Review on Dark Energy

E. Sánchez CIEMAT XLIII International Meeting on Fundamental Physics Benasque 2015

OUTLINE.

Introduction: ΛCDM

What do we know about dark energy?

Observational Probes of dark energy

Current Situation and future projects Conclusion

INTRODUCTION: Basis of ACDM

The current standard model of cosmology, ACDM, is based on

General Relativity The Cosmological Principle Particle Physics in the early universe, including inflation

The Cosmological Principle: The Universe is HOMOGENEOUS and ISOTROPIC



INTRODUCTION: FRW Metric

Cosmological Principle → FRW metric

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left[dr^{2} + S_{k}^{2}(r)(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) \right]$$

$$S_k(r) = \begin{cases} \sin(r\sqrt{k})/\sqrt{k} & \mathbf{k} > 0\\ \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{k} = 0\\ \sinh(r\sqrt{|k|})/\sqrt{|k|} & \mathbf{k} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Universal time coordinate, cosmic time We can define COMOVING COORDINATES, where galaxies are at rest The expansion of space is described by the scale factor, a

INTRODUCTION



REDSHIFT

The light from the galaxies is redshifted by the expansion of the space \rightarrow Redshift



REDSHIFT

And it can be measured from the spectrum of the light



 $z = (\lambda_o - \lambda_e) / \lambda_e$

Friedmann Equations

Introducing the FRW metric in the Einstein's equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} \\ \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 &= \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{kc^2}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} \\ \frac{H^2}{H_0^2} &= \Omega_\Lambda + \frac{\Omega_k}{a^2} + \frac{\Omega_M}{a^3} + \frac{\Omega_r}{a^4} \\ \rho_c &= \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \begin{array}{c} \rho > \rho_c \ ; \ k > 0 \\ \rho = \rho_c \ ; \ k = 0 \\ \rho < \rho_c \ ; \ k < 0 \end{aligned}$$
CRITICAL DENSITY: Makes the Universe flat

G: Newton's constantρ: Energy densityp: pressureΛ: Cosmologcial Constant

$$\Omega_i = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_c}$$

 $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$

HUBBLE PARAMETER: The expansion rate of the Universe

Friedmann Equations

We need the equation of state of each component of the Universe

Ideal fluids: $T_{\mu\nu} = diag(-\rho, p, p, p)$ Barotropic fluids, $p=w\rho$

Matter (ordinary or dark): p=0, w=0 Radiation: p=r/3, w=1/3 Cosmological Constant: p=-r, w=-1 Dark Energy: w=w(t)<-1/3, $w = w_0 + w_a(1 - a)$

Distances

Scale factor is **related to observations through distances**. Comoving distance:

$$r(z) = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_k (1+z')^2 + \Omega_M (1+z')^3 + \Omega_r (1+z')^4}}$$

Several distances can be measured observationally

Luminosity distance: "Standard Candle" with luminosity L $\phi = L/4\pi d_{L}^{2}$; $d_{L}=r(z)(1+z)$ (flat Universe)

<u>Angular diameter distance</u>: "Standard Ruler" with length l $\Delta \theta = l/d_A$; $d_A = r(z)/(1+z)$ (flat Universe)

Having a collection of standard candles or rulers at different known redshifts, we can reconstruct the densities and properties of the fluids in the Universe E. Sánchez, CIEMAT XLIII IMFP - Benasque 10

Distances



Growth of Structure

ACDM is able to account for the observed structure in the Universe

- Structure grows due only to gravity (and dark energy) from initally small perturbations
- Cold Dark Matter
- Initial power spectrum of density perturbations nearly scale invariant (inflation)

$$\ddot{\delta}_k + 2H\dot{\delta}_k - 4\pi G\rho_M \delta_k = 0$$

The distribution of fluctuations depends on primordial perturbations and also on the composition of the universe

CDM: Small Structures form first



Growth of Structure



The VIRGO Collaboration 1996

Observations: Universe is flat

CMB → Universe is flat, density=critical density

Multipole moment, ℓ 10 50 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 Temperature fluctuations [μ K 2] 6000 ESA & Planck Collab. (a) Curvature 100 ΛCDM 5000 80 **Planck Data** $\Delta_T (\mu K)$ 4000 60 3000 40 20 2000 Ω_{tot} 1000 0 0.2° 90° 18° 1° 0.07° 0.1° Angular scale

Observations: Baryonic matter is 5%



Observations: Dark Matter is 26%

Dark matter is found in all scales \rightarrow 26%

Rotation/dispersion curves of galaxies

Mass to luminosity ratio of galaxy clusters

Gravitational lenses

Large Scale Structure







Observations: Dark energy 68%



XLIII IMFP - Benasque

Ned Wright - 16 Apr 2011

The dark side

The most shocking consequence is that 95% of the matterenergy content of the Universe remains unexplainded

Cosmology requires physics beyond the Standard Model 3 times: dark matter, dark energy and the early Universe



What do we mean by dark energy?

The discovery of the accelerated expansion of the Universe was a huge surprise, since gravity acting on matter slows down the expansion, so we expected a deccelerating expansion, not an accelerating one

Whatever mechanism causes the acceleration, we call it "dark energy":

- Einstein's cosmological constant
- Some new field ("quintessence"...)
- Modifications to General Relativity

Evidence for dark energy

Supernova Cosmology Project Perlmutter *et al.* (1998)

3

No Big Bang

Huge progress from 1998



Evidence for dark energy



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

21

What do we know about dark energy?

- 1) It does not emit nor absorbs electromagnetic radiation
- 2) It does not dilute with expansion \rightarrow Negative pression
- 3) Its distribution is homogeneous. Dark Energy does not cluster significantly with matter on scales at least as large as galaxy clusters

Dark energy is qualitatively very different from dark matter. Its pressure is comparable in magnitude to its energy density (it is energy-like), while matter is characterized by a negligible pressure

Dark energy is a diffuse, very weakly interacting with matter and very low energy phenomenon. Therefore, it will be very hard to produce it in accelerators. As it is not found in galaxies or clusters of galaxies, the whole Universe is the natural (and perhaps the only one) laboratory to study it.

The Cosmological Constant Case

All current observations are compatible with dark energy being the cosmological constant. This is the most plausible and the most puzzling dark energy candidate

w=-1.006 ± 0.045 from Planck 2015

If it is the vacuum energy

 $\Omega_{\Lambda} \sim 0.7 \rightarrow \rho_{\Lambda} \sim (10 \text{ meV})^4$

while the estimate from QFT is

 $\rho_{\Lambda} \sim m_{Planck}^{4} \sim 10^{120} \text{x} \sim (10 \text{ meV})^{4}$ or from the Higgs potential, $\rho_{\Lambda} \sim 10^{55} \text{x} \sim (10 \text{ meV})^{4}$ Why such a huge difference?

Observational Probes of dark energy

Test if $w_0 = -1$ and $w_a \neq 0$

DETF Figure of merit: Inverse of the area of the error ellipse enclosing 95% confidence limit in the w0-wa plane. Standard way to compare sensitivities for dark energy projects

- Standard Candles: Measure $d_L = (1 + z) r(z)$ Standard Rulers: Measure $d_A = r(z)/(1 + z)$
- Number Counts: Measure $\frac{dV}{dzd\Omega} = r^2(z)/\sqrt{(1-kr^2(z))}$

Growth of structure: A more complicated function of H(z)

Observational Probes of dark energy

Many practical implementations:

- **Distance probes:** SN1a, BAO, CMB, weak lensing, galaxy clusters,...
- <u>Growth of Structure probes</u>: CMB, redshift space distortions, weak lensing, galaxy clusters...
- No single technique is sufficiently powerful to improve the knowledge of dark energy at the level of one order of magnitude

Combination of techniques: More statistical power, ability to discriminate among dark energy models, robustness against systematic errors

E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

Observational Probes of dark energy







This is the technique that allowed the discovery of the dark energy The most mature technique to date **SN1a are GOOD DISTANCE INDICATORS**

1.- Monitor as many galaxies as you can, looking forsupernovae through difference of images



This is the technique that allowed the discovery of the dark energy The most mature technique to date **SN1a are GOOD DISTANCE INDICATORS**

2.- Classify supernovae by light curves and spectrum, to find type 1a



This is the technique that allowed the discovery of the dark energy The most mature technique to date **SN1a are GOOD DISTANCE INDICATORS**

3.- Calibrate supernovae luminosity



This is the technique that allowed the discovery of the dark energy The most mature technique to date **SN1a are GOOD DISTANCE INDICATORS**

4.- Build the Hubble diagram and fit the cosmological parameters











Shallow dield search for SNe Ia

XLIII IMFP - Benasque

Graphics: A. Papadopoulos





Shallow dield search for SNe Ia

XLIII IMFP - Benasque

Graphics: A. Papadopoulos

THE DARK ENERGY SURVEY



Shallow diald search for SNe Ia

XLIII IMFP - Benasque

Graphics: A. Papadopoulos

BAQ



For z>>1000 the universe was a strongly coupled gas of photons and charged particles (and neutrinos and dark matter)

Overdensities make overpressures and a sound wave in the gas, wich propagates with velocity $c/\sqrt{3}$

For z ~ 1100 (t ~ 350 000 yr), temperature is low enough (3000 K) for the formation of hydrogen. Photons decouple and propagate freely (CMB)

Photons quickly stream away, leaving the baryon peak stalled at ~150 Mpc.

There is a special separation between galaxies: 150 Mpc, that can be used as a <u>STANDARD RULER</u>

A standard ruler large enough to test the dark energy on cosmological scales. It is found in real data

BAO

1.- Select a sample
of galaxies with
known redshift
and compute the
2-point correlation
funcion



A standard ruler large enough to test the dark energy on cosmological scales. It is found in real data

BAO

2.- Localize the BAO peak position and measure the corresponding distance scale



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

BAO

A standard ruler large enough to test the dark energy on cosmological scales. It is found in real data

3.- Build the Hubble diagram for standard rulers and fit the cosmological parameters



Image: Dark Energy Survey Collaboration www.darkenergydetectives.org

E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

XLIII IMFP - Benasque

GALAXY CLUSTERS COUNTS

The number of galaxy clusters as a function of redshift is very sensitive to the properties of the dark energy, and cosmological parameters in general

Sensitive to distance and structure growth

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega \, dz} = \frac{dV}{d\Omega \, dz} \int_{M_{min}}^{\infty} dM \, \frac{dn}{dM}$$



GALAXY CLUSTERS COUNTS

Cluster Abell 1689 z=0.1832 Image from HST Galaxy Cluster RCS2 032727-132623 HST WFC3

UVIS F390W+F606W UVIS F814W IR F098M+F125W+F132N+F160

500,000 light-years153 kiloparsecs26"

30'

Hubble Frontier Fields Abell 2744 Hubble Space Telescope ACS/WFC F435W + F606W ACS/WFC F814W + WFC2/IR F105W

GRAVITATIONAL LENSING



Image distortion due to the effect of the matter on the space-time curvature

Small effect in the weak regume, ~1%





GRAVITATIONAL LENSING

The Forward Process.

Galaxies: Intrinsic galaxy shapes to measured image:









3D Correlation function becomes anisotropic

Anisotropy depends on the growth of structure, and therefore, on the properties of gravitational force

BOSS: Reid et al. (2012)

Anisotropic correlation function



CURRENT SITUATION: SN1a



CURRENT SITUATION: BAO



CURRENT SITUATION: Compatibility



CURRENT SITUATION: RSD



CURRENT SITUATION



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

CURRENT SITUATION



CURRENT AND FUTURE PROJECTS

Dark Energy Experiments: 2013 - 2031

arXiv:1401.6085



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

DES & PAU





Two multiband surveys: 5000 deg² grizY to 24th mag 30 deg² repeat (Sne)

A new 3 deg² FOV camera (DECam)

~570 Mpx, New CCDs very sensitive to red part of the spectrum

Survey 2013-2018 (525 nights)





DARK ENERGY SURVEY





DES



DES

4 Probes of Dark Energy

Galaxy Clusters (dist & struct) Tens of thousands of clusters to z~1 Synergy with SPT, VHS

Weak Lensing (dist & struct) Shape and magnification measurements of 200 million galaxies

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (dist) 300 million galaxies to z~1.4

Supernovae (dist) 3500 well-sampled Sne Ia to z~1



DES

4 Probes of Dark Energy

Galaxy Clusters (dist & struct) Tens of thousands of clusters to z~1 Synergy with SPT, VHS

Weak Lensing (dist & struct) Shape and magnification measurements of 200 million galaxies

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (dist) 300 million galaxies to z~1.4

Supernovae (dist) 3500 well-sampled Sne Ia to z~1



DES: First Results



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

DES: First Results



Multi-color image of the inner 5 arcmin

Weak lensing aperture mass significance map of the innr 30 arcmin, overlaid with galaxies

The same galaxies, but for the entire useable field of view of 90 arcmin **New camera** for WHT with 18 CCDs covering a **1 deg diameter field of view**

40 Narrow band filters (100 A width) and wide band (u, g. r, i , z, Y) in movable trays

Provide low resolution spectra

Can cover ~2 sq-deg par night in all filters (>30000 galaxies, 5000 stars, 1000 quasars, 10 galaxy clusters per night)

Expected galaxy redshift resolution ~0.003(1+z)

Plan: 100-night survey in 4 years



63

E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

PAU: Redshift Precision

Benitez et al, 2009



z-space, $\Delta z=0.03(1+z)$ + peculiar velocities (DES) z-space, $\Delta z=0.003(1+z)$ + peculiar velocities (PAU) z-space, perfect resolution + peculiar velocities **Real space**

PAU:Science

The survey strategy produces 2 samples:

- "Spectroscopic" sample: Good photoz with narrow filters z≤1
- "Photometric" sample: Photoz with wide filters to z~1.4
- Main science case:
 - Near sample for redshift space distortions
 - Far sample for weak lensing magnification
 - Combine both in the same sample \rightarrow Unique advantage of PAU



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

PAU:Status

The construction of the PAU camera is basically finished Data management system is written PAUCam will be installed at the WHT on summer (june 2015) Commissioning and science verification in 2015



PAU:Status



E. Sánchez, CIEMAT

CONCLUSIONS

The accelerating expansion of the Universe is a firmly established observatin, but its physical origin remains a deep mystery

All current data are consistent with **ACDM** (dark energy being the cosmological constant)

Probing the expansion history of the Universe and the growth of structure with much better precision can provide a strong boost to the current knowledge

A number of large projects are under way or planned for the future, and hopefully, will bring significant progress

Dark Energy is a very important question both for cosmology and particle physics

E. Sánchez, CIEMAT