# Local Hamiltonians and Multipartite entangled states

### Giuseppe Florio

Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Dipartimento di Fisica (Università di Bari) & INFN



In collaboration with: P. Facchi, S. Pascazio, F. V. Pepe (Bari)

Mathematical Structures in Quantum Systems and applications, Benasque (July, 8 – 14 2012)

### Outline



- Bipartite entanglement and multipartite entanglement
- Construction of local Hamiltonians with highly multipartite entangled states in the spectrum
- Explicit examples for 3, 4 and 5 qubits
- The special case of GHZ states



### Entanglement



# Bipartite systems VS Multipartite systems

Two subsystems A and B: evaluate entanglement between them

Many subsystems: ?!?!

### From Bipartite to Multipartite Entanglement

The quantity  $\pi_A$  completely defines the **BIPARTITE ENTANGLEMENT** (one number is sufficient). It depends on the bipartition.

What about MULTIPARTITE ENTANGLEMENT? The numbers needed to characterize the system scale exponentially with its size.



Seminal ideas from Man'ko, Marmo, Sudarshan, Zaccaria: (J. Phys. A 02-03) Parisi: complex systems

• The distribution of  $\pi_A$  characterizes the entanglement of the system.

# Multipartite entanglement

Define the Potential of Multipartite Entanglement  $n_A$  as the average purity over balanced bipartitions

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}$$

$$\pi_{\mathrm{ME}}^{(n)}(|\psi\rangle) = \mathbb{E}[\pi_A] = \binom{n}{n_A}^{-1} \sum_{|A|=n_A} \pi_A$$

#### Minimization of this cost function

States minimizing the potential of multipartite entanglement:

Maximally Multipartite Entangled State (MMES)

(see Facchi, G.F., Parisi, Pascazio PRA 2008; Facchi, G.F., Marzolino, Parisi, Pascazio JPhysA 2009, JPhysA 2010)

### Multipartite entanglement

$$\frac{1}{2^{[n/2]}} \le \pi_{\rm ME}^{(n)}(|\psi\rangle) \le 1 \qquad n_A = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$$



Maximally Multipartite Entangled State (MMES):

$$\pi_{\rm ME}(|\varphi\rangle) = \pi_0^{(n)}$$
$$\pi_0^{(n)} = \min\{\pi_{\rm ME}(|\psi\rangle) \mid |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_S, \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$$

If the lower bound is saturated, the MMES is "perfect"



Facchi, GF, Pascazio, Pepe, PRA (2010), PRL (2011)

# General strategy for MMES



The problem of finding a Hamiltonian involving <u>local</u> (two-body and nearest-neighbor) interactions and on site external magnetic fields, one of whose eigenstates is a MMES, is non-trivial



General strategy for MMES



Separate local and non local parts of the Hamiltonian

 $H(\epsilon, \mathcal{K}) = H_{\text{loc}}(\epsilon, \mathcal{K}) + H_{\text{nonloc}}(\epsilon, \mathcal{K})$ 

### Objective:

find a set of parameters such that

$$H_{\rm nonloc}(\bar{\epsilon},\bar{\mathcal{K}})=0$$

(so that MMES is a non degenerate eigenstate, possibly the ground state)





MMES are equivalent by local unitaries to Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states

$$\begin{aligned} |G_1^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|000\rangle \pm |111\rangle\right), \\ |G_2^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|001\rangle \pm |110\rangle\right), \\ |G_3^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|010\rangle \pm |101\rangle\right), \\ |G_4^{\pm}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|011\rangle \pm |110\rangle\right). \end{aligned}$$



 $\sigma^z |i\rangle = (-1)^i |i\rangle$ 





on the GHZ basis

#### The most generic Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \epsilon_i^+ \mathcal{P}_i^+ + \epsilon_i^- \mathcal{P}_i^- \right) + H_{\mathrm{M}}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{\pm} = |G_i^{\pm}\rangle\langle G_i^{\pm}| \quad \text{with } i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad \text{Projections}$$

 $\epsilon_i$  Expectation value of the Hamiltonian on the GHZ basis

 $H_M$  Hermitian operator containing terms of the form

$$|G_i^{\pm}\rangle\langle G_j^{\pm}| + \text{H.c.} \text{ with } i \neq j$$



Exar





The Hamiltonian takes the form:

eliminated imposing

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\epsilon_i^+ + \epsilon_i^-) Q_i + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\epsilon_i^+ - \epsilon_i^-) C_i + H_M$$
  
Orthogonality holds:  
$$C_i C_j = 0 \qquad \forall i \neq j$$
  
Cubic terms can be  
eliminated imposing  
$$\epsilon_i^+ = \epsilon_i^- \quad \forall i$$



GHZ states can never be nondegenerate ground states of this Hamiltonian





3J

In order to check if there is no degeneracy we use a simplified version

$$H_{Jk} = J \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z + k \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x - \sigma_i^x \right)$$
  
with periodic boundary conditions  
$$|G_1^+\rangle \quad \text{corresponds to the eigenvalue (nondegenerate)}$$
  
for the set of parameters

$$J \neq 0, \qquad k \neq 0, \qquad J \neq -\frac{k}{2}$$





$$\min\{\pi_{ME}^{(4)}\} = \frac{1}{3}(\pi_A + \pi_{A'} + \pi_{A''}) = \frac{1}{3} \neq \frac{1}{4}$$







 $\epsilon_i$  Expectation value of the Hamiltonian on the basis states





### Example:

$$\mathcal{M}_{4}^{1} = \frac{1}{16} \left( \mathbb{I} + \sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{x} + \sigma_{2}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{x} + \sigma_{1}^{x} \sigma_{2}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{z} + \sigma_{1}^{x} \sigma_{3}^{x} \sigma_{4}^{z} \right) \\ + \sigma_{1}^{y} \sigma_{2}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{y} + \sigma_{1}^{y} \sigma_{3}^{x} \sigma_{4}^{y} + \sigma_{2}^{x} \sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{z} + \sigma_{2}^{x} \sigma_{3}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{x} \\ + \sigma_{2}^{y} \sigma_{3}^{y} \sigma_{1}^{z} + \sigma_{2}^{y} \sigma_{3}^{y} \sigma_{4}^{x} + \sigma_{1}^{x} \sigma_{2}^{x} \sigma_{3}^{y} \sigma_{4}^{y} - \sigma_{1}^{x} \sigma_{2}^{y} \sigma_{3}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{y} \\ + \sigma_{1}^{y} \sigma_{2}^{y} \sigma_{3}^{z} \sigma_{4}^{z} - \sigma_{1}^{y} \sigma_{2}^{x} \sigma_{3}^{y} \sigma_{4}^{z} + \sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{2}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{x} \sigma_{4}^{z} \right)$$

A difficult analysis...





From an analysis similar to the three qubits cas one can see that MMES can never be nondegenerate ground states for local Hamiltonian!

General conditions for non degeneracy are difficult to obtain. We consider

$$H_{Jk} = J(\sigma_4^x \sigma_1^z + \sigma_3^x \sigma_2^z) + k(\sigma_1^x \sigma_4^z + \sigma_2^x \sigma_3^z + \sigma_2^x \sigma_1^z + \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z - \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i^z)$$



$$J \neq 0, \quad k \neq 0, \quad J \neq \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}k, \quad J \neq \pm \sqrt{3}k$$

We have numerically generated a large sample of sets of parameters and analyzed the position of the MMES in the spectrum.

On the average, the MMES is inte center of the energetic band (in the best case is the second excited level)



 $\epsilon_i$ Expectation value of the Hamiltonian on the basis states





Also in this case is it non possible to have a MMES as nondegenerate ground state for a local Hamiltonian (same expectation value of the Hamiltonian if only two-body interaction terms are present)

It is possible to find local Hamiltonians witha MMES as a nondegenerate excited state (but the expressions are very complicated).

Numerical analysis based on analytical results show that MMES are placed in the center of the spectrum. Apparently it is not possible to reach lowlying excited states (not better than the 14th level).



 $|G_{+}^{n}\rangle |G_{-}^{n}\rangle$ 

They share the same m-body reduced density matrices and, thus, the same expectation values of m-body interaction terms





Is it possible to have a GHZ as nondegenerate excited state for an Hamiltonian with m-body interaction terms (m<n)?

Hamiltonian with m-body interactions (m < n)

$$H^{(m)} = \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m} J^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m}_{j_1 \dots j_m} \sigma^{\alpha_1}_{j_1} \dots \sigma^{\alpha_m}_{j_m}$$
$$\alpha_i = 0, x, y, z \quad \sigma^0_i \equiv 1_i$$

It is possible to show that if the Hamiltonian contains terms that couple less than

$$m_n^* = [(n+1)/2]$$

the GHZ state  $|G^n_+\rangle$  and any equivalent state by local unitaries cannot be a nondegenerate eigenstate





 $\Delta E = -4$ 

If interaction terms involve a sufficient number of bodies, degeneration can be avoided!



 $E^{(k)} = -n + 4k$ , with  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2[n/2]$ 





In order to lift the degeneracy we consider the Hamiltonian

$$H(\lambda) = H_0 + \lambda H_1$$

$$H_1 = \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x \dots \sigma_{[n/2]}^x - \sigma_{[n/2]+1}^x \dots \sigma_n^x$$

two string of of spin flipping matrices acting on one half of the system

It is not possible to reduce the number of addenda nor to reduce the range of the couplings.

We are interested in determining the position of the eigenstate

$$|G_{+}^{n}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle^{\otimes n} + |1\rangle^{\otimes n}\right)$$





It is easy to check that

$$H(\lambda)|G_{+}^{n}\rangle = -n|G_{+}^{n}\rangle$$

The twofold degenerate the ground state is split in two energy levels by the perturbation!

The state  $|G_{+}^{n}\rangle$  is left unchanged by the presence of the perturbation (is an excited state); the lower one represents the nondegenerate ground state for the Hamiltonian

$$H(\lambda) = H_0 + \lambda H_1$$

energy of the ground state:

$$E_0 = -n - 2(\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2} - 1)$$







- We constructed local Hamiltonians with highly multipartite entangled states in the spectrum
- We have found necessary and sufficient conditions for encoding GHZ states into the nondegenerate eigenstate of an Hamiltonian
- General conditions for MMES?
- Experimental feasibility?