Lattice determination of α_s in 3-flavour QCD

Mattia Dalla Brida^a, Patrick Fritzsch^b, Tomasz Korzec^c, Alberto Ramos^d, <u>Stefan Sint^e</u>, Rainer Sommer^a

> ^aNIC-DESY, Zeuthen, Germany ^bIFT-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ^cHumboldt University, Berlin, Germany ^dCERN, Geneva, Switzerland ^eTrinity College Dublin, Ireland



Benasque, 13 August 2015

◆□ → < □ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ · ⑦ Q ? 1/22</p>

Currently quoted results for $\alpha_s(m_Z)$

World average [PDG 2014]: $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1185(6)$



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ ■ → ▲ ● ◆ ● ◆ ○ 2/22

- PDG error estimate determined by lattice results! How realistic are these small errors?
- FLAG group average: $\alpha_s(m_Z)|_{\text{lattice}} = 0.1184(12)$ [arXiv:1310.8555v2]

ALPHA collaboration project

Build on CLS effort [Bruno et al, JHEP 1502 (2015) 043]:

- $N_{\rm f} = 2 + 1 \text{ QCD}$
- nonperturbatively O(a) improved Wilson quarks & Lüscher-Weisz gauge action;
- open boundary conditions (avoids topology freezing)

Use 3 input parameters from experiment, e.g.

 $F_K, m_\pi, m_K \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad m_u = m_d, m_s, g_0$

 \Rightarrow everything else becomes a prediction, for instance

 $\alpha_s^{(N_f=3)}(100 \times F_K)$ (in any renormalization scheme)

Final goal: $\alpha_s^{(N_f=5)}(m_Z)$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme

- Matching to $N_{
 m f}=5$ across the charm and bottom thresholds
- Perturbative matching probably fine for bottom, unclear for charm (not discussed here).

The QCD Λ -parameter and $\alpha_s(\mu) = \bar{g}^2(\mu)/4\pi$

$$\Lambda = \mu \left[b_0 \bar{g}^2(\mu) \right]^{-\frac{b_1}{2b_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2b_0 \bar{g}^2(\mu)}} \exp \left\{ -\int_0^{\bar{g}(\mu)} dg \left[\frac{1}{\beta(g)} + \frac{1}{b_0 g^3} - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2 g} \right] \right\}$$

- exact solution of Callan-Symanzik equation!
- Assume: coupling $\bar{g}(\mu)$ non-perturbatively defined, $N_{\rm f}$ massless quarks
- $\beta(g)$ has expansion $\beta(g) = -b_0g^3 b_1g^5 + ...$

$$b_0 = (11 - \frac{2}{3}N_{\rm f})/(4\pi)^2, \qquad b_1 = (102 - \frac{38}{3}N_{\rm f})/(4\pi)^4, \quad \dots$$

• Scheme dependence of Λ <u>almost</u> trivial:

$$g_{\rm X}^2(\mu) = g_{\rm Y}^2(\mu) + c_{\rm XY}g_{\rm Y}^4(\mu) + \dots \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\Lambda_{\rm X}}{\Lambda_{\rm Y}} = {\rm e}^{c_{\rm XY}/2b_0}$$

 \Rightarrow use $\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} = \Lambda_{QCD}$ as reference!

The QCD Λ -parameter and $\alpha_s(\mu) = \bar{g}^2(\mu)/4\pi$

$$\Lambda = \mu \left[b_0 \bar{g}^2(\mu) \right]^{-\frac{b_1}{2b_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2b_0 \bar{g}^2(\mu)}} \exp \left\{ -\int_0^{\bar{g}(\mu)} dg \left[\frac{1}{\beta(g)} + \frac{1}{b_0 g^3} - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2 g} \right] \right\}$$

- Continuum relation, exact at any scale μ :
 - require large μ to evaluate integral perturbatively
 - $\bullet\,$ require small μ to match hadronic scale
- \Rightarrow problem of large scale differences:
 - The scale μ must reach the perturbative regime: $\mu \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$
 - The lattice cutoff must still be larger: $\mu \ll a^{-1}$
 - The volume must be large enough to contain pions: $L\gg 1/m_\pi$
 - Taken together a naive estimate gives

$$L/a \gg \mu L \gg m_{\pi}L \gg 1 \quad \Rightarrow L/a \simeq O(10^3)$$

⇒ widely different scales cannot be resolved simultaneously on a single lattice!

Step scaling function

- Widely different scales cannot be resolved simultaneously on a *single* lattice
- \Rightarrow break calculation up in steps [Lüscher, Weisz, Wolff '91; Jansen et al. '95]:
 - define $\bar{g}^2(L)$ that runs with the space-time volume, i.e. $\mu = 1/L$ 2 construct the step-scaling function

$$\sigma(u) = \left. \bar{g}^2(2L) \right|_{u=\bar{g}^2(L)}$$

for a range of values $u \in [u_{\min}, u_{\max}]$

iteratively step up/down in scale by factors of 2:

$$\bar{g}^2(L_{\max}) = u_{\max} \equiv u_0, \quad u_k = \sigma(u_{k+1}) = \bar{g}^2(2^{-k}L_{\max}), \quad k = 0, 1, ...$$



If match to hadronic input at a hadronic scale L_{max} , i.e. $F_K L_{max} = O(1)$ **once arrived in the perturbative regime extract** Λ_{QCD}

Lattice approximants $\Sigma(u, a/L)$ for $\sigma(u)$

- choose g_0 and L/a = 4, measure $\bar{g}^2(L) = u$ (this sets the value of u)
- double the lattice and measure

 $\Sigma(u,1/4)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$

- now choose L/a = 6 and tune g'_0 such that $\bar{g}^2(L) = u$ is satisfied
- double the lattice and measure

$$\Sigma(u,1/6)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$$

- $\sigma(u) = \lim_{a/L \to 0} \Sigma(u, a/L).$
- change *u* and repeat...

Σ(2,u,1/4)









Wanted: renormalized finite volume coupling, which...

- is non-perturbatively defined in a finite space-time volume;
- $\bullet\,$ can be expanded in perturbation theory (at least \leq 2-loop) with reasonable effort;
- is gauge invariant;
- is quark mass-independent (defined in the chiral limit).
- can be evaluated by MC simulation with good statistical precision
- \Rightarrow not easy to satisfy! Here:
 - impose Schrödinger functional (SF) boundary conditions: periodic in space, Dirichlet in time

<□ → < □ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ · ⑦ < ⑦ </p>

- use 2 definitions of the coupling
 - traditional SF coupling [Narayanan et al. '92]
 - gradient flow coupling & SF b.c.'s [Fritsch & Ramos '13]

SF coupling

- (inhomogeneous) Dirichlet conditions for the gauge field
- \Rightarrow induce family of background gauge fields B_{μ} with parameter η .
 - With some care the induced background fields are unique up to gauge equivalence.
 - Effective action

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\Gamma[B]} = \int D[A, \psi, \overline{\psi}] \mathrm{e}^{-S[A, \psi, \overline{\psi}]}$$

• Perturbative expansion:

$$\Gamma[B] = \frac{1}{g_0^2} \Gamma_0[B] + \Gamma_1[B] + \mathcal{O}(g_0^2)$$

Define

$$\frac{1}{\bar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2(L)} = \frac{\partial_{\eta} \mathsf{\Gamma}[B]}{\partial_{\eta} \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathsf{0}}[B]} = \frac{\langle \partial_{\eta} \mathsf{S} \rangle}{\partial_{\eta} \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mathsf{0}}[B]}$$

⇒ SF coupling is defined by the response of the system to a change of a colour electric background field. [Narayanan et al. '92]

Gradient flow & renormalized finite volume coupling

• QCD, gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$, Yang-Mills gradient flow equation:

$$\partial_t B_\mu(t,x) = D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}(t,x) \left(=-\frac{\delta S_g[B]}{\delta B_\mu(t,x)}\right), \quad B_\mu(0,x) = A_\mu(x)$$

with field tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, B_{\nu}].$

 Local gauge invariant composite fields at positive flow time t > 0 such as

$$E(t,x) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \{ G_{\mu\nu}(x,t) G_{\mu\nu}(x,t) \}$$

are renormalized; no mixing with other fields of same or lower dimensions! [Lüscher & Weisz '2012];

• Explicit one-loop calculation (infinite volume, dimensional regularization) [Lüscher 2010]:

$$\langle E(t,x)\rangle = \frac{3g_{\rm MS}^2(\mu)}{16\pi^2 t^2} \left(1 + \frac{1.0978 + 0.0075 N_f}{4\pi} g_{\rm MS}^2(\mu) + \dots\right), \quad \mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8t}}$$

 $\Rightarrow E(t,x) \text{ is, for } t > 0, \text{ a renormalized field; unlike } E(0,x) \text{ which has a quartic and a logarithmic divergence!}$

Gradient flow couplings

• Infinite volume: Non-perturbative definition of a renormalized "gradient flow coupling" at scale $\mu = 1/\sqrt{8t}$:

$$g^2_{\mathrm{GF},\infty}(\mu) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} rac{16\pi^2}{3} t^2 \langle E(t,x)
angle$$

• Finite volume: consider $\langle E(t,x) \rangle$ in a finite box of dimension L^4 , fix the ratio $c = \sqrt{8t}/L$ and define

$$ar{g}_{ ext{GF}}^2(L) = \mathcal{N}(c)^{-1} t^2 \langle \mathcal{E}(t,x)
angle, \qquad \lim_{c o 0} \mathcal{N}(c) = rac{3}{16\pi^2}$$

- defines family of renormalized couplings, with parameter *c*. (typical range from 0.2 to 0.5);
- $\mathcal{N}(c)$ is calculable in lowest order perturbation theory; depends on b.c's for the gauge field; periodic in space; time direction:
 - periodic b.c.'s [Fodor et al. 2012]
 - \Rightarrow SF (Dirichlet) b.c.'s [Fritzsch & Ramos 2012], used here!
 - twisted periodic b.c.'s [Ramos 2013]
 - open-SF (Neumann-Dirichlet) b.c.'s [Lüscher 2013]

Comparison g_{GF} vs. g_{SF}

SF-coupling:

- 3-loop β-function (i.e.b₂) is known [Bode, Weisz, Wolff '99]
- 2-loop c_t known: O(a) boundary effects highly suppressed
- $\Delta(1/\bar{g}^2) \propto (\Delta L)/L$, roughly independent of \bar{g} .
- requires very large statistics; variance increases with L/a.
- large physical volumes very difficult (N.B. coupling defined by variation of b.c.'s).

GF-coupling (finite volume, SF b.c.'s)

- high statistical precision
- can be measured in large physical volumes; ideal to match hadronic physics!
- $\Lambda_{\rm GF}/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ not yet known; only universal b_0, b_1 can be used.
- $\Delta(1/\bar{g}^2) \propto 1/\bar{g}^2$: more expensive as \bar{g} decreases.
- Relatively large $O(a^2)$ effects; can we reduce these?

Overview of the strategy

Combining the advantages of both couplings we proceed as follows:

- Compute the hadronic scale F_K on $N_{\rm f}=2+1$ CLS configurations
- Compute $F_K \times L_{max}$ (defined through the GF-coupling; aim for $L_{max} \approx 0.5 \text{fm}$);
- Compute the step scaling functions for $\bar{g}_{GF}^2(L)$;
- Perform 2-3 steps to cover the range from $L_{\rm max}$ to $L_{\rm swi} pprox$ 0.05 fm
- At the intermediate scale L_{swi} switch from GF to SF scheme; also change from Lüscher-Weisz to Wilson gauge action;

<□ > < □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > ○ Q ○ 13/22

- \Rightarrow obtain relation $\textit{L}_{swi}/\textit{L}_{max}$ and thus $\textit{L}_{swi} \times \textit{F}_{\textit{K}}.$
 - Compute the step-scaling function for $\bar{g}_{\rm SF}^2(L)$
 - extract $L_{swi}\Lambda_{QCD}$
 - Combine results to obtain Λ_{QCD}/F_K

Overview of the strategy



<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = の Q · 14/22

Computation of $L_{swi}\Lambda_{QCD}$

- Define L_{swi} implicitly by $\bar{g}_{SF}^2(L_{swi}) = 2.012$
- Obtain <u>continuum</u> step scaling function (SSF) by fit ansatz for continuum & cutoff effects

$$\sigma(u) = \bar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2(2L)|_{u = \bar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2(L)}$$

for a range of *u*-values, $u \in [1.10891, 2.0120]$

• Given $\sigma(u)$ start with $u_0 = 2.012$ and find u_1, u_2, \dots, u_5 .

$$u_{n-1} = \sigma(u_n), \quad n = 1, \dots, 5, \qquad \Rightarrow \quad u_n = \bar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2 \left(2^{-n} L_{\mathsf{swi}} \right)$$

• At scale $2^{-n}L_{swi}$ obtain $L_{swi}\Lambda_{SF}$

$$L_{swi}\Lambda_{SF} = 2^{n} \left[b_{0}u_{n} \right]^{-\frac{b_{1}}{2b_{0}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2b_{0}u_{n}}} \exp\left\{ \frac{b_{1}^{2} - b_{2}b_{0}}{2b_{0}^{3}}u_{n} + O(u_{n}^{2}) \right\}$$

 $\bullet~$ Connect to $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme $\Lambda_{\rm SF}^{N_f=3}/\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{N_f=3}=0.382863(1)$

Simulations

- On lattices with sizes L/a = 4, 6, 8, 12 measure $u = \bar{g}^2(L)$.
- requires precise knowledge of massless limit, i.e. $\kappa_{
 m cr}(g_0,L/a)$
- Double lattice size and measure $\Sigma(u, a/L) = \bar{g}^2(2L)$
- analyze $\Sigma(u, a/L)$ directly
- Alternatively, reduce cutoff effects perturbatively up to 2-loop order:

$$\delta(u, a/L) = \frac{\Sigma(u, a/L) - \sigma(u)}{\sigma(u)} = \delta_1(L/a)u + \delta_2(L/a)u^2 + O(u^3)$$

 $\delta_{1,2}(L/a)$ are known [Bode, Weisz & Wolff '99] \Rightarrow cutoff effects in

$$\Sigma'(u, \mathsf{a}/L) = rac{\Sigma(u, \mathsf{a}/L)}{1 + \delta_1(L/\mathsf{a})u + \delta_2(L/\mathsf{a})u^2}$$

start at order u^4 !

Obtaining the SSF in the continuum

Example for global fit ansatz:

$$\Sigma'(u, a/L) = u + s_0 u^2 + s_1 u^3 + c_1 u^4 + c_2 u^5 + \rho_1 u^4 \frac{a^2}{L^2}$$

• *s*₀, *s*₁ fixed to perturbative values:

$$s_0 = 2b_0 \ln 2$$
, $s_1 = s_0^2 + 2b_1 \ln 2$

 3 parameters: c₁, c₂, ρ₁; 19 data points,

$$\chi^2/{\rm d.o.f.} = 1.099$$



Precision compared to earlier results for $N_{\rm f} = 0, 2, 3, 4$





- N_f = 0, 2, 3, 4 [ALPHA, '92-'15]
 N_f = 3 [PACS-CS '09]
- Various fits (3-5 parameters, perturbatively improved & unimproved data), find stability after n = 2, 3 step-scaling steps

$$\Rightarrow L_{swi} \Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{N_{\rm f}=3} = 0.0802(16)$$

3

(preliminary)

More details on the definition of g_{GF}^2

- Choose same bare action as CLS in large volume;
- SF boundaries: use variant B by [Aoki, Frezzotti, Weisz, '98]
- Boundary O(a) improvement: c_t, č_t to 1-loop order [Aoki, Ide, Takeda '03; Vilaseca '15]
- Study of O(a) boundary effects (PT and quenched):
 - T = L, $c = \sqrt{8t}/L = 0.3$ seems OK;
 - 2 advantageous to restrict to magnetic components at $x_0 = T/2$:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{tr} \{ G_{kl}(t,x) G_{kl}(t,x) \} \rangle \Big|_{x_0 = T/2, T = L, m_q = 0} = \mathcal{N}(c, a/L) g_{\mathsf{GF}}^2(L)$$

- Use $\mathcal{N}(c, a/L)$ for given $L/a \Rightarrow g_{\mathsf{GF}}^2 = g_0^2$ exact at tree-level.
- Wilson flow & $O(a^2)$ improved Zeuthen flow
- Clover & $O(a^2)$ improved observable
- topology freezing: use projection on Q = 0 sector [Fritzsch, Ramos, Stollenwerk '13]; becomes relevant for L > 0.25 fm

Matching at the switching scale L_{swi} (Wilson action)

$$\bar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2(L_{\mathsf{swi}}) = 2.012 \Rightarrow (\beta, L/a) \rightarrow (\beta, 2L/a) \Rightarrow \bar{g}_{\mathsf{GF}}^2(2L_{\mathsf{swi}}) = 2.6808(54)$$
(preliminary)



Summary status: $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{N_{\text{f}}=3}$ with target error < 4-5%

 SF coupling for L < L_{swi} ≈ 0.05 fm; unprecedented precision (high statistics & precise tuning of κ):

$$ar{g}_{\mathsf{SF}}^2(L_{\mathsf{swi}}) = 2.012 \quad \Rightarrow \quad L_{\mathsf{swi}} \Lambda_{\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}^{N_{\mathrm{f}}=3} = 0.0802(16) \quad (\text{preliminary})$$

- Definition of gradient flow coupling $\bar{g}_{GF}^2(L)$ settled:
 - reduced boundary O(a) effects by restricting E(t, x) to magnetic components;
 - Symanzik O(a²) improvement: Zeuthen flow and observable.
- Matching at switching scale L_{swi}

 $\bar{g}_{\mathsf{GF}}^2(2L_{\mathsf{swi}}) = 2.6808(54)$ (preliminary)

- Running of $\bar{g}_{GF}^2(L)$ from 0.05 0.1 fm to 0.5 fm:
 - precision tuning of κ finished;
 - simulations for step scaling function underway.
- Connect to F_K on CLS config's: details to be defined.