Shape derivative method for optimal design in conductivity problem

Petar Kunštek
Joint work with Marko Vrdoljak

University of Zagreb, Croatia

WeConM**App**

Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded set.

Two phases each with different isotropic conductivity: α, β $(0 < \alpha < \beta)$.

q_α is the prescribed volume of the first phase α $(0 < q_\alpha < |\Omega|)$.

$\chi \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \chi = 1, & \text{phase } \alpha \\ \chi = 0, & \text{phase } \beta \end{cases} .$$

Conductivity can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{A}(\chi) := \chi \alpha \, \mathbf{I} + (1 - \chi)\beta \, \mathbf{I},$$

where

$$\int_\Omega \chi(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = q_\alpha.$$

Introduction

State functions $u_i \in \mathrm{H}_0^1(\Omega)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ are given as a solution of the following boundary value problems:

$$(S) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} -\mathrm{div}(\mathbf{A}\,\nabla u_i) = f_i & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right. \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., m,$$

with $\mathbf{A} = \chi\alpha\mathbf{I} + (1 - \chi)\beta\mathbf{I}$. Denote $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, ..., u_m)$.

Energy functional:

$$J(\chi) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) u_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x},$$

where $\mu_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Statement of the problem

Optimal design problem:

$$
(P) \quad
\begin{cases}
\quad J(\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} f_i u_i \, d\boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow \max \\
\text{s.t.} \quad \chi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \{0,1\}), \quad \int_{\Omega} \chi \, d\boldsymbol{x} = q_{\alpha}, \\
\boldsymbol{u} \text{ solves (S) with } \mathbf{A} = \chi \alpha \mathbf{I} + (1-\chi)\beta \mathbf{I}.
\end{cases}
$$

If solution χ exists for (P) we call it *classical solution*.

Important: For general optimal design problems the classical solutions usually do not exist.

Assumptions:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is ball or annulus,
- right hand sides f_i are radial functions.

With this assumptions one can construct classical solutions.

Relaxed design

For characteristic functions relaxation consists of:

$$(1) \qquad \chi \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega, \{0, 1\}) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \theta \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega, [0, 1]),$$

with

$$\int_\Omega \theta \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} := q_\alpha.$$

Notion of H-convergence is introduced for conductivity $\mathbf{A}$.

Effective conductivities:

$$\mathcal{K}(\theta) \subset M_d(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with local fraction } \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Precisely, $A \in \mathcal{K}(\theta)$ iff there exists sequence of characteristic functions

$$\begin{cases} \chi_n \xrightarrow{L^\infty *} \theta \\ \mathbf{A}^n = \chi_n \alpha \mathbf{I} + (1 - \chi_n)\beta \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{H} A. \end{cases}$$

Effective conductivities - set $\mathcal{K}(\theta)$

$\mathcal{K}(\theta)$ is given in terms of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_\theta^- \leq \quad \lambda_j \quad \leq \lambda_\theta^+ \quad j = 1, \ldots, d$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - \alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_\theta^- - \alpha} + \frac{d-1}{\lambda_\theta^+ - \alpha}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\beta - \lambda_j} \leq \frac{1}{\beta - \lambda_\theta^-} + \frac{d-1}{\beta - \lambda_\theta^+},$$

where

$$\lambda_\theta^+ = \theta\alpha + (1-\theta)\beta$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\theta^-} = \frac{\theta}{\alpha} + \frac{1-\theta}{\beta}.$$

Generalized (convex) problem

Relaxed design:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in L^\infty(\Omega, [0,1] \times \mathrm{Sym}_d) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \int_\Omega \theta \, d\boldsymbol{x} = q_\alpha, \\ \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{K}(\theta(\boldsymbol{x})), \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{x} \end{array} \right\}$$

Relaxed problem can be written as:

$$(\text{A}) \qquad \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{A}} J(\theta, \mathbf{A}) = \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \int_\Omega f_i u_i \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

Unfortunately, $\mathcal{A}$ is not a convex set. To achieve convexity, an enlarged set is introduced:

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ (\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in L^\infty(\Omega, [0,1] \times \mathrm{Sym}_d) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \int_\Omega \theta \, d\boldsymbol{x} = q_\alpha, \\ \lambda^-_{\theta(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathbf{I} \leq \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \lambda^+_{\theta(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathbf{I}, \text{ a.e. } \boldsymbol{x} \end{array} \right\}$$

and with it

$$(\text{B}) \qquad \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{B}} J(\theta, \mathbf{A}) = \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \int_\Omega f_i u_i \, d\boldsymbol{x}$$

Rewrite B as a max-min problem

Define $\mathcal{S} := \big\{ \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) \,\big|\, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d), \ -\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i) = f_i \big\}$

One can rewrite functional J in terms of fluxes:

$$J(\theta, \mathbf{A}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$$

With notation $\mathcal{C} = \big\{ (\theta, \mathbf{A}) \,|\, (\theta, \mathbf{A}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{B} \big\}$

$$\max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{B}} J(\theta, \mathbf{A}) = \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{B}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$$

$$= \max_{(\theta, \mathbf{B}) \in \mathcal{C}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$$

Observe that

$$L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B})) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_\Omega \mathbf{B}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mapsto L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B})) \qquad\qquad (\theta, \mathbf{B}) \mapsto L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B}))$$

- quadratic (strictly convex)
- continuous in $L^2(\Omega)$ (l.s.c.)
- $(\exists (\theta, \mathbf{B})) \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mapsto L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B}))$
  $\lim\limits_{\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\| \to +\infty} L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B}))) = +\infty$

- linear (concave)
- continuous in $L^\infty *$ (u.s.c.)
- set $\mathcal{C}$ is compact (in $L^\infty *$).

Min-max theory

Previous conclusions for the Lagrange functional L implies:

- set of saddle points $\mathcal{S}_0 \times \mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C}$ is not empty
- min and max are interchangeable
- $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mapsto L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta, \mathbf{B}))$ is strictly convex $\quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}_0 = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^*\}$.

This means that there exists **unique $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^*$** such that this holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max_{(\theta,\mathbf{A})\in\mathcal{B}} J(\theta,\mathbf{A}) &= \max_{(\theta,\mathbf{B})\in\mathcal{C}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\in\mathcal{S}} L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, (\theta,\mathbf{B})) \\
&= \max_{(\theta,\mathbf{B})\in\mathcal{C}} L(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^*, (\theta,\mathbf{B})) \\
&= \max_{(\theta,\mathbf{B})\in\mathcal{C}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^*
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusions

Instead of solving convex problem B, one can solve the following optimization problem:

(I) $\quad \begin{cases} \qquad I(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \int_{\Omega} f_i u_i \, d\boldsymbol{x} \to \max \\ \\ s.t. \quad \theta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, [0,1]), \ \int_{\Omega} \theta = q_{\alpha}, \ \text{where } u_i \text{ satisfies} \\ \\ \quad -\operatorname{div}(\lambda_{\theta}^{-} \nabla u_i) = f_i, \quad u_i \in \mathrm{H}_0^1(\Omega), \ i = 1, 2, ..., m \end{cases}$

For spherically symmetric problem such that:

- $\Omega = R(\Omega)$ for any rotation R
- f_i are radial functions

it can be proved that there exists radial solution θ_R^* of (I).

In particular, it can be shown that

$$\max_{(\theta, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{A}} J(\theta, \mathbf{A}) = I(\theta_R^*).$$

Conclusions

Define
$$\Psi := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i |\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i{}^*|^2.$$

Lemma

The necessary and sufficient condition of optimality for solution θ^* of optimal design problem (I) simplifies to the existence of a Lagrange multiplier $c \geq 0$ such that

(2)
$$\begin{aligned} \Psi > c &\Rightarrow \theta^* = 1 \,, \\ \Psi < c &\Rightarrow \theta^* = 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Single state optimal design problem

Single state equation:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\lambda_\theta^-(x)\nabla u) = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_\theta^-(x) = \left(\dfrac{\theta(x)}{\alpha} + \dfrac{1-\theta(x)}{\beta}\right)^{-1}$.

Optimization problem:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} I(\theta) = \displaystyle\int_\Omega u \, d\boldsymbol{x} \to \max \\[2mm] s.t. \quad \theta \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega, [0,1]), \ \displaystyle\int_\Omega \theta = q_\alpha, \ \text{where } u \text{ satisfies (3)} \end{cases}$$

Single state optimal design problem

One can rewrite (3) in polar coordinates :

$$-\frac{1}{r^{d-1}}(r^{d-1}\underbrace{\lambda_\theta^- u'(r)}_{\sigma})' = 1 \text{ in } \langle r_1, r_2 \rangle, \quad u(r_1) = u(r_2) = 0.$$

Observe that σ satisfies

$$\sigma = -\frac{r}{d} + \frac{\gamma}{r^{d-1}}, \quad \gamma > 0$$

$\sigma(r) : \langle 0, \infty \rangle \to \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly decreasing function.

The necessary and sufficient condition of optimality for θ^* states

$$|\sigma^*| > c \;\Rightarrow\; \theta^* = 1\,,$$
$$|\sigma^*| < c \;\Rightarrow\; \theta^* = 0\,.$$

There are only three possible candidates for optimal design:

1) $\theta^*(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_1, r_+\rangle \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, r_-\rangle \\ 1, & r \in [r_-, r_2] \end{cases}$

2) $\theta^*(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_1, r_+\rangle \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, r_2\rangle \end{cases}$

3) $\theta^*(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \in [r_1, r_-\rangle \\ 1, & r \in [r_-, r_2\rangle \end{cases}$

Simplification to a non-linear system

From condition of optimality a non-linear system (with unknowns γ, c, r_+, r_-) is created:

(NS)
$$
\begin{cases}
S_d \displaystyle\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \theta(\rho)\rho^{d-1}\,\mathrm{d}\rho = q_\alpha \\[2em]
u(r_2) = 0 \iff \gamma \displaystyle\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left(\frac{1}{a(\rho)\rho^{d-1}}\right)\mathrm{d}\rho = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\rho}{a(\rho)}\,\mathrm{d}\rho \\[2em]
\sigma(r_+) = c, \quad \sigma(r_-) = -c, \qquad \text{where } c > 0
\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\sigma(r) = \frac{\gamma}{r^{d-1}} - \frac{r}{d}, \quad \& \quad a(r) = \left(\frac{\theta(r)}{\alpha} + \frac{1 - \theta(r)}{\beta}\right)^{-1}.
$$

Important: For solving (NS) optimal design is assumed.

(Optimal design for annulus $d = 2, 3$, $f = 1$)

With previous assumptions problem (I) admits optimal solution with two possible designs:

1) $\qquad \theta^*(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_1, r_+\rangle \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, r_-\rangle \\ 1, & r \in [r_-, r_2] \end{cases}$ **alpha-beta-alpha**

2) $\qquad \theta^*(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \in [r_1, r_+\rangle \\ 0, & r \in [r_+, r_2\rangle \end{cases}$ **alpha-beta**

If q_α is small design 2) is optimal.

alpha-beta
($q_\alpha <$ critical value)

alpha-beta-alpha
($q_\alpha >$ critical value)

Shape derivative

Perturbation of the set Ω is given with

$$\Omega_t = (\mathrm{Id} + t\psi)\Omega$$

where $\psi \in W^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition (Shape derivative)

Let $J = J(\Omega)$ be a shape functional. J is said to be shape differentiable at Ω in direction ψ if

$$J'(\Omega, \psi) := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{J(\Omega_t) - J(\Omega)}{t}$$

exists and the mapping $\psi \mapsto J'(\Omega, \psi)$ is linear and continuous. $J'(\Omega, \psi)$ is called the **shape derivative**.

Single state problem (general f)

For single state optimal design problem:

(5)
$$
\begin{cases}
J(\chi) = \displaystyle\int_\Omega fu \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \to \max \\[2mm]
\text{s.t.} \quad \chi \in L^\infty(\Omega, \{0,1\}), \quad \displaystyle\int_\Omega \chi \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = q_\alpha, \\[2mm]
u \text{ solves (S) with } \mathbf{A} = \chi\alpha\mathbf{I} + (1-\chi)\beta\mathbf{I}
\end{cases}
$$

shape derivative is given with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J'(\Omega, \psi) &= \int_\Omega \mathbf{A}(-\operatorname{div}(\psi) + \nabla\psi + \nabla\psi^\tau)\nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\
&+ \int_\Omega 2(\operatorname{div}(\psi)f + \nabla f \cdot \psi)u_0 \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where u_0 is solution of BVP (S) on domain Ω with $\mathbf{A} = \chi\alpha\mathbf{I} + (1-\chi)\beta\mathbf{I}$.

Gradient method, Lagrange approach

Heuristics: do several iterations of the method, check results and adapt parameters.

Algorithm 1: iteration of the method

---

1 Input : mesh $\mathcal{T}_k$ - boundary is discretized (it is desirable to make a new triangulation)

2 Create function space `Vh` na $\mathcal{T}_k$ (P1,P2,...)

3 Determine ascent vector $\psi \in$ `Vh` from shape derivative

4 Calculate size of the step $t_0 > 0$ $\mathcal{T}_k$ (in order to avoid creating elements with negative volume)

5 Update mesh $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = (\mathrm{Id} + t_0\psi)\mathcal{T}_k$

The main drawback of implementation is the need for frequent triangulation of the domain.
Regardless, the above-implemented method is fairly stable and quickly approximates the optimal shape with minimal user intervention.

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \, \mathrm{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda\operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = J(\chi) - \lambda \operatorname{vol}(\chi)$$

Numerical results

Numerical results

References

📄 Allaire, G., Pantz, O., *Structural optimization with* `FreeFem++` Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization 32.3: 173-181. (2006)

📄 Murat, F., Tartar L. *Calcul des Variations et Homogénéisation, Les Méthodes de l'Homogénéisation Théorie et Applications en Physique*, Coll. Dir. Etudes et Recherches EDF, 57, Eyrolles,Paris, pp.319-369 (1985)

📄 Laurain A., Sturm, K., *Domain expression of the shape derivative and application to electrical impedance tomography* Technical report 1863, Weierstrass - Institute for applied analysis and stochastics (2013)

📄 Vrdoljak, M. *Classical Optimal Design in Two-Phase Conductivity Problems*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization: 2020-2035 (2016)