O(N) model in Euclidean de Sitter space: beyond the leading IR approximation

Leonardo G. Trombetta

Centro Atómico Bariloche and CONICET, Argentina

Based on arXiv: 1606.03481 (accepted at JHEP)

In collaboration with:

Diego Mazzitelli Diana López Nacir

Cosmology and the Quantum Vacuum

Benasque, September 2016

Outline

Motivations

- IR effects in de Sitter
- QFT Euclidean de Sitter space
- Resummation of leading IR secular terms
- Concluding remarks

Motivations

QFT in de Sitter spacetime

• Inflationary stage of the early Universe

Quantum fluctuations give rise to primordial inhomogenities

• Current accelerated expansion

Quantum effects can afect the time evolution through backreaction

IR effects

• Light and massless fields need special treatment due to the large IR fluctuations

QFT in de Sitter spacetime

• N scalar fields ϕ_a with O(N)-symmetry and quartic self-interaction

$$S[\phi_a, g_{\mu\nu}] = -\int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \phi_a \left(-\Box + m^2 + \xi R \right) \phi_a + \frac{\lambda}{8N} (\phi_a \phi_a)^2 \right]$$

• Cosmological patch of de Sitter

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2Ht} d\vec{x}^2$$

or, defining conformal time $\eta = -e^{-Ht}$

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\eta^2} \left[-d\eta^2 + d\vec{x}^2 \right]$$

with $-\infty < \eta < 0$



• Quantities of interest: Vacuum expectation values

 $\langle \phi^2(t, \vec{x}) \rangle = G(x, x)$

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle = D_{\mu\nu}G(x,x')\Big|_{x=x}$$

G(x, x'): field propagator

IR effects

Massive free field propagator

$$G_F^{(m)}(x,x') = \frac{H^2\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-\nu)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\nu)}{(4\pi)^2} \,_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}-\nu,\frac{3}{2}+\nu;2;1-\frac{y}{4}-i\epsilon\right)$$

where $u = \sqrt{9/4 - m^2/H^2}$ and

$$y(x,x') = rac{-(\eta-\eta')^2+|ec{x}-ec{x'}|^2}{\eta\eta'}$$

(Invariant distance)

• Large spatial separations or late times $(y
ightarrow +\infty)$

$$G_F^{(m)}(y) \sim y^{-\frac{m^2}{3H^2}}$$

• IR limit $(m^2 \ll H^2)$

$$G_F^{(m)} \simeq \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m^2}$$

divergence for $m \rightarrow 0$ (not present in flat spacetime)

IR effects

Massless free field

• Field variance

$$\langle \phi(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}$$

There is no de Sitter invariant vacuum state for a free massless field.

• Large spatial separations or late times $(y o +\infty)$:

Subtracting the divergent term, the corresponding massless Feynman propagator

$$\hat{G}_{F}^{(0)}(y) = \lim_{m \to 0} \left[G_{F}^{(m)}(y) - \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m^2} \right] \sim \log(y)$$

Interacting field (i.e.: $\lambda \phi^4$)

• Loop corrections (L = # loops) $\sim \left(\frac{\lambda H t}{4\pi^2}\right)^L$



 \implies The perturbative expansion is invalid at late times or for small masses!

Burgess et al. (2010)

First non-perturbative solution: Stochastic Inflation

Starobinsky & Yokoyama (1994)

Dinamical mass (N = 1

• Massless field with $\lambda \phi^4/4!$ interaction:

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = rac{3}{\pi} rac{\Gamma\left(rac{3}{4}
ight)}{\Gamma\left(rac{1}{4}
ight)} rac{H^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \equiv rac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m_{dyn}^2}$$

then

$$m_{dyn}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}H^2}{8\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}$$

Result non-analytical in $\lambda \Longrightarrow$ non perturbative!

• How to compute systematic corrections beyond the IR limit?

Lorentzian QFT $(N \rightarrow \infty)$

$$m_{dyn}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}H^2}{4\pi}$$

Euclidean de Sitter space

 Global coordinates in Lorenzian d-dimensional de Sitter spacetime

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H^2} \left[-dt^2 + \cosh(t)^2 d\Omega^2 \right]$$

• Analytical continuation

$$t \to -i\left(\tau - \frac{\pi}{2H^2}\right)$$

Compactification

$$\tau = \tau + 2\pi H^-$$

• Euclidean d-sphere

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H^2} \left[d\tau^2 + \sin(\tau)^2 d\Omega^2 \right]$$





QFT in euclidean de Sitter

• Euclidean Action

$$S_E = \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left[rac{1}{2} \phi_a \left(-\Box + m^2
ight) \phi_a + rac{\lambda}{8N} (\phi_a \phi_a)^2
ight.$$

• We expand in d-dimensional spherical harmonics

$$\phi_a(x) = \sum_{\vec{L}} \tilde{\phi}_{\vec{L},a} Y_{\vec{L}}(x)$$

• Free propagator (symmetric phase)

$$G_{ab}(x,x') = \delta_{ab} \sum_{\vec{L}} H^d \frac{Y_{\vec{L}}(x)Y_{\vec{L}}(x')}{H^2 L(L+d-1) + m^2} \equiv \delta_{ab} G(x,x')$$

• The zero modes $(\vec{L}=0)$ are responsible for this divergence and the breakdown of the perturbative expansion!

$$G(x,x') \longrightarrow rac{H^d Y_{\overline{0}}^2}{m^2} \qquad ext{ when } m^2 o 0$$

• It can be shown (through the effective potential) that:

$$m_{dyn}^2 = \frac{N}{V_d \langle \phi_0^2 \rangle}$$

 V_d : surface area of a d-sphere ($V_4=8\pi^2/3H^4$)

Another nonperturbative solution: Proper treatment of the zero modes

N = 1: Rajaraman (2010)

N > 1: López Nacir, Mazzitelli, LGT (2016)

 $\phi_a(x) = \phi_{0a} + \hat{\phi}_a(x)$; $G(x, x') = G_0 + \hat{G}(x, x')$

Generating functional of the zero modes (LO IR)

- Since ϕ_0 is constant, there is no kinetic term and the path integral turns into an ordinary integral
- Massless field m = 0

Separating the zero modes

$$Z_0[J_0] = \mathcal{N}_0 \int d^N \phi_0 \exp\left[-V_d\left(rac{\lambda}{8N} |\phi_0|^4 + J_{0a} \phi_{0a}
ight)
ight]$$

• These expectation values are computed exactly

$$\langle \phi_0^{2p} \rangle_0 = \frac{\int_0^\infty d\phi_0 \, \phi_0^{N-1+2p} e^{-\frac{VdN}{2N}} \phi_0^4}{\int_0^\infty d\phi_0 \, \phi_0^{N-1} e^{-\frac{VdN}{8N}} \phi_0^4} = 2^{\frac{3p}{2}} \left(\frac{N}{Vd\lambda}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{N+2p}{4}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{N}{4}\right]}$$

Dinamical Mass (d = 4)

$$m_{dyn,0}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}H^2}{8\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} \qquad (N=1) \qquad ; \qquad m_{dyn,0}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}H^2}{4\pi} \qquad (N \to \infty)$$

Computing corrections from UV (inhomogeneous) modes

N = 1 Beneke & Moch (2012)

N>1: López Nacir, Mazzitelli, LGT (2016) • Perturbative treatment of the UV modes

$$\begin{split} S_{int} &= \frac{\lambda}{8N} \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left[|\phi_0|^4 + 2|\phi_0|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + 4(\phi_0 \cdot \hat{\phi})^2 + 4(\phi_0 \cdot \hat{\phi})|\hat{\phi}|^2 + |\hat{\phi}|^4 \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{V_d \lambda}{8N} |\phi_0|^4 \right] + \tilde{S}_{int} [\phi_0, \hat{\phi}] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Z[J_0,\hat{J}] &= \mathcal{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_0 \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left[-S_E - \int d^d x \sqrt{g} \left(J_0\phi_0 + \hat{J}\hat{\phi}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\tilde{S}_{int}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta J_0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{J}}\right]\right) Z_0[J_0]\hat{Z}_{free}[\hat{J}] \end{split}$$

• We expand on $ilde{S}_{int}$, while treating $rac{V_d\lambda}{4!}\phi_0^4$ exactly in $Z_0[J_0]$

2-point functions in a double expansion in $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and 1/N~(m=0) . IR part

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{0a}\phi_{0b}\rangle &= \delta_{ab} \bigg[\sqrt{\frac{2}{V_d\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren} + \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{V_d\lambda}{2}} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2V_d}} \left(\frac{\partial [\hat{G}^{(m)}]}{\partial m^2}\right)_{0,fin} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

UV part

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\phi}_a(x) \hat{\phi}_b(x') \rangle &= \delta_{ab} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \hat{G}^{(0)}(x,x') + \left(\frac{\partial \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial m^2}\right)_0 \left[\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2V_d}} + \frac{\lambda}{4} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^2)^2}\right)_0 \left[\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2V_d}}\right]^2 \right\} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \end{split}$$

where we used that

$$\int \dots \int_{x_2,\dots,x_{k-1}} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x_1,x_2)\dots\hat{G}^{(m)}(x_{k-1},x_k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-2)!} \frac{\partial^{k-2}\hat{G}^{(m)}(x_1,x_k)}{\partial (m^2)^{k-2}}$$

• Agreement with Lorentzian calculations at the given order in $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and 1/N.

Back to Lorentzian spacetime

• Analytical continuation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y(x,x') & \longrightarrow & \frac{-\left(|\eta-\eta'|-i\epsilon\right)^2+|\vec{x}-\vec{x'}|^2}{\eta\eta'}\\ \hat{G}(x,x') & \longrightarrow & \hat{G}_F(x,x') \end{array}$$

• Points x and x' can now be infinitelly far apart (unlike on the sphere)

Since the results are built up from massless free propagators Ĝ⁽⁰⁾(x, x') (and its derivatives) ⇒ The are still IR issues at large separations and/or late times

 Is there a way of further resumming contributions that afect the behavior in that regime?

Resumming the leading IR secular terms to the two-point functions

• We focus on the bi-quadratic interaction terms:

$$rac{\lambda}{4N}\int d^d\sqrt{g}\,\left[|\phi_0|^2|\hat{\phi}|^2+2(\phi_0\cdot\hat{\phi})
ight]$$

• Consider a generic correction to the 2-point functions with p-insertions of ϕ_0^2 :



• This leads to:

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_a(x) \hat{\phi}_b(x') \rangle^{(0)} = \delta_{ab} \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\partial^p \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^2)^p} \bigg|_0 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2N} \right)^p \left[1 + \frac{(3^p - 1)}{N} \right] \langle \phi_0^{2p} \rangle_0 \right\}$$

• Which can be resummed order by order in 1/N (expanding de factor $\langle \phi_0^{2p} \rangle_0$). For example at leading order:

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_a(x)\hat{\phi}_b(x')\rangle^{(0)} = \hat{G}^{(m_{dyn,0})}(x,x') + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1},\sqrt{\lambda})$$

$$_{a,0} = \sqrt{\lambda/2V_d}$$

Resumming the leading IR secular terms to the two-point functions

Redefinition of perturbation theory (once again)

• ϕ_0 -dependent mass term for $\hat{\phi}$:

$$rac{\lambda}{4N}\int d^d\sqrt{g}\,m_{ab}^2(\phi_0)\hat{\phi}_a\hat{\phi}_d$$

• Generating functional

$$Z[J_0, \hat{J}] = \mathcal{N}e^{-\tilde{\tilde{S}}_{int}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta J_0}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{J}}\right]} \int d^N \phi_0 e^{-\left[\frac{\lambda V_d}{8N} |\phi_0|^4 + V_d J_{0a} \phi_{0a}\right]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \iint_{x,y} \hat{\phi}_a \hat{G}_{ab}^{-1}(\phi_0) \hat{\phi}_b + \int_x \hat{J}_a \hat{\phi}_a\right)$$

with

$$\hat{G}_{ab}^{-1}(\phi_0)(x,x') = \left[-\Box \delta_{ab} + m_{ab}^2(\phi_0)\right] \frac{\delta^{(d)}(x-x')}{\sqrt{g}}$$

- The remaining interaction terms $\phi_0 \hat{\phi}^3$ and $\hat{\phi}^4$ are contained in \tilde{S}_{int} and are treated pertubatively

Example:

Up to order λ we only need the first perturbative correction coming from the term $\frac{\lambda}{8N}|\hat{\phi}|^4$

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_a(x) \hat{\phi}_b(x') \rangle^{(1)} = \frac{1}{Z^{(1)}[0,0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z^{(1)}[J_0,\bar{J}]}{\delta \hat{J}_a(x) \delta \hat{J}_b(x')} \bigg|_{J_0,\bar{J}=0}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\phi}_{a}(x) \hat{\phi}_{b}(x') \rangle^{(0)} &= \delta_{ab} \Biggl\{ \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\partial^{p} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^{2})^{p}} \Biggl|_{0} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2N} \right)^{p} \left[1 + \frac{(3^{p} - 1)}{N} \right] \langle \phi_{0}^{2p} \rangle_{0} \\ &- \frac{\lambda^{2} (N+2)}{4N} V_{d} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren} \times \\ &\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\partial^{p} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^{2})^{p}} \Biggl|_{0} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2N} \right)^{p} \left[1 + \frac{(3^{p} - 1)}{N} \right] [\langle \phi_{0}^{2(p+1)} \rangle_{0} - \langle \phi_{0}^{2} \rangle_{0} \langle \phi_{0}^{2p} \rangle_{0}] \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Delta \langle \hat{\phi}_a(x) \hat{\phi}_b(x') \rangle &= & \delta_{ab} \frac{\lambda (N+2)}{2N} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren} \\ &\times \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\partial^{p+1} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^2)^{p+1}} \bigg|_0 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2N} \right)^p \left[1 + \frac{(3^p-1)}{N} \right] \langle \phi_0^{2p} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Result

$$\begin{split} \langle \hat{\phi}_{a}(x) \hat{\phi}_{b}(x') \rangle^{(1)} &= \delta_{ab} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x') + \frac{\lambda}{4} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren} \frac{\partial \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial m^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N} \bigg[2 \hat{G}^{(\sqrt{3}m)}(x,x') - 2 \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x') \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2V_{d}}} \frac{\partial \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial m^{2}} + \frac{\lambda}{2V_{d}} \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial (m^{2})^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{4} [\hat{G}^{(0)}]_{ren} \left(7 \frac{\partial \hat{G}^{(m)}(x,x')}{\partial m^{2}} - 6 \frac{\partial \hat{G}^{(\sqrt{3}m)}(x,x')}{\partial m^{2}} \right) \bigg] \bigg\}_{m_{dec} = 0} \end{split}$$

Remarks

- All free propagators that build up the expression now have masses $\sim \sqrt{\lambda}$

Decay at large distances and/or late times!!

- Other recent results in Lorentzian QFT (Gautier & Serreau (2015)) obtain a decay in the massless case in the IR limit. But their approximations are not systematic.
- Our results are consistent with, and improve on, the know Lorentzian calculations.
- It is possible to go to higher orders in both $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and 1/N in a systematic way

Summary

- The QFT in euclidean de Sitter space with a proper treatment of the zero mode, allows to recover known non-perturbative results in the IR limit (dynamical mass generation).
- Furthermore, the computation of corrections from the UV modes is systematic order by order in $\sqrt{\lambda}$ and 1/N, unlike other methods.
- However, the nonperturbative treatment of the zero mode alone does not solve the IR problems associated with large separations and/or late times.
- As we have shown, it is possible to also resum the leading secular terms in order to obtain a proper decay in that regime

Outlook

• Computation of higher correlation functions

 $\langle \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) \rangle$

How to do the analytical continuation?

The vacumm expectation value of the stress-energy tensor

$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$

as a source of the Einstein semiclassical equations. Stress-tensor fluctuations

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x)T_{\mu'\nu'}(x')\rangle$$

to study the validity of the semiclassical treatment

- Generalization to $\overline{m^2} < 0$ to study spontaneous symmetry breaking and symmetry restoration

 ϕ_0 scales as $\lambda^{-1/2} \Longrightarrow \lambda |\phi_0|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 \sim \lambda^0$

 In the Lorenztian QFT, there is a continuum of modes. How can we define the analogue of the zero mode? Is it posible to do a systematic nonperturbative treatment as in the Euclidean case?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!!