#### PDF systematics for EWK precision observables

- PDF uncertainties are likely to be among the dominant uncertainties for the *W* mass and for the weak-mixing angle
- How to correctly estimate PDF uncertainties?
- How to reduce PDF uncertainties?

### Estimation of PDF uncertainties - Which prediction?

- For the measurement of the *W* mass at the Tevatron, *q<sub>T</sub>* resummed predictions (RESBOS) have been used
- At the LHC we are moving to NLO+PS generators, but...
- Are the NLO+PS predictions appropriate for the estimation of PDF uncertainties?



- PDF reweighting fails for Powheg, pointing out a deeper issue also common to aMC@NLO:
- PDF variations in NLO+PS are done on the *underlying-born* configuration, and PDF are fixed in the PS

• Is this a step back with respect to NLO, and to NLO+NNLL?

# Estimation of PDF uncertainties - Correlation with non-pQCD parameters

- How to deal with the correlation between PDF and non-pQCD parameters?
- The recent ATLAS A14 tune shows that non-pQCD parameters of the PS have different optimal values depending on the (LO) PDF

Param	CTEQ	MSTW	NNPDF	HERA
SpaceShower:pT0Ref	1.30	1.62	1.56	1.61
BeamRemnants:primordialKThard	1.72	1.82	1.88	1.83

- Usually, we first fit PDF on data which are not sensitive to non-pQCD, and then tune or fit the non-pQCD parameters
- Are there better approaches to address correlation? Iterative fits, combined fits
- Lot of progress towards fast NLO+PS grids, how can we take advantage of this? February 20, 2015 Stefano Camarda 3

#### Estimation of PDF uncertainties

- Precise measurements of EWK parameters are sensitive probe of new physics
- Should we use conservative PDF4LHC prescriptions, like envelope, META PDF, etc... as we plan to do for searches?
- Charm-initiated production is a significant uncertainty for the  $W p_T$  spectrum
- Bottom-initiated production is a significant uncertainty for the  $Z p_T$  spectrum
- c and b quarks are treated as massless in the DY predictions, our only handle on their uncertainties are the m<sub>b</sub> and m<sub>c</sub> parameters in the HF schemes
- Please provide m<sub>b</sub> and m<sub>c</sub> variations in the newest PDF sets if possible, in the same form as α<sub>s</sub> variations

#### Reduction of PDF uncertainties



- The PDF uncertainty on the first family of quarks, valence and sea, turned out to be the dominant source of uncertainty for the *W* mass and for the weak-mixing angle, more important than the strange PDF
- *W* asymmetry at the LHC is probably the most natural observable to constrain the valence PDF, in the same Bjorken-*x* range as needed for the measurements
- HERA 2 data will help, are there other measurements which can help to constrain *u* and *d* PDFs?

February 20, 2015

Stefano Camarda

#### Reduction of PDF uncertainties



- Z  $p_T$  is sensitive to PDF and non-pQCD
- Do we have tools for a combined fit of PDF and non-pQCD parameters to the Z p<sub>T</sub> spectrum?
- Are aMCFast or RESBOS the best frameworks for doing such a combined fit? Is it possible to have fast RESBOS grids?

February 20, 2015

Stefano Camarda

## DISCUSSION