Density Functional Resonance Theory of Unbound Electronic Systems

Daniel L. Whitenack* and Adam Wasserman**

Purdue University, *Department of Physics and **Department of Chemistry

2012 Benasque Workshop on TDDFT

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- 1. Problem / Motivation
- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

1. Problem / Motivation

- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Problem / Motivation

- Add an electron to a system that has "negative electron affinity" or an affinity of zero.
- This system will decay, but it could be long-lived.
- If we want properties (e.g. energy and lifetime) of the anion, we can not apply DFT in a straightforward way.

$$\mathsf{DFT}(N_2^-) \longrightarrow N_2 + e^-$$

 DFT brings us back to the neutral ground state so we have no new initial state for TDDFT.

Problem / Motivation



Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 383 (1992)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 208102

Problem / Motivation

Tools for finding metastable states:

- 1. Stabilization methods (Taylor)
- 2. Finite basis sets or potential walls (can't get lifetimes)
- 3. Many-body scattering

They present "Special challenges to theory" (Jack Simons):

- 1. Divergent wavefunctions (densities)
- 2. Bound-free correlations

- 1. Problem / Motivation
- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

Resonance Wavefunctions / Densities

A resonance wavefunction is divergent:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \sim e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + f(heta, \phi)rac{ikr}{r^2}$$

a solution of the TISE with outgoing boundary conditions.

• However, consider a similarity transformation \hat{S} such that $\hat{S}\Psi_{res}$ is square integrable, or

$$\hat{S} \Psi_{res}(\mathbf{r})
ightarrow 0$$
 as $r
ightarrow \infty$

Then, $\hat{S}\Psi_{res}$ is a normalizable eigenfunction of,

$$(\hat{S}\hat{H}\hat{S}^{-1})\hat{S}\Psi_{res} = (\mathcal{E}_n - (i/2)\Gamma_n)\hat{S}\Psi_{res}$$

Resonance Wavefunctions / Densities

- One such transformation is the complex-scaling transformation: r → re^{iθ}
- ► The complex-scaled Hamiltonian, \hat{H}_{θ} , can be diagonalized with bound-state techniques to find the $E_n = \mathcal{E}_n (i/2)\Gamma_n$.

• Since
$$\hat{S}\Psi_{res}(\mathbf{r})
ightarrow 0$$
 as $r
ightarrow \infty$,

$$n_{\theta}(\vec{r}) = \langle \psi_{\theta}^{L} | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \psi_{\theta}^{R} \rangle$$

is a "complex density."

▶ Is information about a resonance (\mathcal{E} and Γ) contained in n_{θ} ?

- 1. Problem / Motivation
- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The answer is YES for the *Lowest Energy Resonance* (LER) of an unbound electronic system.



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 093003 (2007)

DFT \hat{H} , $v(\mathbf{r})$ $n(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \psi \rangle$ $E_{\rm GS}[n]$ $v_{s}[n](\mathbf{r})$ $n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i}^{N} |\phi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2$

$\hat{H}_{\theta}, v(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta})$ $n_{\theta}(\vec{r}) = \langle \psi_{\theta}^{L} | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \psi_{\theta}^{R} \rangle$ $E_{\theta}^{\text{LER}}[n_{\theta}] = \mathcal{E}[n_{\theta}] - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[n_{\theta}]$ $v_{\epsilon}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}](\mathbf{r}) = v(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) + v_{\text{HYC}}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}](\mathbf{r})$ $n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \phi_{i}^{\theta,L}(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{i}^{\theta,R}(\mathbf{r})$

DFRT

Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 163002 (2011) J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **1**, 407 (2010)

2 soft Coulomb interacting electrons in:

$$v(x) = a\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-2c(x+d)}} - \frac{1}{1+e^{-2c(x-d)}} - e^{-\frac{x^2}{b}}\right)$$

a, b, c, d - constants, λ - interaction strength.



- The complex-scaled system is solved exactly with finite differences.
- Also, the complex Kohn-Sham equations self-consistently with a functional exact up to exchange (excluding correlation).

The complex density, scaled external potential (dotted line), and complex Kohn-Sham potential (solid line).



 A close-coupling scattering calculation (bound state approx) gives an error of 22% in the resonance energy, comparable to our DFRT exchange-only results.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 163002 (2011)

- 1. Problem / Motivation
- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- The exact XC potential of an open system jumps discontinuously as the particle number crosses an integer.
- But what happens at the specific integer value that is the maximum number of bound electrons (J_{max})?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

DFRT for Fractional Particle Number

• We write the complex ensemble energy and density as:

$$E^{\theta}(N) = [1 - (N - J)]E^{\theta}_J + (N - J)E^{\theta}_{J+1}$$

$$n_{\theta}^{N}(\mathbf{r}) = \left[1 - (N - J)\right] n_{\theta}^{J}(\mathbf{r}) + (N - J)n_{\theta}^{J+1}(\mathbf{r})$$

for a fractional particle number N (J < N < J + 1).

We also need to know about the orbital energies and lifetimes of the DFRT Kohn-Sham system (discussed on my poster).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Submitted 2011, arXiv:1111.1934

- Consider our model potential, v(x), with parameters that permit only a 1 electron bound state and a 2 electron resonance.
- ν^θ_{xc} is evaluated on an ensemble density that integrates to a fractional number (N) on either side of J_{max} = 1.





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ

Submitted 2011, arXiv:1111.1934



- At integers below J_{max} only the real part of v^θ_{XC} experiences a jump because the chemical potential experiences a purely real jump.
- At J_{max} both the real and imaginary parts of v^θ_{XC} jump discontinuously.

Submitted 2011, arXiv:1111.1934

- 1. Problem / Motivation
- 2. Resonance wavefunctions / densities
- 3. Density Functional Resonance Theory (DFRT)
- 4. Orbital Energies and Lifetimes / Derivative Discontinuities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Future Work

- An implementation of DFRT for real systems is under construction. (See David Strubbe for the Octopus DFRT tutorial at Benasque 2014)
- Can the Runge-Gross theorem be extended to complex densities? (Ask me at March Meeting)
 - photo-induced resonances
 - high energy resonances
 - photo absorption of metastable systems
 - etc...
- What else can we learn about metastable systems from n_θ (Reactivity)?

Conclusions

- DFRT (an analog of KS-DFT) can give the in-principle exact resonance energy and lifetime for the LER of a system.
- DFRT could help us learn more about problems in approximate DFT
- Acknowledgements:
 - Martin Mosquera (Purdue) and Yu Zhang (UC Irvine)
 - Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for support of this research under grant No.PRF# 49599-DNI6.

- DLW, Y. Zhang and A. Wasserman, Submitted 2011, arXiv:1111.1934
- DLW and A. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2011 107, 163002
- DLW and A. Wasserman, J. of Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010 1, 407-411

- Goal: establish an analog of Kohn Sham DFT that provides the in-principle exact LER density along with its energy and lifetime.
- To find $n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r})$, we complex-scale,

$$\hat{H}_{v} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee} + \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) v(\mathbf{r})$$

by multiplying all electron coordinates by $e^{i\theta}$, diagonalize \hat{H}^{θ}_{ν} , and calculate $n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \Psi^{L}_{\theta} | \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) | \Psi^{R}_{\theta} \rangle$, where $| \Psi^{R}_{\theta} \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi^{L}_{\theta} |$ are right and left eigenstates corresponding to the LER.

• The LER's lifetime \mathcal{L} is given by $(-2\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{E}_{\theta}))^{-1}$,

$$E_{\theta}[n_{\theta}] = \mathcal{E}[n_{\theta}] - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[n_{\theta}]$$

The "Kohn-Sham" potential, ν^θ_s(**r**), is defined such that its N occupied complex orbitals {φ^θ_i(**r**)} yield the interacting LER-density via

$$n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \phi_i^{\theta,L} | \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) | \phi_i^{\theta,R} \rangle$$

The complex Kohn-Sham equations are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{h}_1 - \varepsilon_i & -\hat{h}_2 - 2\tau_i^{-1} \\ \hat{h}_2 + 2\tau_i^{-1} & \hat{h}_1 - \varepsilon_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\phi_i^{\theta}) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\phi_i^{\theta}) \end{pmatrix} = 0 ,$$

where $\hat{h}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta)\nabla^2 + \operatorname{Re}(v_s^{\theta}(\mathbf{r}))$, and $\hat{h}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\theta)\nabla^2 + \operatorname{Im}(v_s^{\theta}(\mathbf{r}))$.

► The set of {ε_i} and {τ_i} provide the orbital resonance energies and lifetimes of the Kohn-Sham particles.

• We write $E_{\theta}[n_{\theta}]$ as:

$$E_{ heta}[n_{ heta}] = T_s^{ heta}[n_{ heta}] + \int d\mathbf{r} \ n_{ heta}(\mathbf{r}) v(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) + E_{
m H}^{ heta}[n_{ heta}] + E_{
m XC}^{ heta}[n_{ heta}]$$

and require: $T_s^{\theta}[n_{\theta}] = e^{-2i\theta} T_s[n_{\theta}]$ and $E_{\rm H}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}] = e^{-i\theta} E_{\rm H}[n_{\theta}]$.

The complex variational principle along with the assumption that the orbitals used to construct the density can be expanded in an orthonormal basis leads to:

$$\delta E_{\theta}[n_{\theta}]/\delta n_{\theta} - \mu \int d\mathbf{r} n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$$

▶ Performing the variation in E_θ[n_θ] and comparing with the Kohn-Sham equations,

$$v^{ heta}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = v(\mathbf{r}e^{i heta}) + e^{-i heta}v_{ ext{H}}[n_{ heta}](\mathbf{r}) + v^{ heta}_{ ext{XC}}[n_{ heta}](\mathbf{r})$$
 ,

where $v_{\rm XC}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}](\mathbf{r}) = \delta E_{\rm XC}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}]/\delta n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r})|_{\rm LER}$.

DFRT for Fractional Particle Number

Define an ensemble of pure states of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{\mathsf{\Gamma}}_{ heta} = \sum_{i} |\Psi^{\mathsf{R}}_{ heta,i}
angle \mathsf{p}_{i} \langle \Psi^{\mathsf{L}}_{ heta,i}|$$

where the sum of the probabilities p_i is defined to be 1.

The constained search universal functional is:

$$F_{\rm LL}^{\theta}[n_{\theta}] = \min_{\hat{\Gamma}_{\theta} \to n_{\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} {\sf Re} \\ -2{\sf Im} \end{pmatrix} {\sf Tr} \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{\theta}(e^{-2i\theta}\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{ee}^{\theta}) \right]$$

And the ensemble energy is:

$$E^{\theta}[n_{\theta}] = \min_{n_{\theta}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{Re} \\ -2\mathsf{Im} \end{pmatrix} \left(F^{\theta}_{\mathsf{LL}}[n_{\theta}] + \int d\mathbf{r} \ n_{\theta}(\mathbf{r})v(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) \right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Orbital Energies and Lifetimes

System:	Decay Channel:	$\epsilon^{ heta}_{ m H}$
Bound J-electron	No decay	-1
Metastable $(J+1)$ -electron	J electron bound state	$\left(-A - \frac{\Gamma}{2}i\right) + \epsilon^{th}$
Metastable $(J+1)$ -electron	Multiple	Multiple contributions

 $\epsilon_{\rm H}^{\theta},$ KS-DFRT HOMO energy

I, Positive ionization potential of a J-electron system

 $\epsilon^{\textit{th}},~\text{KS}$ "threshold energy" defined below

A, Negative electron affinity of a J-electron system

 Γ , (J + 1)-electron resonance width (inverse lifetime)

Submitted 2011, arXiv:1111.1934