Electron-ion entanglement and decoherence dynamics in trans-polyacetylene oligomers

Heiko Appel

Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Berlin



Outline

Correlated electron-ion dynamics

- Jordan-Wigner transform and exact diagonalization in Fock-Space
- Electron-ion correlation in trans-polyacetylene oligomers (SSH chains)

Electron-ion coupling: system-bath perspective

- Electrons vs. ions: Who is causing decoherence for whom?
- Connection to stochastic Schrödinger equation

Bi-linear electron-ion coupling

- Perimetric coordinates, reference system
- Accuracy of bi-linear electron-ion coupling?

Fock-Space representation of Fermionic and Bosonic operators

Jordan-Wigner Transformation: Represent Fermionic operators with spin matrices Anihilation Operators

$$\hat{c}_1 = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_+$$
$$\hat{c}_2 = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_z$$
$$\hat{c}_3 = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$$
$$\hat{c}_4 = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_+ \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$$

Creation Operators: Replace σ_+ by σ_-

The matrices constructed in this way obey the usual fermionic anti-commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} {\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j} &= 0\\ {\hat{c}_i^{\dagger}, \hat{c}_j^{\dagger}} &= 0\\ {\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_j^{\dagger}} &= \delta_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

Fock-Space representation of Fermionic and Bosonic operators

Bosonic operators

$$b_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{s-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} b_s^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & & & & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{s-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{s-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Operators in the combined space: Tensor product!

$$\hat{c}_{1}^{\dagger} = \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \cdots \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \sigma_{-}$$
$$\hat{c}_{2}^{\dagger} = \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \cdots \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{1}_{s} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \underline{1}_{2} \otimes \sigma_{-} \otimes \sigma_{z}$$
$$\cdots$$

$$\hat{b}_1^{\dagger} = \mathbf{1}_s \otimes \cdots \mathbf{1}_s \otimes \mathbf{b}_s^{\dagger} \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$$

 $\hat{b}_2^{\dagger} = \mathbf{1}_s \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}_s^{\dagger} \otimes \mathbf{1}_s \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2$

. . .

Su-Schrieffer-Heeger Hamiltonians for polyacetylene oligomers

Trans-polyacetylene



Su-Schrieffer-Heeger Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{elec}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} -t_0 (\hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n,\sigma} + \hat{c}_{n,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma})$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{ph}} = \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \frac{p_n^2}{2M} + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} (u_{n+1} - u_n)^2$$
$$\hat{H}_{\text{el-ph}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \alpha (u_{n+1} - u_n) (\hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n,\sigma} + \hat{c}_{n,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma})$$

W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 16981701 (1979). Typically solved with quantum-classical approaches.

In the following:

Fully quantum-mechanical SSH chains (small N) without Born-Oppenheimer approximation

Sparse Matrix representation of operators in Fock-Space

Fermionic creation operators





Dimensions used in the plots: $4^4 \times 8^2 = 16384$ (4 Fermionic sites and 2 Bosonic sites with s=8)

Of course: exponential wall of many-body problem!

Su-Schrieffer-Heeger Hamiltonians for polyacetylene oligomers

Exact diagonalization of $\hat{H}=\hat{H}_{\rm elec}+\hat{H}_{\rm ph}+\hat{H}_{\rm el-ph}$ in Fock space

$$\hat{H} | \psi_k \rangle = E_k | \psi_k \rangle$$

Fermionic N-body density matrix from eigenstates $|\psi_k\rangle$

$$\rho_F^k(t) = Tr_{\text{Bosons}}(|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|)$$

(Note: can have different particle numbers (Fock space)) Bosonic N-body density matrix

$$\rho_B^k(t) = Tr_{\text{Fermions}}(|\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|)$$

Time-evolution of Fock space states from TDSE

$$i\partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle, \qquad |\psi(t=0)\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$$

Partial traces

$$\rho_F(t) = Tr_{\text{Bosons}}(|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|)$$

$$\rho_B(t) = Tr_{\text{Fermions}}(|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|)$$

ELPA dense eigenvalue solver - http://elpa.rzg.mpg.de/

ScaLapack drop-in replacement, LGPL license



Powered by Plone Valid XHTML Valid CSS Section 508 WCAG

Dense-matrix diagonalization of up to 200.000 × 200.000 SSH chain matrices possible

Energy spectrum as function of ion mass



Lowest 4096 levels of the SSH Hamiltonian as function of the ion-mass

Carlson-Keller theorem - Phys. Rev. 121, 659

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 121, NUMBER 3

FEBRUARY 1, 1961

Eigenvalues of Density Matrices*

B. C. CARLSON AND JOSEPH M. KELLER Institute for Atomic Research and Department of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa (Received September 8, 1960)

For a system of N identical particles in a pure bound state, the density matrices of positive orders p and N - p have the same nonzero eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. If the number of nonzero eigenvalues is finite, these density matrices are unitarily equivalent.

$$\gamma_p(1,...,p;1',...,p') := \begin{pmatrix} N \\ p \end{pmatrix} \int \Psi(1,...,p,p+1,...,N) \Psi^*(1',...,p',(p+1),...,N) d^3(p+1)...d^3N$$

 $\gamma_p(1,...,p;1',...,p')$ and $\gamma_{N-p}(1,...,N-p;1',...,N-p')$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues.

Carlson-Keller theorem for electron-ion wavefunctions

Identical particles:

$$\gamma_{p}(r_{1},...,r_{p};r'_{1},...,r'_{p}) := \begin{pmatrix} N \\ p \end{pmatrix} \int \Psi(r_{1},...,r_{p},r_{p+1},...,r_{N_{e}})\Psi^{*}(r'_{1},...,r'_{p},r_{(p+1)},...,r_{N_{e}}) d^{3}r_{(p+1)}...d^{3}r_{N_{e}}$$

Electron-ion system: Trace over ionic coordinates

$$\gamma_{p}(r_{1},...,r_{N_{e}};r'_{1},...,r'_{N_{e}}) := \int \Psi(r_{1},...,r_{N_{e}};R_{1},...,r_{N_{e}};R_{1},...,r_{N_{n}}) d^{3}R_{1}...d^{3}R_{N_{n}}$$

Trace over electronic coordinates

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma_q(R_1,...,R_{N_{\mathbf{n}}};R_1',...,R_{N_{\mathbf{n}}}):=\ &\int \Psi(r_1,\ldots,r_p;R_1,\ldots,R_{N_{\mathbf{n}}})\Psi^*(r_1',\ldots,r_p';R_1,\ldots,R_{N_{\mathbf{e}}})\,d^3r_1\ldots d^3r_{N_{\mathbf{e}}} \end{aligned}$$































Occupation numbers of N-body RDM vs. el.-ph. coupling strength



Eigenvalues of the electronic N-body RDM of the SSH Hamiltonian as function of the electron-phonon coupling strength

Schmidt decomposition of electron-ion wavefunction

$$|\Psi(\underline{\underline{r}},\underline{\underline{R}};t)\rangle = \sum_{j} \sqrt{\lambda_{j}(t)} |\Phi_{j}(\underline{\underline{r}};t)\rangle |\chi_{j}(\underline{\underline{R}};t)\rangle$$

Note: no parametric dependence on $\underline{\underline{R}}$ for $\Phi_j(\underline{\underline{r}};t)$

Tracing over nuclear coordinates of pure-state density matrix

$$\hat{\rho}_{F}(\underline{\underline{r}};t) = Tr\underline{\underline{R}}(|\Psi(\underline{\underline{r}},\underline{\underline{R}};t)\rangle\langle\Psi(\underline{\underline{r}},\underline{\underline{R}};t)|)$$
$$= \sum_{j}\lambda_{j}(t)|\Phi_{j}(\underline{\underline{r}};t)\rangle\langle\Phi_{j}(\underline{\underline{r}};t)|$$

Tracing over electronic coordinates of pure-state density matrix

$$\hat{\rho}_{B}(\underline{\underline{R}};t) = Tr_{\underline{r}}(|\Psi(\underline{\underline{r}},\underline{\underline{R}};t)\rangle\langle\Psi(\underline{\underline{r}},\underline{\underline{R}};t)|)$$
$$= \sum_{j} \lambda_{j}(t) |\chi_{j}(\underline{\underline{R}};t)\rangle\langle\chi_{j}(\underline{\underline{R}};t)|$$



Ramp shapes for time-dependent perturbations





TD pulses



Some Examples - Electron Dipole Forcing



Control of Electron-Ion entanglement?

Some Examples - Ion kick

Electron-phonon interaction $\alpha = 0.0$, Hubbard U = 1



Electron-phonon interaction $\alpha = 1.0$, Hubbard U = 1



Heiko Appel (Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG) Electron-ion entanglement and decoherence dynamics

Some Examples - Electron Dipole Forcing

Electron-phonon interaction $\alpha = 0.5$, Hubbard U = 1



Electron-phonon interaction $\alpha = 1.5$, Hubbard U = 1



Heiko Appel (Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG) Electron-ion entanglement and decoherence dynam

Some Examples - Electron Dipole Forcing

Non-interacting electrons



Hubbard U = 1



Outline

Correlated electron-ion dynamics

- ► Jordan-Wigner transform and exact diagonalization in Fock-Space
- Electron-ion correlation in trans-polyacetylene oligomers (SSH chains)

Electron-ion coupling: system-bath perspective

- Electrons vs. ions: Who is causing decoherence for whom?
- Connection to stochastic Schrödinger equation

Bi-linear electron-ion coupling

- Perimetric coordinates, reference system
- Accuracy of bi-linear electron-ion coupling?

Decoherence and dissipation in coupled electron-ion systems

lons coupled to a bath of electrons?

Surface hopping

Electrons coupled to a vibronic bath?

Molecular systems

Who is causing dephasing (and dissipation) for whom?

Reduced system dynamics



 \implies Use state vector Ψ_S

Reduced system dynamics



\implies Use state vector Ψ_S

Can trace out bath directly on the level of state vectors

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \alpha \sum_j \hat{S}_j \otimes \hat{B}_j,$$

$$H_B\chi_n(x_B) = \varepsilon_n\chi_n(x_B)$$

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \alpha \sum_j \hat{S}_j \otimes \hat{B}_j, \qquad H_B \chi_n(x_B) = \varepsilon_n \chi_n(x_B)$$

Expand total wavefunction in arbitrary complete and orthonormal basis of the bath

$$\Psi(x_S, x_B; t) = \sum_n \phi_n(x_S; t) \chi_n(x_B)$$

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \alpha \sum_j \hat{S}_j \otimes \hat{B}_j, \qquad H_B \chi_n(x_B) = \varepsilon_n \chi_n(x_B)$$

Expand total wavefunction in arbitrary complete and orthonormal basis of the bath

$$\Psi(x_S, x_B; t) = \sum_n \phi_n(x_S; t) \chi_n(x_B)$$

Projection Operators

$$\hat{P}_n := \hat{I}_S \otimes |\chi_n\rangle \langle \chi_n | \qquad \hat{Q}_n := \hat{I}_S \otimes \sum_{j \neq n} |\chi_j\rangle \langle \chi_j |$$

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \alpha \sum_j \hat{S}_j \otimes \hat{B}_j, \qquad H_B \chi_n(x_B) = \varepsilon_n \chi_n(x_B)$$

Expand total wavefunction in arbitrary complete and orthonormal basis of the bath

$$\Psi(x_S, x_B; t) = \sum_n \phi_n(x_S; t) \chi_n(x_B)$$

Projection Operators

$$\hat{P}_n := \hat{I}_S \otimes \mid \chi_n \left| \left\langle \chi_n \mid \right\rangle \right| = \hat{I}_S \otimes \sum_{j
eq n} \mid \chi_j \left| \left\langle \chi_j \mid \right\rangle \right|$$

Apply to TDSE

$$\begin{split} i\partial_t \hat{P}_n \Psi(t) &= \hat{P}_n \hat{H} \hat{P}_n \Psi(t) + \hat{P}_n \hat{H} \hat{Q}_n \Psi(t) \\ i\partial_t \hat{Q}_n \Psi(t) &= \hat{Q}_n \hat{H} \hat{Q}_n \Psi(t) + \hat{Q}_n \hat{H} \hat{P}_n \Psi(t) \end{split}$$

P. Gaspard, M. Nagaoka, JCP, 111, 5676 (1999).

Effective equation for $\hat{P} \Psi$ (still fully coherent)

$$i\partial_{t}\hat{P}\Psi(t) = (\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P})\hat{P}\Psi(t) + \underbrace{\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q}e^{-i\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}t}\hat{Q}\Psi(t_{0})}_{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}d\tau\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q}e^{i\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}(t-\tau)}\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{P}\hat{P}\Psi(\tau)}$$

Memory Term

Effective equation for $\hat{P} \Psi$ (still fully coherent)

$$i\partial_{t}\hat{P}\Psi(t) = (\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P})\hat{P}\Psi(t) + \underbrace{\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q}e^{-i\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}t}\hat{Q}\Psi(t_{0})}_{-i\int_{t_{0}}^{t}d\tau\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q}e^{i\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{Q}(t-\tau)}\hat{Q}\hat{H}\hat{P}\hat{P}\Psi(\tau)}$$

Choice of initial condition

$$\Psi(x_S, x_B; t_0) = \psi(x_S; t_0) \sum_m \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\beta \epsilon_m}}{Z_B}} e^{i\theta_m} \chi_m(x_B)$$

 $e^{i heta_m}$ - random phases, $eta=1/k_BT$ - inverse temperature, Z_B - bath partition function

Non-Markovian Stochastic Schrödinger equation

Non-Markovian Stochastic Schrödinger equation

- perturbative expansion to second order in αH_{SB}
- random phases, dense bath spectrum, bath in statistical equilibrium

$$\begin{split} i\partial_t\psi(t) = &\hat{H}_S\psi(t) + \alpha \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}(t)\hat{S}_{\alpha}\psi(t)}{-i\alpha^2 \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \sum_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha} \underbrace{C_{\alpha\beta}(t-\tau)}_{\text{Bath correlation functions}} \hat{S}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}e^{-i\hat{H}_S(t-\tau)}\hat{S}_{\beta}\psi(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3) \end{split}$$

Markov-limit

$$C_{\alpha\beta}(t-\tau) = D_{\alpha\beta}\delta(t-\tau)$$

Stochastic Schrödinger equation in Born-Markov approximation

$$i\partial_t \psi(t) = \hat{H}_S \psi(t) + \alpha \sum_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}(t) \hat{S}_{\alpha} \psi(t) - i\alpha^2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha\beta} \hat{S}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{S}_{\beta} \psi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$$

Stochastic TD Kohn-Sham equations for open quantum systems M. Di Ventra, R. D'Agosta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 226403 (2007), R. D'Agosta, M. Di Ventra, Phys. Rev. B 78, 165105 (2008). H. Appel, M. Di Ventra, Phys. Rev. B 80, 212303 (2009), H. Appel, M. Di Ventra, Chem. Phys. 391, 27 (2011). Heiko Appel (Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG)

Markovian Stochastic Schrödinger equation - Lindblad equation

Statistical average:

$$\hat{\rho}_{S}(t) = \frac{|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|}{\overline{\langle\psi(t)|\psi(t)\rangle}}$$

Ito stochastic differential

 $d|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = (d|\psi\rangle)\langle\psi| + |\psi\rangle(d\langle\psi|) + (d|\psi\rangle)(d\langle\psi|)$

Leads in lowest order in dt to

$$d\hat{\rho}_{S} = -i\overline{\left[\hat{H}_{S},|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\right]}dt - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\left\{\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S},|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\right\}}dt + \overline{\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}}dt + O(dt^{2})$$

For $\overline{\hat{H}[|\psi\rangle]} \neq \hat{H}[\overline{|\psi\rangle}]$, $\overline{\hat{S}[|\psi\rangle]} \neq \hat{S}[\overline{|\psi\rangle}]$ the EOM has closed form and can be written in the familiar Lindblad form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_S = -i\Big[\hat{H}_S, \hat{\rho}_S\Big] - \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}, \hat{\rho}_S\Big\} + \hat{S}\hat{\rho}_S\hat{S}^{\dagger}$$

Decoherence and dissipation in coupled electron-ion systems

Who is causing dephasing (and dissipation) for whom?

Choice of initial condition - generic system-bath form Choice of initial condition

$$\Psi(x_S, x_B; t_0) = \psi(x_S; t_0) \sum_m \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\beta \epsilon_m}}{Z_B}} e^{i\theta_m} \chi_m(x_B)$$

P. Gaspard, M. Nagaoka, JCP, 111, 5676 (1999).

Choice of initial condition - ions as bath

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_{el}, x_{ion}; t_0) = \psi(\mathbf{x}_{el}; t_0) \sum_m \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\beta \epsilon_m}}{Z_{ions}}} e^{i\theta_m} \chi_m(x_{ions})$$

Choice of initial condition - electrons as bath (as e.g. in surface hopping)

$$\Psi(x_{\text{ions}}, \frac{x_{\text{electrons}}}{z_{\text{electrons}}}; t_0) = \psi(x_{\text{ions}}; t_0) \sum_m \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\beta\epsilon_m}}{Z_{\text{electrons}}}} e^{i\theta_m} \chi_m(\frac{x_{\text{electrons}}}{z_{\text{electrons}}})$$

Outline

Correlated electron-ion dynamics

- ► Jordan-Wigner transform and exact diagonalization in Fock-Space
- Electron-ion correlation in trans-polyacetylene oligomers (SSH chains)

Electron-ion coupling: system-bath perspective

- ▶ Electrons vs. ions: Who is causing decoherence for whom?
- Connection to stochastic Schrödinger equation

Bi-linear electron-ion coupling

- Perimetric coordinates, reference system
- Accuracy of bi-linear electron-ion coupling?

Matrix elements from quantum chemistry

One-body terms









Two-body terms



Bi-linear electron ion coupling

$$\hat{H}_{\rm el-ph} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \alpha (u_{n+1} - u_n) (\hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n,\sigma} + \hat{c}_{n,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{n+1,\sigma})$$



Perimetric coordinates:

$$\begin{split} x &= -|\vec{r}_{31}| + |\vec{r}_{32}| + |\vec{r}_{12}| \\ y &= |\vec{r}_{31}| - |\vec{r}_{32}| + |\vec{r}_{12}| \\ z &= |\vec{r}_{31}| + |\vec{r}_{32}| - |\vec{r}_{12}| \end{split}$$

Laguerre-Lagrange basis-set

Expansion for three-body wavefunction

$$\Psi_{LM} = \sum_{K=-L}^{L} D_{KM}(\psi, \theta, \phi) \overline{\Phi}_{K}(R, \rho, \xi)$$

For S-states (L=M=K=0) the wavefunction becomes

$$\Psi_{00} = \overline{\Phi}_0^{(S)}(R, \rho, \xi) = \Phi_0^{(S)}(x, y, z)$$



Basis-set expansion in orthonormal Laguerre-Lagrange functions:

$$f_i(x) = (-1)^i x_i^{1/2} \frac{L_N(x)}{x - x_i} e^{-x/2}$$

Wavefunction

$$\Phi_0^{(S)}(x,y,z) = \sum_{i,j,k} C_{ijk} [f_i(x)f_j(y) + f_j(x)f_i(y)]f_k(z)$$

Accuracy of the Gauss-Laguerre mesh approach

Method		ground-state energy
Full CI - aug-cc-pVQZ	∞ mass	-2.9025335993384
Hylleras WF	∞ mass	-2.9037243770341
Laguerre mesh (this work)	∞ mass	-2.9037243770340
Hylleras WF	He core mass	-2.9033045555594
Laguerre mesh (this work)	He core mass	-2.9033045555592

Full CI - aug-cc-pVQZ vs. "exact/Hylleras" \implies 32 meV

 ∞ mass vs. finite mass $\Longrightarrow 11 \text{ meV}$

Pico Hartree accuracy with Gauss-Laguerre solver

Exact three body eigenfunctions

Helium



Heiko Appel (Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG) Electron-ion entanglement and decoherence

Accuracy of the Gauss-Laguerre mesh approach

II ₂ molecule								
(0, 0)	35	25	15	750	-0.597 139 063 07			
(1, 0)	35	25	15	750	-0.587 155 677 6			
(0, 1)	35	20	24	500	-0.596 873 738 82			
(0, 1)K=0	35	20	12	600	-0.596 873 727 7546			
(1, 1)	35	20	24	500	-0.586 904 321 0349			

 H_2^+ molecule

 $dt\mu$ molecule

(0, 0)	15	15	15	3 375	-111.364 511 5131
(1, 0)	15	15	15	3 375	-100.916 562
(0, 1)	10	10	10	2 000	-108.179 543 781
(1, 1)	25	25	20	25 000	-99.660 676

Accuracy of bi-linear electron ion coupling

Standard Fröhlich electron-ion coupling

$$\hat{V}_{\rm el-ph} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\lambda} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} g_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{q},\lambda} \ \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G},\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \left(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{q},\lambda} + b^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{q},\lambda} \right)$$

In textbooks derived from

$$V_{\rm el-ion} = \int d\mathbf{r} \; (-e)\rho_{\rm el}(\mathbf{r}) \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{\rm ion}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j)$$

Involved approximations:

- Born-Oppenheimer approximation
- Hartree-type interaction, no electron-ion correlation potential
- Only static density $ho_{
 m el}({f r})$
- Taylor expansion up to first order

Conclusions

► Carlson-Keller theorem also holds for electron-ion wavefunctions

Conclusions

- Carlson-Keller theorem also holds for electron-ion wavefunctions
- Importance of initial condition for decoherence in coupled quantum systems

Conclusions

- Carlson-Keller theorem also holds for electron-ion wavefunctions
- Importance of initial condition for decoherence in coupled quantum systems
- Numerically accurate three-body code for Coulombic systems in 3D

Thanks!

René Jestädt Ignacio Franco Angel Rubio

Thank you for your attention!

Connection to Lindblad equation

Ito stochastic differential

$$d|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = (d|\psi\rangle)\langle\psi| + |\psi\rangle(d\langle\psi|) + (d|\psi\rangle)(d\langle\psi|)$$

Insert stochastic Schrödinger equation in Born-Markov limit

$$\begin{split} d|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| &= -i\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|dW + \text{h.c.} \\ &-i\Big[\hat{H}_S, |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\Big]dt - \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}, |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\Big\}dt \\ &+\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}dW^{\dagger}dW \\ &+\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{H}_S\,dWdt + \text{h.c.} \\ &+\frac{i}{2}\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}\,dWdt + \text{h.c.} \\ &+\hat{H}_S|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{H}_Sdt^2 + \frac{1}{4}\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}dt^2 \\ &+\frac{i}{2}\Big\{\hat{H}_S, |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}\Big\}dt^2 \end{split}$$

Connection to Lindblad equation

Ensemble average over Ito stochastic differential

$$d\hat{\rho}_{S} = d\overline{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} = \overline{(d|\psi\rangle)\langle\psi|} + \overline{|\psi\rangle(d\langle\psi|)} + \overline{(d|\psi\rangle)(d\langle\psi|)}$$

Properties of stochastic process

$$\overline{dW} = 0, \qquad \overline{dW^{\dagger}dW} = dt$$

Leads in lowest order in dt to

$$d\hat{\rho}_{S} = -i\overline{\left[\hat{H}_{S},|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\right]}dt - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\left\{\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S},|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\right\}}dt + \overline{\hat{S}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{S}^{\dagger}}dt + O(dt^{2})$$

Only if we have not

$$\overline{\hat{H}[|\psi\rangle]} \neq \hat{H}[\overline{|\psi\rangle}], \qquad \overline{\hat{S}[|\psi\rangle]} \neq \hat{S}[\overline{|\psi\rangle}]$$

the EOM has closed form and can be written in the familiar Lindblad form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_S = -i\Big[\hat{H}_S, \hat{\rho}_S\Big] - \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\hat{S}^{\dagger}\hat{S}, \hat{\rho}_S\Big\} + \hat{S}\hat{\rho}_S\hat{S}^{\dagger}$$

Connection to Redfield master equation

Ito stochastic differential

 $d|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = (d|\psi\rangle)\langle\psi| + |\psi\rangle(d\langle\psi|) + (d|\psi\rangle)(d\langle\psi|)$

- Insert non-Markovian stochastic Schrödinger equation
- Perform ensemble average
- Consider average properties of stochastic process

In the long time limit this leads to Redfield-type master equations with slipped initial condition!

- P. Gaspard, M. Nagaoka, JCP, 111, 5668 (1999).
- P. Gaspard, M. Nagaoka, JCP, 111, 5676 (1999).