Wave Equations with non Lipschitz coefficients

Ferruccio Colombini

Benasque, August 2011

Joint works

- (C.DG.S.'79) F.C.-E.De Giorgi-S.Spagnolo ('79)
- (C.L.'95) F.C.-N.Lerner ('95)
- (C.DS.K.'02) F.C.-D.Del Santo-T.Kinoshita ('02)
- (C.DS.R.'03) F.C.-D.Del Santo-M.Reissig ('03)

- (C.C.'06) M.Cicognani-F.C. ('06)
- (C.M.'08) F.C.-G.Métivier ('08)
- (C.DS.'09) F.C.-D.Del Santo ('09)
- (C.F.'10) F.C.-F.Fanelli ('10)
- (C.DS.F.M.) F.C.-D.Del Santo-F.Fanelli-G.Métivier (in progress)

Let us consider the Cauchy problem in $Q := [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n_x$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} \left(a_{ij}(t,x) \partial_{x_j} u \right) = 0 \\ u(0,x) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1, \end{cases}$$
(CP)

under the strict hyperbolicity assumption

$$\lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j \le \Lambda_0 |\xi|^2$$
$$0 < \lambda_0 , \qquad a_{ij} = a_{ji}$$

Classical assumptions:

 $t\mapsto a_{ij}(t,x)$ Lipschitz continuous uniformly in x $x\mapsto a_{ij}(t,x)$ smooth.

Then (\mathcal{CP}) is C^{∞} and, $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, H^s well-posed. Moreover

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 \le C_s \left(\|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H^{s-1}}^2\right), \, \forall t \in [0,T]$$

 $\forall u_0 \in H^s$, $\forall u_1 \in H^{s-1}$

In (C.DG.S.'79) one considers $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(t) \in LL([0,T])$, where $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$ is said Log-Lipschitz continuous if

$$||f||_{LL(I)} := \sup_{\substack{t,s \in I \\ 0 < |t-s| < 1/2}} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t-s||\log|t-s||} < +\infty,$$

and one proves that (CP) is still C^{∞} well-posed, but the phenomenon of the **loss of derivatives** arises:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{s-\beta t}}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(t)\|_{H^{s-1-\beta t}}^{2} \leq C_{s}\left(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{s}}^{2} + \|u_{1}\|_{H^{s-1}}^{2}\right)$$

for some $\beta > 0$ depending on λ_0 , Λ_0 and $||a_{ij}||_{LL([0,T])}$.

<u>Idea of the proof</u>: case n = 1, i.e. $\partial_t^2 u - a(t) \partial_x^2 u = 0$

- Fourier transform in \boldsymbol{x}

 $v(t,\xi) := \hat{u}^x(t,\xi) \Longrightarrow v \text{ solves } v'' + a(t)|\xi|^2 v = 0$ - Introduce $a_{\varepsilon} = a * \varrho_{\varepsilon}$

$$a \in \mathsf{LL} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} \int_0^T |a - a_\varepsilon| \, dt \le C\varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \\ \int_0^T |a'_\varepsilon| \, dt \le C \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \end{cases}$$

-Classical energy associate to the equation

$$E(t,\xi) = |v'(t)|^2 + a(t)|\xi|^2|v(t)|^2$$

- We define Approximate energy

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi) = |v'(t)|^2 + a_{\varepsilon}(t)|\xi|^2|v(t)|^2$$

and we obtain

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(0,\xi) \exp\left[c\left(\int \frac{|a_{\varepsilon}'|}{a_{\varepsilon}}dt + |\xi| \int \frac{|a-a_{\varepsilon}|}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}dt\right)\right]$$
$$\leq E_{\varepsilon}(0,\xi) \exp\left[c(t|\log\varepsilon| + t|\xi|\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|)\right]$$

- Now we choose $\varepsilon = |\xi|^{-1}$:

$$E_{\varepsilon}(t,\xi) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(0,\xi) \exp(ct \log |\xi|)$$

 \implies well-posedness in C^{∞} :

loss of derivatives proportional to \boldsymbol{t}

Log-Lipschitz regularity is optimal

Theorem (C.DG.S.'79). There exists a(t), $1/2 \le a(t) \le 3/2$, $a \in \bigcap_{\alpha < 1} C^{\alpha}([0,T]) \cap C^{\infty}(]0,T]$) and there exist $u_0, u_1 \in C^{\infty}$ s.t. (CP) has no solution $u \in C([0,T], \mathcal{D}')$

More precisely:

Theorem (C.L.'95). $\forall \Omega(\tau) \ s.t. \ \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \Omega(\tau) = +\infty$ there exists a(t), $1/2 \le a(t) \le 3/2$

$$|a(t + \tau) - a(t)| \le C|\tau| |\log |\tau| |\Omega(|\tau|)$$

and there exist $u_0, u_1 \in C^\infty$ such that (\mathcal{CP}) has no solution in \mathcal{D}'

Two natural questions:

- (i) What well-posedness results for coefficients with regularity between Lip and Log Lip?
- (ii) Loss of derivatives really occurs?

Answer to (i):

Theorem (C.C.'06). Let $a_{ij}(t)$ verify

$$|a_{ij}(t+\tau) - a_{ij}(t)| \le C|\tau| \left| \log |\tau| \right| \omega(|\tau|)$$

with $\omega(\tau) \searrow 0$ as $\tau \to 0^+$. Then:

 $\forall \delta > 0, \exists C_{\delta} > 0 \ s.t. \ \forall t \in [0,T]$ $\|u(t)\|_{H^{s+1-\delta}} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^{s-\delta}} \le C_{\delta} \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|u_1\|_{H^s}\right)$ *i.e. loss of derivatives* arbitrary small Answer to (ii):

Theorem (C.C.'06). For M > 0 let us set

$$\mathcal{A}(M) = \left\{ a(t) : \frac{1}{2} \le a(t) \le \frac{3}{2}, \|a\|_{LL} \le M \right\}$$

Then $\forall M, \exists \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}(M)$ and $\exists u_k \text{ s.t.}$

•
$$\partial_t^2 u_k - a_k(t) \partial_x^2 u_k = 0$$
,

•
$$||u_k(0)||_{H^1} + ||\partial_t u_k(0)||_{H^0} = 1$$

but
$$\forall t > 0$$
, $\forall s_0 < \frac{1}{10}Mt$ *it results*
$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\|u_k(t)\|_{H^{1-s_0}} + \|\partial_t u_k(t)\|_{H^{-s_0}} \right) = +\infty.$$

Remark. Real loss of derivatives proportional to Mt

Coefficients a_{ij} depending also on x:

$$\lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(t,x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \Lambda_0 |\xi|^2, \quad a_{ij} \in LL(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$$

Theorem (C.L.'95). Let u solution of (CP). Then $\exists T^*, \exists C, \exists \beta : \forall t \in [0, T^*]$ we have

 $\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \left(\|u(s)\|_{H^{1-\beta s}} + \|\partial_t u(s)\|_{H^{-\beta s}} \right) \le C \left(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_1\|_{H^0} \right).$ So (CP) is well-posed for $t \le T^*$.

Remark. T^* depends on $||a_{ij}||_{LL(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$

Let us now consider the case

 $\begin{cases} t \mapsto a_{ij}(t,x) & \text{Log} - \text{Lip uniformly in } x \\ x \mapsto a_{ij}(t,x) & C^{\infty} \end{cases}$

with $D_x^{\alpha}a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}, |\alpha| \leq 2.$

Theorem (C.L.'95). There exists $\beta > 0$, with $\beta = \beta(\lambda_0, \Lambda_0, \|a_{ij}\|_{LL}, \|D_x^{\alpha}a_{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}}), |\alpha| \leq 2, s.t.$ if u is solution of $(CP), \forall m \geq 0$ there exists C_m

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \le t \le T^*}} \left(\|u(t)\|_{H^{m+1-\beta t}} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^{m-\beta t}} \right)$$
$$\le C_m \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{m+1}} + \|u_1\|_{H^m} \right)$$

In order to prove these theorems we use **approximate energy**, **Littlewood-Paley decomposition** and **Bony paradifferential calculus**.

Idea of the proof: again for simplicity the case n = 1

We set

$$a_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \iint \rho_{\varepsilon}(t-s)\rho_{\varepsilon}(x-y)a(s,y)\,ds\,dy$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sup_{(t,x)} |a_{\varepsilon}(t,x) - a(t,x)| &\leq C \varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_x a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_t a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \end{split}$$

Now we use Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

Let $\varphi_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\xi})$, $0 \leq \varphi_0(\xi) \leq 1$, $\varphi_0(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 1$, $\varphi_0(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \geq 2$, φ_0 even and φ_0 decreasing on $[0, +\infty)$.

We set $\varphi(\xi) = \varphi_0(\xi) - \varphi_0(2\xi)$ and, if $\nu \ge 1$, $\varphi_{\nu}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-\nu}\xi)$.

Let w be a tempered distribution; we define

$$w_{\nu}(x) = \varphi_{\nu}(D_x)w(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \varphi_{\nu}(\xi)\hat{w}(\xi) d\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{\varphi_{\nu}}(y)w(x-y) dy$$

For all ν , w_{ν} is an entire analytic function belonging to L^2 and for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $K_m > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{K_m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \|w_{\nu}\|_{L^2}^2 2^{2m\nu} \le \|w\|_{H^m}^2 \le K_m \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \|w_{\nu}\|_{L^2}^2 2^{2m\nu}.$$

Let now u(t,x) be a solution of L(u) = 0 in $C^2([0,T], H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$.

We set
$$u_{\nu}(t,x) = \varphi_{\nu}(D)u(t,x)$$
.

We obtain

$$\partial_t^2 u_{\nu} = \partial_x (a(t, x) \partial_x u_{\nu}) + \partial_x ([\varphi_{\nu}, a] \partial_x u).$$

We introduce the approximate energy of u_{ν} , setting

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_t u_{\nu}|^2 + a_{\varepsilon} |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 + |u_{\nu}|^2 \right) dx,$$

and we estimate $\frac{d}{dt}e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t)$ by using the equation.

Finally we choose $\varepsilon = 2^{-\nu}$.

We define the total energy for the function u setting

$$E(t) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t),$$

with $\beta > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1/2$ to be suitably chosen and we estimate $\frac{d}{dt}E(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \le (C-2\beta) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu+1) \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t) + R$$

for some constant C, and with the reminder R due to the commutator $\partial_x([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\partial_x u)$.

In **(C.L.'95)** homogeneous assumptions in (t, x), but only global in x results

Questions:

- local existence results?
- local uniqueness results?

Local results (C.M.'08)

$$Lu := \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \partial_{y_i} \left(a_{ij} \partial_{y_j} u \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[b_j \partial_{y_j} u + \partial_{y_j} (c_j u) \right] + du, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

Assumptions: L defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{y} \in \Omega$; $a_{ij} \in LL(\Omega), b_j, c_j \in C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$; $d \in L^{\infty}$ Σ smooth surface, $\overline{y} \in \Sigma$, L strictly hyperbolic in the direction conormal to Σ . We can suppose $\Sigma = \{\varphi = 0\}$ near \overline{y}

Lemma. (i) $\forall s \in]1-\alpha, \alpha[$ and $u \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$ all terms in L are well-defined as elements of $H^{s-2}_{loc}(\Omega \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$

(ii) If $u \in H^s_{loc}(\Omega \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$ and $Lu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$ $\Longrightarrow u_{|\Sigma}$ and $X_{\Sigma}u$ are well-defined

Here X_{Σ} is a first order operator, depending on the second order part of L

We can now state the local results (C.M.'08)

Local existence:

Theorem. Let $s > 1 - \alpha$ and ω neighborhood of \overline{y} in Σ . Then $\exists s' \in]1 - \alpha, \alpha[$, Ω' neighborhood of \overline{y} in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} s.t.

$$orall \left\{ egin{array}{l} (u_0,u_1)\in H^s(\omega) imes H^{s-1}(\omega) \ & \ f\in L^2(\Omega'\cap\{arphi>0\}) \end{array}
ight.$$

the Cauchy problem

$$Lu = f, \quad u_{|\Sigma} = u_0, \quad X_{\Sigma}u = u_1$$

has a solution $u \in H^{s'}(\Omega' \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$

Local uniqueness:

Theorem. If $s > 1 - \alpha$ and $u \in H^s(\Omega \cap \{\varphi > 0\})$ satisfies

$$Lu = 0, \quad u_{|\Sigma} = 0, \quad X_{\Sigma}u = 0$$

then $u \equiv 0$ near \overline{y}

Let us consider

$$\partial_t (a_0(u)\partial_t u) + \sum_{j=1}^n [\partial_t (a_j(u)\partial_{x_j})u + \partial_{x_j} (a_j(u)\partial_t u)] - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (a_{ij}(u)\partial_{x_j} u) + \partial_t (b_0(u)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} (b_j(u)) = F(u)$$

Assumptions:

- strict hyperbolicity
- all coefficients $\in C^{\infty}$

Let
$$s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$$
 and $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_1 \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

It is well-known that (\mathcal{CP}) is well-posed, i.e. for some T > 0

$$\exists ! u \in C^{0}([0,T], H^{s}) \cap C^{1}([0,T], H^{s-1})$$

Moreover by uniqueness there exists T^* s.t. T^* is the maximal time of existence

Classical blow-up criterion:

If $T^* < +\infty$, then $\sup_{\substack{0 < t < T^*}} \left[\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|Du(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \right] = +\infty \qquad (\star)$ where $D := (\partial_t, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$

Theorem. Thanks to the previous local results, one can replace in (*) Lip norms with Log – Lip ones, i.e.

$$\sup_{0 < t < T^*} \left[\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_x)} + \|u(t)\|_{LL([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^n_x))} \right] = +\infty \quad (\star\star)$$

Until now, regularity of coefficients of Log – Lip type

In (C.DS.K.'02) another type of regularity, like

$$a_{ij}(t) \in C^1(]0,T]); \quad |\partial_t a_{ij}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{t}$$

 \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{CP}) well-posed in $C^{\infty},$ again with loss of derivatives

Proof is based on approximate energy, by using again **first** derivatives of $a_{ij}(t)$

- In (C.DS.R.'03) we use first and second derivatives: $a_{ij}(t) \in C^2([0,T]); \quad |\partial_t^k a_{ij}(t)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{t} \log \frac{1}{t}\right)^k, \ k = 1,2$ $\implies (CP)$ well-posed in C^∞ , with loss of derivatives **Problem.** If the previous assumption holds only for k =
- 1, do we have well-posedness?

Some energy estimates obtained by using

 $\partial_t^k a_{ij}(t), \ k = 1, 2, \text{ in S. Tarama '07}$

Assumption: Log–Zygmund type regularity

$$|a_{ij}(t+\tau) + a_{ij}(t-\tau) - 2a_{ij}(t)| \le C|\tau| |\log|\tau||, \ |\tau| < \frac{1}{2}$$
(LZ)

Remark. $a_{ij} \in LL \implies (LZ)$ satisfied. The converse is **not true**

Example. The Weierstrass function

$$w(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} n \sin(2^n t)$$

satisfies (LZ) but is not LL

Tarama uses approximate energy $\widetilde{E}(t,\xi)$ defined as

$$\tilde{E}(t,\xi) = \frac{1}{a(t)} \left| \partial_t v(t,\xi) + \frac{a'(t)}{2a(t)} v(t,\xi) \right|^2 + a(t) |v(t,\xi)|^2$$

where again $v(t,\xi) := \hat{u}^x(t,\xi)$ is the Fourier transform with respect to x of the solution u(t,x) (here for simplicity n = 1) and he proves that

$$(LZ) \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{CP})$$
 is well-posed

Log-Zygmund hyperbolic equations with coefficients depending on time and space - case n = 1.

In **(C.DS.'09)** one considers the case n = 1 $Lu := \partial_t^2 u - \partial_x (a(t, x)\partial_x u)$, with $0 < \lambda_0 \le a(t, x) \le \Lambda_0$ Assumptions:

$$|a(t + \tau, x) + a(t - \tau, x) - 2a(t, x)| \le C|\tau| |\log |\tau|| \quad (A)$$

$$|a(t, x + y) - a(t, x)| \le C|y| |\log |y|| \quad (B)$$

Theorem. (A) and (B) \implies (CP) for L is C^{∞} well-posed with loss of derivatives

Idea of the proof. We set again

$$a_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \iint \rho_{\varepsilon}(t-s)\rho_{\varepsilon}(x-y)a(s,y)\,ds\,dy,$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sup_{(t,x)} |a_{\varepsilon}(t,x) - a(t,x)| &\leq C \varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_{x} a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_{t} a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)\right)^{2} \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_{t}^{2} a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)|| &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_{t} \partial_{x} a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \\ \\ \sup_{(t,x)} |\partial_{t} \partial_{x} a_{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right) \end{split}$$

The total energy is

$$E(t) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t),$$

where $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta > 0$ to be suitably chosen, while

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \left| \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} u_{\nu} \right|^2 + \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}} |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 + |u_{\nu}|^2 \right) dx,$$

and u_{ν} is the standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition of u. Finally again we choose $\varepsilon = 2^{-\nu}$.

Remark that in the case of Colombini and Lerner the approximate energy of the ν component was simply

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_t u_{\nu}|^2 + a_{\varepsilon} |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 + |u_{\nu}|^2 \right) dx.$$

Again we have to estimate $\frac{d}{dt}E(t)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \le (C-2\beta) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu+1) \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t) + R$$

and the term R from the commutator $\partial_x([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\partial_x u)$ is now much more complicate to estimate. The term to estimate is

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t)2^{-2\nu\theta}$$
$$\cdot \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\partial_{x}([\varphi_{\nu},a]\partial_{x}u) \cdot \left(\overline{\partial_{t}u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_{t}\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}}{2\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}}}u_{\nu}\right)\right) dx$$
We set $\varphi_{-1} := 0$ and we define, for $\mu \ge 0$, $\psi_{\mu} := \varphi_{\mu-1} + \varphi_{\mu} + \varphi_{\mu+1}$. Then
 $\psi_{\mu}(D_{x})(\varphi_{\mu}(D_{x})\partial_{x}u) = \varphi_{\mu}(D_{x})\partial_{x}u = \partial_{x}u_{\mu},$

and, consequently,

$$[\varphi_{\nu}, a]\partial_{x}u = [\varphi_{\nu}, a]\left(\sum_{\mu} \partial_{x}u_{\mu}\right) = \sum_{\mu} ([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu})\partial_{x}u_{\mu}.$$

37

The term to estimate will be dominated by

$$C\sum_{\nu,\mu} k_{\nu,\mu} (\nu+1)^{1/2} \exp(-\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-\nu\theta} (e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t))^{1/2}$$
$$\cdot (\mu+1)^{1/2} \exp(-\beta(\mu+1)t) 2^{-\mu\theta} (e_{\mu,2^{-\mu}}(t))^{1/2},$$

where

$$k_{\nu,\mu} = e^{-(\nu-\mu)\beta t} 2^{-(\nu-\mu)\theta} 2^{\nu} (\nu+1)^{-1/2} (\mu+1)^{-1/2} \| ([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu}) \|_{L(L^2)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu})\|_{L(L^{2})} & \quad \text{if } |\nu - \mu| \leq 2 \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C2^{-\nu}(\nu + 1) & \quad \text{if } |\nu - \mu| \leq 2 \\ C2^{-\max\{\nu, \mu\}}\max\{\nu + 1, \mu + 1\} & \quad \text{if } |\nu - \mu| \geq 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to use Schur's Lemma, so we have to estimate

$$\sup_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} |k_{\nu,\mu}| + \sup_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} |k_{\nu,\mu}|.$$

Fixing suitably the value of βT^* , it is possible to prove that there exists a positive constant Γ_{θ} such that

$$\sup_{\mu} \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| + \sup_{\nu} \sum_{\mu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \le \Gamma_{\theta}.$$

We finally obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} \right. \\ \left. \cdot \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\partial_{x}([\varphi_{\nu},a]\partial_{x}u) \cdot \left(\overline{\partial_{t}u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_{t}\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}}{2\sqrt{a_{2}-\nu}}u_{\nu}} \right) \right) \, dx \right| \\ \left. \leq \Gamma_{\theta} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu+1) \exp(-2\beta(\nu+1)t) 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t) \end{split}$$

The conclusion follows.

In (C.F.'10) one considers the complete second order operator (again n = 1)

$$Lu := \partial_t^2 u - \partial_x (a(t, x)\partial_x u) + b_0(t, x)\partial_t u + b_1(t, x)\partial_x u + c(t, x)u ,$$
$$0 < \lambda_0 \le a(t, x) \le \Lambda_0$$

with coefficient a(t, x) again satisfying conditions

(A) (**Log-Zygmund** continuity in t)

(B) (Log-Lipschitz continuity in x)

while $b_0, b_1 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_t; C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_x)), \alpha > 0, c \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x)$.

Theorem. (\mathcal{CP}) for L is C^{∞} well-posed with loss of derivatives

Log–Zygmund hyperbolic equations with coefficients depending on time and space - case n > 1.

In (C.DS.F.M. in progress) one considers the case of more space variables: $n \ge 1$.

We consider

$$L = \partial_t^2 - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(t,x)\partial_{x_k})$$

strictly hyperbolic on the strip $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Assumptions:

$$\sup_{(t,x)} |a_{j,k}(t+\tau,x) + a_{j,k}(t-\tau,x) - 2a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le C|\tau| \Big| \log |\tau| \Big|,$$

$$\sup_{(t,x)} |a_{j,k}(t,x+y) - a_{j,k}(t,x)| \le C|y| \Big| \log |y| \Big|.$$

Theorem. (CP) for L is C^{∞} well-posed with loss of derivatives

Idea of the proof. We have now a difficulty in the definition of the approximate energy. In the case of Colombini and Lerner it is possible to pass from

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\partial_t u_{\nu}|^2 + a_{\varepsilon} |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 + |u_{\nu}|^2 \right) dx$$

to

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\partial_t u_\nu|^2 + \sum_{j,k}^n a_{j,k}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{x_j} u_\nu \overline{\partial_{x_k} u_\nu} + |u_\nu|^2 \right) dx,$$

where

$$a_{j,k}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \iint \rho_{\varepsilon}(t-s)\rho_{\varepsilon}(x-y)a_{j,k}(s,y)\,ds\,dy.$$

Now the presence of a_{ε} to the denominator is the cause of the introduction of paradifferential operators.

We define

$$a_{\varepsilon}(t,x,\xi) := \int \sum_{j,k}^{n} \rho_{\varepsilon}(t-s) a_{j,k}(s,x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \, ds$$

(remark that there is the regularization only w.r.t. t).

We denote by $T_{a_{\varepsilon}}$ the paradifferential operator associated to the symbol a_{ε} and by $\sigma_{a_{\varepsilon}}$ its classical symbol and the same for $\partial_t a_{\varepsilon}$, $\partial_t^2 a_{\varepsilon}$ and the powers of a_{ε} . It is possible to show that

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\sigma_{a_{\varepsilon}}(t,x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha}(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|},$$

 $|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\sigma_{a_{\varepsilon}}(t,x,\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|+|\beta|-1}\log(2+|\xi|).$ Moreover for $\sigma_{a_{\varepsilon}}$ the usual paradifferential spectral conditions hold. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\sigma_{\partial_{t}a_{\varepsilon}}(t,x,\xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha}\log(2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|}, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\sigma_{\partial_{t}a_{\varepsilon}}(t,x,\xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|+|\beta|-1}\log(2+|\xi|), \\ \end{aligned}$$
and
$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}\sigma_{\gamma,2}-(t,x,\xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\log(2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\sigma_{\partial_{t}^{2}a_{\varepsilon}}(\varepsilon, \omega, \varsigma)| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|+|\beta|-1}\log(2+|\xi|),\\ &|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\sigma_{\partial_{t}^{2}a_{\varepsilon}}(t, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}(1+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha|+|\beta|-1}\log(2+|\xi|),\\ &\text{and for both of them the usual spectral conditions hold.} \end{split}$$

Similarly we define and check the behaviour of the paradifferential operators associated to the powers of a_{ε} .

Finally we set

$$e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \|T_{a_{\varepsilon}^{-1/4}} \partial_t u_{\nu} - T_{\partial_t (a_{\varepsilon}^{-1/4})} u_{\nu}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|T_{a_{\varepsilon}^{1/4}} u_{\nu}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and the computations follow similarly to the previous case.

Open Problem. The case of coefficients $a_{ij}(t, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with Log-Zygmund regularity in all the variables t and x, even for n = 1.

Partial Answer (C.DS.F.M. in progress). The case of coefficients $a_{ij}(t, x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with Zygmund regularity (not only Log-Zygmund) in all the variables t and x.

In this case the coefficients are Log–Lipschitz continuous, and so (C.L.'95) the Cauchy problem is well posed, **but with** a loss of regularity.

Really, it is possible to prove, again by using the paradifferential calculus, that, thanks to the Zygmund regularity, the Cauchy problem is well posed **without** a loss of regularity for initial data u_0 , u_1 in **precise** Sobolev spaces: $H^{1/2}$, $H^{-1/2}$.

More precisely, one can prove for the solution of the Cauchy problem the following energy estimate:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^{1/2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(t)\|_{H^{-1/2}}^{2} \leq C\left(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{1/2}}^{2} + \|u_{1}\|_{H^{-1/2}}^{2}\right).$$