

Dipole modes in a split trap

Marco Cominotti, Frank Hekking, <u>Anna Minguzzi</u> LPMMC Theory and Modeling of Condensed Systems



Plan

- *1D gases with a barrier* : effect of interactions and quantum fluctuations
- Protocol : dipole mode quench to study transport across the barrier
 - Exact solution at infinite interactions
 - Numerical Diagonalization
 - Gross-Pitaevskii solution
 - inhomogeneous Luttinger Liquid theory
- Main result and some 'what if...'

 \rightarrow Two nontrivial effects of interactions \leftarrow

1D quantum gases

- Cylindrical geometry
- Very large transverse confinement

Realizations : 2D optical lattices, chip traps,...



 All energy scales smaller than transverse energy

 $\mu, k_B T \ll \hbar \omega_{\perp}$

 Important quantum fluctuations at intermediate - large interactions

Interactions in 1D

• Interactions due to atomatom collisions in a waveguide (3D, s-wave scattering) • Effective 1D interactions $v(x) = g\delta(x)$ $g = 2a_s\hbar\omega_{\perp}(1 - 0.4602 a_s/a_{\perp})^{-1}$

(3D s-wave scattering length)

Hamiltonian (Lieb-Liniger)

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + V(x_i) + g \sum_{i < j} \delta(x_i - x_j)$$

Dimensionless interaction strength :

 $\gamma = gn/(\hbar^2 n^2/m)$ interaction energy/kinetic energy

Important quantum fluctuations

 No Bose-Einstein condensation in uniform 1D system – phase fluctuations increase at increasing interactions

$$\rho_1(x, x') = \langle \Psi^{\dagger}(x)\Psi(x')\rangle \to \frac{1}{|x - x'|^{1/2K}}$$

• Duality : density fluctuations decrease at increasing interactions

$$\langle \rho(x)\rho(0)\rangle \sim |x|^{-2K}$$

K = Luttinger parameter, depending on interaction strength



M. Cazalilla, JPhysB 2001

1D interacting gas with a barrier

• Our work on persistent currents : nonmonotonous behaviour of the current amplitude vs. interaction strength



competition between barrier screening and renormalization due to quantum fluctuations

Barrier renormalization in transport of quantum gases ?

Barrier screening / renormalization also visible in other physical observables ?

- → eg transport / dynamical phenomena ?
- \rightarrow eg analog of Hawking radiation emission ?

→

With ultracold gases : induce transport in a confined geometry : *sloshing dipole mode*



Collective excitations in quantum gases : high-precision tool

Collective-modes frequencies are measured with high precision \rightarrow information on

- equation of state
- superfluidity, vortices
- scale invariance
- beyond mean-field effects





Ferrier-Barbut et al Science 345, 1035 (2014)



Chevy et al PRL 88, 250402 (2002)





... Dipole mode in a split trap : a setup complementary to the 2-reservoir one mimicking mesoscopic physics devices



Filippone, Hekking, Minguzzi, arXiv:1410.5841

Kohn's theorem

- In a purely harmonic trap $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 x^2$ the dipole sloshing mode has frequency ω_0
- holds for arbitrary interactions
- not a compressional mode :

$$n(x,t) = n_0(x - x_0(t))$$

 simmetry preperty, consequence of the harmonic- trap geometry :

equivalent to looking at the system from an oscillating accelerated frame

The system and protocol

t<0 : 1D interacting bosons at equilibrium in a *split trap* (harmonic trap + thin barrier)





t=0 : sudden shift of the splittrap position

t>0 : time evolution ?

Quench dynamics for small trap displacement

Follow the center-of mass position $x_{CM}(t) = \int dx \, x |n(x,t)|^2$ with $n(x,t) = N \int dx_2 \dots dx_N |\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, t)|^2$



At increasing barrier strength :

→ additional harmonics

→ frequency shift of the dipole mode – violation of the Kohn's theorem due to the presence of the barrier

Interaction regimes 1D bosons at zero temperature

To treat arbitrary interactions, we emply a combination of techniques:



** benchmark of inhomogeneous Luttinger liquid theory with exact results and numerical diagonalisation

Tonks-Girardeau regime

Time-dependent Bose-Fermi mapping [Girardeau,Wright, PRL (2000)]:

 $\Psi_B(x_1, ..., x_N, t) = \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell} \operatorname{sgn}(x_j - x_\ell) \Psi_F(x_1, ..., x_N, t)$

 \rightarrow the cusp condition is preserved in the dynamics

Note : exact solution of the quench dynamics for arbitrary

- barrier strength
- time evolution
- trap shift

Needs the solution of the time-dependent single-particle problem



Single-particle solution in a split trap

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 + U_0\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{\rm h}^2x^2\right]\psi_n = \varepsilon_n\psi_n$$

[Busch et al, J. Phys. B 36, 2553 (2003)]

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \swarrow 0.4 \\ \vartheta_{7} \\ \textcircled{H} \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ -5 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues:

 $\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2}\right)} = -\lambda/2$

Cusp condition : $\partial_x \psi_n(0^+) - \partial_x \psi_n(0^-) = \lambda \psi_n(0)$

Wavefunctions (confluent hypergeometric functions) :

$$\psi_n(x) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi E_n}{2}\right) Y_1 - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi E_n}{2}\right) Y_2$$
$$Y_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{E_n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2})}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} M\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, x^2\right)$$

$$Y_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{E_n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2}\right)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \sqrt{2} |x| M\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{E_n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2\right)$$

Real-time evolution by numerical integration of the Schroedinger equation

Center-of-mass evolution of a Tonks-Girardeau gas

Some results for the full time evolution for small displacement:



N=8

the dipolar frequency increases at increasing the barrier strength

```
focus on \lambda = 1
```

At arbitrary interactions for small trap displacement

Focus on dipole-mode frequency – use perturbation theory

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_0^{t\geqslant 0}(t)\rangle &= \exp(-i\mathcal{H}^{t\geqslant 0}t/\hbar)|\Psi_0^{t<0}\rangle\\ \mathcal{H}^{t<0} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{t\geqslant 0} + \Delta x \partial_x V_{\text{ext}}^{t\geqslant 0}\\ \Psi_0^{t<0}\rangle &= |\Psi_0^{t\geqslant 0}\rangle + \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \Psi_k^{t\geqslant 0} | \partial_x V_{\text{ext}}^{t\geqslant 0} | \Psi_0^{t\geqslant 0}\rangle}{(E_0^{t\geqslant 0} - E_k^{t\geqslant 0})} |\Psi_k^{t\geqslant 0}\rangle \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{\rm d} = (E_1^{t \ge 0} - E_0^{t \ge 0})/\hbar$$

At arbitrary interactions : ground and first-excited state from exact diagonalization

Tonks-Girardeau limit : an easier route than the real-time dynamics....

Tonks-Girardeau regime : ...prediction of parity effect

Dipole-mode frequency for a weak barrier $U_0\delta(x)$:

$$\hbar\omega_{\rm d} = E_1^{\rm TG} - E_0^{\rm TG} = \hbar\omega_{\rm h} + \langle \Psi_1^{\rm TG} | \mathcal{H}_b | \Psi_1^{\rm TG} \rangle - \langle \Psi_0^{\rm TG} | \mathcal{H}_b | \Psi_0^{\rm TG} \rangle$$
$$\Rightarrow \hbar\omega_d = \hbar\omega_{\rm h} + U_0 (|\psi_{N+1}(0)|^2 - |\psi_N(0)|^2)$$



Exact diagonalization

Determine to high accuracy the ground- and first- excited state of the many-body Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 x_j} + U_0 \delta(x_j) + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_{\mathrm{h}}^2 x_j^2 + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{j,l=1}^{N} \delta(x_l - x_j)$$

 $\binom{S+N-1}{N}$

- represented on the basis of the single particle problem
- truncated Hilbert space :

Number of particles limited to N< 10

 \Rightarrow Use Luttinger Liquid theory for larger N

Dipole mode frequency vs interaction strength

Barrier

Exact diagonalization results for N=4 and 5



- Parity effect at large interactions : a correlation effect
- Nontrivial frequency shift with interaction strength ...

 → barrier screening and renormalization

Scaling of parity gap with size

- The parity effect vanishes in the thermodynamic limit
- From the Tonks-Girardeau solution :



Effective barrier : similar results at larger N with a larger barrier

Larger particle numbers : Gross-Pitaevskii equation

• Neglect quantum fluctuations – *in 1D always an approximation*

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 + \lambda\delta(x) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{\rm h}^2x^2 + gN|\Phi|^2\right]\Phi = \mu\Phi$$

- Initial state : numerical evolution in imaginary times under the pre-quench Hamiltonian
- Dynamics : numerical evolution in real times under the post-quench Hamiltonian

$$x_{\rm CM}(t) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} |\Phi^{\mathbf{t} \ge 0}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x})|^2 \mathbf{x}$$

Gross-Pitaevskii

• Equilibrium results at increasing interaction strength



Classical screening of the barrier : the effective barrier seen by the fluid is smaller at increasing interactions

healing length $\xi = \hbar / \sqrt{2mng}$

Luttinger-liquid theory



Effective low-energy theory – quantum hydrodynamics
 → bosonic field operator

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{\rho(x)} e^{i\phi(x)}$$

- Fields for phase $\phi(x)$ and density $\theta(x)$ fluctuations
- Non-linear dependence of the fields in the density operator

$$\rho(x) = [n(x) + \partial_x \theta(x)/\pi] \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2il\theta(x) + 2il\pi \int_{-\infty}^x \mathrm{d}x' n(x')}$$

- Density and phase are canonically conjugate $[\partial_x \theta(x), \phi(x')] = i\pi \delta(x-x')$

Inhomogeneous Luttinger

• Slowly varying inhomogeneity : use the local-density approximation for the harmonic confinement

$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\mathrm{LL}} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \left[v_s(x) K(x) (\partial_x \phi(x))^2 + \frac{v_s(x)}{K(x)} (\partial_x \theta(x))^2 \right]$$

position-dependent Luttinger parameters

$$v_s(x)K(x) = \hbar\pi n(x)/m$$
 $\frac{v_s(x)}{K(x)} = \frac{1}{\hbar\pi}\partial_n\mu(n(x))$

• to proceed analytically, Ansatz for the equation of state

$$\mu(n) = \eta n^{\nu} \checkmark \mu(n) = gn \qquad \text{GP}$$
$$\eta, \nu - \text{Bethe ansatz} \qquad \mu(n) = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m} n^2 \quad \text{TG}$$



Mode expansion

$$-\frac{\theta(x,t)}{\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar n(x)}{2m\omega_j}} \left(\varphi_j(x)e^{i\omega_j t}b_j^{\dagger} + \varphi_j^*(x)e^{-i\omega_j t}b_j\right)$$
$$\partial_x \phi(x,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} i \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_j}{2\hbar n(x)}} \left(\varphi_j(x)e^{i\omega_j t}b_j^{\dagger} - \varphi_j^*(x)e^{-i\omega_j t}b_j\right)$$
Diagonal Hamiltonian :
$$\mathcal{H}_0^{\mathrm{LL}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hbar \omega_j \left(b_j^{\dagger}b_j + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

• Mode amplitudes

$$-\omega_j^2 \sqrt{v_s(x)K(x)}\varphi_j(x) = v_s(x)K(x)\partial_x \left(\frac{v_s(x)}{K(x)}\partial_x(\sqrt{v_s(x)K(x)}\varphi_j(x))\right)$$

• Solution : Gegenbauer polynomials; dispersion :

$$(\omega_j/\omega_h)^2 = (j+1)(1+j\nu/2)$$

Barrier renormalization with Luttinger-Liquid theory

• The barrier is very localized \rightarrow cannot be treated with LDA

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm b} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \ U_0 \delta(x) \rho(x)$$

• Integrating out the higher-energy density fluctuation modes :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm b}^{LL} \sim 2n(0) U^{\rm eff} \cos[2\theta_0(0) + 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}x \ n(x)]$$

 $\langle \rho(x)\rho(0)\rangle \sim |x|$

interaction strength

Barrier renormalization by quantum fluctuations of the density:

Barrier renormalization with Luttinger-Liquid theory

• The barrier is very localized \rightarrow cannot be treated with LDA

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm b} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \ U_0 \delta(x) \rho(x)$$

• Integrating out the higher-energy density fluctuation modes :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm b}^{LL} \sim 2n(0) U^{\rm eff} \cos[2\theta_0(0) + 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}x \ n(x)]$$

 $\langle \rho(x)\rho(0)\rangle \sim |x|^{-2K}$

interaction strength

Barrier renormalization by quantum fluctuations of the density:

$$U^{\text{eff}} = U_0 \langle 0 | \cos(2\sum^{N/c} \theta_j(0)) | 0 \rangle \sim U_0 \left(\frac{a}{N}\right)^{\kappa}$$

$$\kappa = K(0)v_s(0)/\omega_{\rm h}R = K_0\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2}}$$

- Ueff decreases at decreasing interactions
- The exponent is *different from the homogeneus case* !

Barrier renormalization with Luttinger-Liquid theory

• The barrier is very localized \rightarrow cannot be treated with LDA

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm b} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \ U_0 \delta(x) \rho(x)$$

Integrating out the higher-energy density fluctuation modes :

 $\mathcal{H}_{\rm b}^{LL} \sim 2n(0) U^{\rm eff} \cos[2\theta_0(0) + 2\pi]$

Barrier renormalization by quantum fluctuations of the density:

• Parity effect :
$$(-1)^N$$

$$U^{\text{eff}} = U_0 \langle 0 | \cos(2\sum_{k=0}^{N/c} \theta_j(0)) | 0 \rangle \sim U_0 \left(\frac{a}{N}\right)^{\kappa}$$
$$\kappa = K(0) v_s(0) / \omega_{\text{h}} R = K_0 \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}}$$

- Ueff decreases at decreasing interactions
- The exponent is *different from the homogeneus case* !

Dipole mode frequency vs interaction strength

• From Gross-Pitaevskii and Luttinger liquid theory



Dipole mode frequency vs interaction strength

• From Gross-Pitaevskii and Luttinger liquid theory



The frequency shift is a direct measure of barrier screening or renormalization by quantum fluctuations

Effect of non-centered barrier

• Dipole-mode frequency from Tonks-Girardeau and Luttinger liquid theory



Effect of non-centered barrier

• Dipole-mode frequency from Tonks-Girardeau and Luttinger liquid theory



Oscillatory behaviour vs barrier distance, 'particle-counting effect' – well accounted for by the Luttinger-liquid theory

...and at finite temperature ?

- Exact solution for the quench dynamics at finite temperature using the thermal Bose-Fermi mapping
- Dipole frequency from a Fourier analysis of $x_{\rm CM}(t)$



Spectral function at finite T

• Understanding the frequency contributions to the center-ofmass motion at finite temperature

 $\Re e[\delta x_{CM}(\omega)]$ from exact dynamical evolution (on a finite time)



Conclusions

• Dipole frequency as a powerful tool to explore barrier screening and renormalization



Outlook

- Beyond small shift / weak barrier regime :
 - damping ?
 - thermalisation ? [depinning : Cartarius, Kawasaki, Minguzzi, arXiv : 1505.01009]
 - phase slips ? [lattice model : I. Danshita, PRL 2013]
- Fermions, multimode,...
- Back to ring geometry !



Outlook

- Beyond small shift / weak barrier regime :
 - damping ?

– thermalisation ? [depinning : Cartarius, Kawasaki, Minguzzi, arXiv : 1505.01009]

- phase slips ? [lattice model : I. Danshita, PRL 2013]

- Fermions, multimode,...
- Back to ring geometry !



Thank you !