



Centro de Ciencias de Benasque

*Pedro Pascual*




Partial Differential Equations

Optimal Design & Numerics

Dedicated to Vicent Caselles

26 de agosto 2013



**Variational Characterization of  
Diagonalization Operators &  
Eigenvalues**



*Otared Kavian*

Département de Mathématiques

Université de Versailles

45, avenue des Etats Unis

78035 Versailles cedex (France)

[kavian@math.uvsq.fr](mailto:kavian@math.uvsq.fr)



- ▶ Joint work with Stéphane Mischler



# Today's talk

Origin of the problem

A global approach

A global approach: infinite dimension

Remarks



# Origin of the problem



# Origin of the problem

- ▶ We were interested in the determination of a system of **orthonormal functions**  $\psi := (\psi_m)_{m \geq 1}$  satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi_m - \Delta \psi_m + (V + \widetilde{V}) \psi_m = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ -\Delta V = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m |\psi_m(x, t)|^2 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \psi_m(0, x) = \psi_{0m}(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \psi_m(t, \sigma) = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

- ▶ A **standing wave solution** is an orthonormal system of functions  $(\varphi_m)_{m \geq 1}$  such that the family defined by

$$\psi_m(t, x) = e^{i\lambda_m t} \varphi_m(x)$$

satisfies **(1.1)**.

- ▶ For instance  $(\psi_{0m})_{m \geq 0}$  may be a Hilbert basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  with each  $\psi_{0m} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

# Origin of the problem

- ▶ The Schrödinger–Poisson system becomes: find a system of **orthonormal functions**  $\varphi := (\varphi_m)_{m \geq 1}$  and a sequence of real eigenvalues  $(\lambda_m)_{m \geq 1}$  satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi_m + (V + \widetilde{V}) \varphi_m = \lambda_m \varphi_m & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta V = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m |\varphi_m(x)|^2 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \varphi_m(\sigma) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The coefficients  $\alpha_m$  are assumed to satisfy

$$\alpha_m > 0, \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m < \infty.$$

- ▶ Various types of domains  $\Omega$  and boundary conditions may be considered.
- ▶ The potential  $\widetilde{V}$  is given and may be singular.



# A global approach



# A global approach



- ▶ Even the linear case (that is dropping the second equation in (1.2) and setting  $V \equiv 0$ ) deserves a new approach... **also in the finite dimensional case**
- ▶ **In other words:** can one characterize the whole eigensystem of a linear operator through **one** variational problem?
- ▶ Consider a selfadjoint positive definite matrix  $A : H \rightarrow H$  where  $H$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space
- ▶ The eigenvalues of  $A$  can be found through the critical values of the **Rayleigh quotient**

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(Au|u)}{(u|u)}$$



# A global approach



- ▶ Namely, for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in H} \frac{(Au|u)}{(u|u)}, \quad \lambda_{k+1} = \inf \left\{ \frac{(Au|u)}{(u|u)} ; u \in \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}^\perp \right\}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ .

- ▶ In practice, one finds  $n$  critical values (or critical points), each depending on the previous ones.
- ▶ When  $H_0$  is a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $(A, D(A))$  is an unbounded positive self-adjoint operator such that the imbedding  $H := D(A^{1/2}) \subset H_0$  is compact, then the above procedure (2.2) yields all the eigenvalues of  $A$ .



# A global approach: finite dimensional space

- ▶ Finding  $(\lambda_j)_j$  is equivalent to find a Hilbert basis  $(\tilde{u}_j)_j$  such that  $A\tilde{u}_j = \lambda_j\tilde{u}_j$
- ▶ Fix  $(e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ , a Hilbert basis of  $H$   
Denoting by  $U$  the matrix such that  $Ue_j = \tilde{u}_j$ , the problem is thus to find a unitary operator  $U$  such that

$$A U e_j = \lambda_j U e_j \iff U^* A U e_j = \lambda_j e_j.$$

- ▶ For simplicity, assume  $A$  positive definite, and denote

$$\mathbb{S} := \{U : H \longrightarrow H ; U^* U = I\}.$$

and choose  $n$  numbers  $\alpha_j > 0$ , with  $\alpha_j \neq \alpha_k$  for  $j \neq k$ , and denote  $D := \text{diag}(\alpha_j)$

- ▶ Let  $J : \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$(2.3) \quad J(U) := \text{tr}(D U^* A U) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (U^* A U e_j | e_j).$$

# A global approach: finite dimensional space



- ▶ We show the following

**Theorem.** *The functional  $J$  is smooth and achieves its minimum on  $\mathbb{S}$ , at some  $U_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ . Moreover if  $u_j := U_0 e_j$ , then  $(u_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  is the eigensystem of  $A$ .*



# Finite dimension: Idea of proof

- ▶ Let  $M$  be skew-adjoint, that is  $M^* = -M$ . Then for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$U(t) := \exp(tM)U_0 \in \mathbb{S}.$$

- ▶ Thus for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $J(U_0) \leq J(U(t))$
- ▶ We conclude that

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} J(U(t)) \right)_{|t=0} = 0.$$

- ▶ This means that for all  $M$  such that  $M^* = -M$

$$\operatorname{tr}(DU_0^* M A U_0) = \operatorname{tr}(DU_0^* A M U_0).$$

- ▶ Setting  $B := U_0 D U_0^*$ , we have that for all  $M$  such that  $M^* = -M$ ,

$$\operatorname{tr}(M(AB - BA)) = 0$$

# Finite dimension: Idea of proof



- ▶ This implies that  $BA = AB$ , that is

$$U_0DU_0^*A = AU_0DU_0^*.$$

- ▶ Set  $u_j := U_0e_j$ , and apply the above operators to  $u_j$

$$(U_0DU_0^*)Au_j = AU_0DU_0^*u_j = AU_0De_j = \alpha_jAU_0e_j = \alpha_jAu_j,$$

- ▶ Thus  $U_0DU_0^*Au_j = \alpha_jAu_j$ , and

$$D(U_0^*Au_j) = \alpha_j(U_0^*Au_j).$$

- ▶ Since  $\alpha_j$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $D$ , with a corresponding eigenvector  $e_j$ , and since  $Au_j \neq 0$  we conclude that

$$U_0^*Au_j = \lambda_j e_j \iff Au_j = \lambda_j u_j.$$

and finally  $U_0^*AU_0 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .



# A global approach: infinite dimension





# A global approach: infinite dimension



- ▶ **Assumptions:**  $H$  infinite dimensional, separable, complex Hilbert space, scalar product  $(\cdot|\cdot)$ , norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .
- ▶  $(A, D(A))$  operator  $H$ , with  $D(A) \subset H$  dense and compact,  $A^* = A \geq 0$ .
- ▶  $(e_j)_{j \geq 1}$  a Hilbert basis of  $H$ , such that  $e_j \in D(A^{1/2})$  for each  $j \geq 1$ .
- ▶  $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$ , with  $\alpha_j > 0$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j \|e_j\|_{D(A^{1/2})}^2 < \infty,$$

and we denote by  $D$  the diagonal operator defined by  $De_j := \alpha_j e_j$  for  $j \geq 1$  (note that  $\alpha_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $D$  is compact).

- ▶ Consider  $U : H \rightarrow H$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad U^*U = UU^* = I, \quad Ue_j \in D(A^{1/2}) \text{ for } j \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j (U^*AUe_j|e_j) < \infty.$$



# A global approach: infinite dimension

- ▶ Define the set  $\mathbb{S}$  by

$$\mathbb{S} := \{U : H \longrightarrow H ; U \text{ satisfies (3.2)}\}.$$

$\mathbb{S} \neq \emptyset$  is non trivial: for  $\lambda > 0$ , one has  $e^{i\lambda A} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $U_\lambda := (I+i\lambda A)(I-i\lambda A)^{-1} \in \mathbb{S}$

- ▶ For  $U \in \mathbb{S}$  define  $J_0(U)$  by

$$(3.3) \quad J_0(U) := \text{tr}(DU^*AU) := \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j(U^*AUe_j|e_j)$$

**Theorem.**  $J_0$  achieves its minimum on  $\mathbb{S}$ . There exists  $\widehat{U}_0 \in \mathbb{S}$  such that

$$J_0(\widehat{U}_0) = \min_{U \in \mathbb{S}} J_0(U),$$

and  $\widehat{U}_0$  is a diagonalization operator for  $A$ ; more precisely, for each  $j \geq 1$ , the vector  $\varphi_j := \widehat{U}_0 e_j$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j := (A\varphi_j|\varphi_j)$ .

# Infinite dimension: idea of proof

- ▶ Consider  $b := \inf_{U \in \mathbb{S}} J_0(U) \geq 0$ .
- ▶ Let  $(U_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbb{S}$  be such that  $b \leq J(U_n) \leq b + 1/n \leq b + 1$ .
- ▶ For fixed  $j \geq 1$ , setting  $u_j^n := U_n e_j$ , we have for all  $n \geq 1$

$$\|u_j^n\|_{D(A^{1/2})}^2 = 1 + (A u_j^n | u_j^n) \leq 1 + \frac{b+1}{\alpha_j}.$$

- ▶ By Cantor's diagonal scheme, one finds a subsequence (denoted by)  $(u_j^n)_n$  such that there exists a family  $(u_j)_j$  such that for  $j \geq 1$  fixed

$$u_j^n \rightharpoonup u_j =: U_0 e_j \quad \text{weakly in } D(A^{1/2}), \quad u_j^n \rightarrow u_j =: U_0 e_j \quad \text{strongly in } H.$$

- ▶  $U_0$  can be extended to  $H$ , and  $U_0^* U_0 = I$ .
- ▶ Finally, one shows that  $J_0(U_0) < \infty$  and  $U_0 \in \mathbb{S}$  and that  $J_0(U_0) = b$ .

# Infinite dimension: idea of proof

- ▶ Let  $M : H \rightarrow H$  be bounded, skew-adjoint, that is  $M^* = -M$ , and moreover  $M : D(A^{1/2}) \rightarrow D(A^{1/2})$  continuous. Then for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$U(t) := \exp(tM)U_0 \in \mathbb{S}.$$

- ▶ Thus for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $J(U_0) \leq J(U(t)) =: g_0(t)$ . We conclude that  $g'_0(0) = \text{tr}(DU_0^*MAU_0) - \text{tr}(DU_0^*AMU_0) = 0$ .
- ▶ Since  $\text{tr}(DU_0^*MAU_0) = -\sum_j \alpha_j(Au_j|Mu_j)$  and  $\text{tr}(DU_0^*AMU_0) = \sum_j \alpha_j(Mu_j|Au_j)$  this means

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Re} \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j(Au_j|Mu_j) = 0.$$

- ▶ Choosing  $M := iL$  with  $L^* = L$ , one gets

$$(3.5) \quad \text{Im} \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j(Au_j|Lu_j) = 0.$$

# Infinite dimension: idea of proof



- ▶ Let  $n \neq k$  and let the operators  $M$  and  $L$  be defined by:

$$Mu_k := u_n, \quad Mu_n := -u_k, \quad Lu_k := u_n, \quad Lu_n := u_k, \quad Lu_j = Mu_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \{k, n\}.$$

- ▶ Using (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that

$$(\alpha_n - \alpha_k)(Au_n|u_k) = 0.$$

- ▶ Thus if  $\alpha_n \neq \alpha_k$ , we have

$$0 = (Au_n|u_k) = (U_0^*AU_0e_n|e_k).$$

- ▶ Assume for instance for all  $n \neq k$  one has  $\alpha_n \neq \alpha_k$ . Then the above means that

$$U_0^*AU_0e_n \in \text{span}\{e_n\} \iff \exists \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad Au_n = \lambda_n u_n.$$



# Remarks



# Remarks

- ▶ When in (2.3) one chooses  $\alpha_j > \alpha_{j+1}$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , then one can check that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  are ordered in a non decreasing order.
- ▶ In the infinite dimensional case, a typical example of application is the case

$$Au := -\Delta u + Vu, \quad \text{for } u \in D(A),$$

with

$$D(A) := \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) ; -\Delta u + Vu \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

- ▶ Here  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is bounded, and  $V^+ \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  while  $V^- \in L^p(\Omega)$  for some  $p > N/2$ .
- ▶ In the case of  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ , one can adapt the above method if one assumes that there exists a sequence  $(e_j)_{j \geq 1}$  which is total in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e_j(x) \overline{e_k(x)} dx = \delta_{kj}, \quad \text{and for all } j \geq 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla e_j|^2 + V|e_j(x)|^2) dx < 0.$$

# Remarks

- ▶ Bob Kohn mentioned to us a result due to J. von Neumann which states that for two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$

$$|\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(A)\sigma_j(B)$$

where  $(\sigma_j(A))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  and  $(\sigma_j(B))_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  denote the decreasing singular values of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively.

- ▶ From this L. Mirsky (1975) points out that one can conclude another result due to J. von Neumann, stating that:

$$\sup_{U,V} |\operatorname{tr}(BUAV)| = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(A)\sigma_j(B).$$

- ▶ Our result, which is specialized to self-adjoint matrices, can be interpreted as another proof of the above result in that particular case, and also characterizes the diagonalization matrix by a variational method.