_1 \tilde{v}_b,$$
$$w_r = -i\eta_1 \tilde{w}_r, \ w_b = -i\eta_1 \tilde{w}_b,$$

 ${\rm and}$

$$y = {}^{t} (y_{gg}, y_{ge}, y_{eg}, y_{ee}),$$

we obtain

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system ○ ○○● | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|
| Approximate model | | | | | | | | |

$$i\frac{\partial y_{gg}}{\partial t} = (v_0 + v_r \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} + v_b \mathbf{a})y_{eg} + (w_0 + w_r \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} + w_b \mathbf{a})y_{ge}$$

$$i\frac{\partial y_{ge}}{\partial t} = (v_0 + v_r \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} + v_b \mathbf{a})y_{ee} + (w_0^* + w_r^* \mathbf{a} + w_b^* \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})y_{gg}$$

$$i\frac{\partial y_{eg}}{\partial t} = (v_0^* + v_r^* \mathbf{a} + v_b^* \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})y_{gg} + (w_0 + w_r \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} + w_b \mathbf{a})y_{ee}$$

$$i\frac{\partial y_{ee}}{\partial t} = (v_0^* + v_r^* \mathbf{a} + v_b^* \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})y_{ge} + (w_0^* + w_r^* \mathbf{a} + w_b^* \mathbf{a}^{\dagger})y_{eg}$$

$$y(0) = y^0.$$

| | S | Strategy | |
|--|---|----------|--|

- Find a control (exact if possible) for the approximate system which drives an initial configuration to a desired one in time T. We would like to have only one of the controls $(v_0, v_r, v_b \text{ or } w_0, w_r, w_b)$ being active at each time these controls being piecewise constant (not mandatory...).
- Take this control in the original system. This will provide an approximate control for the real system in time *T*. This can be proved due to approximation properties for the Lamb-Dicke and the averaging approximations mentionned above.
- Approximate control is relevant here because when we switch off control we keep close to the target (property of Schrödinger system).
- Both the original and the approximate systems are reversible and preserve the $(L^2)^4$ -norm.



It remains to study the control properties for the approximate system. Here we have only partial results at the moment and a (strong) conjecture for obtaining the global result. We use the spectral decomposition of operator A. Its eigenfunctions ϕ_n

are the Hermite functions associated with eigenvalues $n + \frac{1}{2}$ that, for convenience, we may write $\phi_n = |n >$. We then have

$$A|n>=(n+\frac{1}{2})|n>,$$

and

 $\mathbf{a}|0>=|0>, \ \mathbf{a}|n+1>=\sqrt{n+1}|n>, \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}|n>=\sqrt{n+1}|n+1>.$



For instance, if we write $|gg, n\rangle = \langle n|y_{gg}\rangle$ and similar notations, and if only v_r is active, $|gg, n\rangle$ and $|eg, n-1\rangle$ form an independent system which solves

$$i\partial_t |gg, n\rangle = v_r \sqrt{n} |eg, n-1\rangle, \quad i\partial_t |eg, n-1\rangle = v_r^* \sqrt{n} |eg, n\rangle.$$

Of course, similar computations can also be done when the other controls are active.

We can represent these decompositions and their dynamics as follows:

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system O OOO | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | | | | |

Control of the approximate system

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{v}_{0} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n\rangle & \stackrel{|\mathsf{v}_{0}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |eg, n\rangle, \\ |ge, n\rangle & \stackrel{|\mathsf{v}_{0}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n\rangle, \end{array} & \mathsf{w}_{0} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n\rangle & \stackrel{|\mathsf{w}_{0}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ge, n\rangle, \\ |eg, n\rangle & \stackrel{|\mathsf{w}_{0}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n\rangle, \end{array} \right. \\ \mathsf{v}_{r} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n+1\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{v}_{r}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |eg, n\rangle, \\ |ge, n+1\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{v}_{r}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n\rangle, \end{array} & \mathsf{w}_{r} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n+1\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{w}_{r}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ge, n\rangle, \\ |eg, n+1\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{w}_{r}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n\rangle, \end{array} \right. \\ \mathsf{v}_{b} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{v}_{b}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |eg, n+1\rangle, \\ |ge, n\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{v}_{b}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n+1\rangle, \end{array} & \mathsf{w}_{b} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |gg, n\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{w}_{b}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ge, n+1\rangle, \\ |eg, n\rangle & \stackrel{\sqrt{n+1}|\mathsf{w}_{b}|}{\longleftrightarrow} |ee, n+1\rangle. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system O OOO | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | Easy | examples I | | |

One can go from any pure state |ee, n > to any pure state |gg, m >. Let us take the case m < n.

$$|ee, n \rangle \xrightarrow{\sqrt{n}|v_b|} |ge, n-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{|v_0|} |ee, n-1 \rangle \cdots |ee, m+1 \rangle$$

 $|ee, m+1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\sqrt{m+1}|v_b|} |ge, m \rangle \xrightarrow{|w_0|} |gg, m \rangle.$

| | | 000 | | |
|--|--|-----|------|--|
| | | 000 | 0000 | |
| | | | | |

To go from |gg, 0 > to $(|gg, 0 > +|ee, 0 >)/\sqrt{2}$, we use 4 steps: v_b, w_0, w_b, w_0 :

$$egin{aligned} |gg,0>\stackrel{v_b}{\longrightarrow}rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|gg,0>+|eg,1>)\stackrel{w_0}{\longrightarrow}rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ge,0>+|ee,1>)\ &\stackrel{w_b}{\longrightarrow}rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|gg,0>-|eg,0>)\stackrel{w_0}{\longrightarrow}rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|gg,0>+|ee,0>). \end{aligned}$$

| | Mathematical model | Change of frame | 0 000 | Strategy | 0000 | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|------|--|
| | | | | | | |

To go from $a_0|gg, 0>+b_0|ge, 0>+c_0|eg, 0>+d_0|ee, 0>$ with $|a_0|^2+|b_0|^2+|c_0|^2+|d_0|^2=1$ to |gg, 0>.

- Turn on w_0 to kill term in |ee, 0 >.
- Turn on v_r during t_1 with $|v_r|t_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ to obtain $a_1|gg, 0 > +b_1|ge, 0 > +c_1|gg, 1 >$.
- Turn on w_r during time t_2 to kill term in |gg, 1 >. We obtain $a_2|gg, 0 > +b_2|ge, 0 >$.
- Turn on w_0 to obtain |gg, 0 >.

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | Approximate system O OOO | Strategy | Exact control of the approximate system |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | Invar | iant spaces | | |

Let us introduce the spaces

$$\begin{split} X_n^0 &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |gg, n\rangle, |ge, n\rangle, |eg, n\rangle, |ee, n\rangle \right\} /_{\mathbb{C}}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ X_{n+1}^b &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |gg, n\rangle, |ge, n+1\rangle, |eg, n+1\rangle, |ee, n+2\rangle \right\} /_{\mathbb{C}}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ X_{n+1}^r &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |ee, n\rangle, |ge, n+1\rangle, |eg, n+1\rangle, |gg, n+2\rangle \right\} /_{\mathbb{C}}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} X_0^b &= \text{Span} \left\{ \; |ge, 0\rangle, |eg, 0\rangle, |ee, 1\rangle \right\} /_{\mathbb{C}}.\\ X_0^r &= \text{Span} \left\{ \; |ge, 0\rangle, |eg, 0\rangle, |gg, 1\rangle \right\} /_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{split}$$

- X_n^0 is invariant under the action of the controls v_0 , w_0 ;
- X_n^b is invariant under the action of the controls v_b , w_b ;
- X_n^r is invariant under the action of the controls v_r , w_r .

| Physical motivation | Mathematical model | Change of frame | O OOO | Strategy | ○●○○ |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|------|
| | | Invar | iant spaces | | |

We also define the spaces

$$\begin{split} Y_n^0 &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |gg, k\rangle, |ge, k\rangle, |eg, k\rangle, |ee, k\rangle, \ k \leq n \right\} / \mathbf{c}, \\ Y_n^r &= \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |ee, k\rangle, |ge, k+1\rangle, |eg, k+1\rangle, |gg, k+2\rangle, \ k+1 \leq n \right\} / \mathbf{c}. \end{split} \end{split}$$

We have

$$Y_n^0 = \bigcup_{k \le n} X_0^k,$$
$$Y_n^r = \bigcup_{k+1 \le n} X_{k+1}^r.$$

| | Toward a | conoral rocu | .1+2 | |
|--|----------|--------------|------|--|
| | | | | |

It can be shown, as for the "easy examples", that :

- X_0^r is controllable with controls v_r and w_r .
- Y_0^r is controllable with controls v_r , w_r and w_0 .
- Y_0^0 is controllable with controls v_r , w_r and w_0 .



In order to obtain a general result, it would be enough to show that we can drive Y_n^r to Y_{n-1}^r in a controlled time.

We have

$$Y_n^r = Y_{n-1}^r \cup X_n^r,$$

and we know that both Y_{n-1}^r and X_n^r are invariant under the action of v_r and w_r .

Therefore we would like to use only the controls v_r and w_r . Then we want to show that with these controls, any element of X_n^r can be driven to |ee, n > for example in a controlled time.

This question is still open at the moment. We are trying (without success until now) to give an explicit construction and this is a problem in X_n^r only and therefore in finite dimension (4) !!