APPLYING TNS TO LATTICE GAUGE THEORIES

Mari-Carmen Bañuls





Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik (Garching b. München)



Benasque SCS February 2021

WHAT ARE LGT?

theory with a local (gauge) symmetry

ISING MODEL



$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + g \sum_i \sigma_i^x$$

global Z_2 symmetry

$$U = \otimes_i \sigma_i^x$$

$$U\sigma_k^z U^\dagger = -\sigma_k^z$$
 flips all spins at once

ISING LATTICE GAUGE THEORY

Wenger 1971



promoting a global symmetry to local

gauging the symmetry

fundamental role in HEP

 $) \vdash)$

 $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$ Dirac fermion

global U(1) symmetry $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\theta}\psi(x)$ global phase

$$\psi(x) \to e^{i\theta(x)}\psi(x)$$

$$\overline{I}$$
 $2H$ I \overline{I} $(2H$ 1 $2H$ $0) I$

solution
$$\psi \partial^{\mu} \psi \rightarrow \psi (\partial^{\mu} + i \partial^{\mu} \theta) \psi$$

 $\partial^{\mu} \rightarrow D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + i A^{\mu}$
 $A^{\mu} \rightarrow A^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu} \theta$ gauge field

gauge invariant dynamical term

local U(1)

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu}\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

WILSON'S LGT Wilson 1974 discretized action \rightarrow loses gauge invariance









state (globally) invariant \Leftrightarrow





Pérez-García et al., PRL 2008 Sanz et al., PRA 2009 Schuch et al., Ann. Phys. 2010 Singh et al., NJP 2007, PRA 2010



Pérez-García et al., PRL 2008 Sanz et al., PRA 2009 Schuch et al., Ann. Phys. 2010 Singh et al., NJP 2007, PRA 2010



Pérez-García et al., PRL 2008 Sanz et al., PRA 2009 Schuch et al., Ann. Phys. 2010 Singh et al., NJP 2007, PRA 2010











WHY LGT???

Motivation for LGT: QCD Wilson, 1974 non perturbative at low energy LQCD successful spectral calculations limitations: time, finite density



WHY WITH TNS?

A NATURAL CONNECTION



Non-perturbative for Hamiltonian systems

Extremely successful for ID systems (MPS)

Consistent developments for higher dimensions

ground states low-lying excitations thermal states time evolution Non-perturbative way of solving QFT (QCD) Mostly path-integral formalism & MC 4D lattice spectrum finite T big 3+1 dimensional chemical potential time evolution

HOW TNS FOR LGT???

USING TNS FOR QMB

a formal approach



classifying tensors constructing states

great descriptive power: phases, topological chiral states, anyons...

Chen et al PRB 2011 Schuch et al PRB 2011 Wahl et al PRL 2013; Yang et al PRL 2015 Haegeman et al, Nat. Comm. 2015

no sign problem

numerical algorithms

tensor networks describe partition functions (observables)

need to contract a TN TRG approaches

Nishino, JPSJ 1995 Levin & Wen PRL 2008 Xie et al PRL2009; Zhao et al PRB 2010



USING TNS FOR QMB

a formal approach



classifying tensors constructing states

great descriptive power: phases,

topological chiral states, anyons...

Chen et al PRB 2011 Schuch et al PRB 2011 Wahl et al PRL 2013;Yang et al PRL 2015 Haegeman et al, Nat. Comm. 2015

no sign problem

numerical algorithms

tensor networks describe partition functions (observables)

need to contract a TN TRG approaches

Nishino, JPSJ 1995 Levin & Wen PRL 2008 Xie et al PRL2009; Zhao et al PRB 2010 TNS as ansatz for the state

efficient algorithms for GS, low excited states, thermal, dynamics

White PRL 1992; Schollwöck RMP 2011 Vidal PRL 2003; Verstraete et al PRL 2004 Verstraete et al Adv Phys 2008; Orús Ann Phys 2014

USING TNS FOR LGT

formal approach

gauging the symmetry explicitly invariant states

general prescriptions, U(1), SU(2)

Tagliacozzo et al PRX 2014 Haegeman et al PRX 2014 Zohar et al Ann Phys 2015

numerical simulations

no sign problem



TN describe partition functions (observables)

TRG approaches to classical and quantum models Liu et al PRD 2013; Shimizu, Kuramashi, PRD 2014; Kawauchi, Takeda 2015; review Meurice et al. 2010.06539

— March America - March Ame

there is long way to go until LQCD

journey begins with I+ID steps

Photo by Maria Teneva on Unsplash

early works with DMRG/TNS

Byrnes PRD2002; Sugihara NPB2004 Tagliacozzo PRB2011; Sugihara JHEP2005 Meurice PRB2013

Schwinger model U(1) in 1D precise equilibrium simulations, feasibility of QSim

MCB et al JHEP11(2013)158; Rico et al PRL 2014; Buyens et al. PRL 2014; Kühn et al., PRA 90, 042305 (2014); MCB et al PRD 2015, Buyens et al. PRD 2016; Pichler et al. PRX 2016; review Dalmonte, Montangero, Cont. Phys. 2016 MCB, Cichy, Cirac, Jansen, Kühn, arXiv:1810.12838

MCB, K. Cichy 1910.00257 QTFLAG Collab.1911.00003

3+1 dimensions Magnifico et al. 2011.10658

2+1 dimensions

Falser et al. arXiv:1911.09693 Robaina et al. PRL126, 050401 (2021) Emonts et al. PRD102, 074501 (2020)

Non-Abelian in ID

string breaking dynamics

S. Kühn et al., JHEP 07 (2015) 130; Silvi et al., Quantum 2017 S. Kühn et al. PRX 2017

SU(3)QLM

Silvi et al, PRD 2019

finite density

S. Kuehn et al, PRL118 (2017) 071601

TNS = entanglement based ansatz



in principle, they can all be used for LGT simulations



GENERAL STRATEGY

Hamiltonian formulation acting on a Hilbert space → choose proper basis Finite dimensional degrees of freedom fermions → ✓ no sign problem gauge bosons require attention → truncating, integrating out (also QLinks)

 \bigstar Common ingredients for quantum simulation

Zohar et al. PRL 2010, 2012 , Tagliacozzo et al., Nat. Comm. 2013 Banerjee et al., PRL 2012 Rico et al. PRL 2014 Pichler et al, PRX 2016 Zohar, Burrello, PRD 2015

early works with DMRG/TNS

Byrnes PRD2002; Sugihara NPB2004 Tagliacozzo PRB2011; Sugihara JHEP2005 Meurice PRB2013

Schwinger model U(1) in 1D precise equilibrium simulations, feasibility of QSim

MCB et al JHEP11(2013)158; Rico et al PRL 2014; Buyens et al. PRL 2014; Kühn et al., PRA 90, 042305 (2014); MCB et al PRD 2015, Buyens et al. PRD 2016; Pichler et al. PRX 2016; review Dalmonte, Montangero, Cont. Phys. 2016 MCB, Cleby, Cirac, Jansen, Kühn, arXiv:1810.12838

MCB, K. Cichy 1910.00257 QTFLAG Collab.1911.00003

2+1 dimensions

Falser et al. arXiv:1911.09693 Robaina et al. arXiv:2007.11630 Emonts et al. PRD102, 074501 (2020)

Non-Abelian in ID

string breaking dynamics

S. Kühn et al., JHEP 07 (2015) 130; Silvi et al., Quantum 2017 S. Kühn et al. PRX 2017

SU(3)QLM

Silvi et al, PRD 2019

finite density

S. Kuehn et al, PRL118 (2017) 071601



start with the simplest case: ID (I+I) LGT

MPS PROPERTIES • MPS = Matrix Product States

MPS

good approximation of ground states Verstraete, Cirac, PRB 2006 Hastings, J. Stat. Phys 2007 gapped finite range Hamiltonian \Rightarrow area law (ground state) Cramer, Eisert, Plenio, RMP 2009 efficient calculation of expectation values exponentially decaying correlations can be prepared efficiently

WHAT CAN WE DO WITH THEM?



BASIC ALGORITHMS



apply local operators → simulate time evolution imaginary time → ground state thermal state

alternatively:TDVP

REGARDING DYNAMICS

initial MPS



TEBD, t-DMRG Vidal, PRL 2003, 2004 Verstraete, García-Ripoll, Cirac, PRL 2004

initial MPS



TEBD, t-DMRG Vidal, PRL 2003, 2004 Verstraete, García-Ripoll, Cirac, PRL 2004

> initial MPS discrete time $U(t) \rightarrow [U(\delta)]^M$



TEBD, t-DMRG Vidal, PRL 2003, 2004 Verstraete, García-Ripoll, Cirac, PRL 2004

time evolved state approximated by MPS



TEBD, t-DMRG Vidal, PRL 2003, 2004 Verstraete, García-Ripoll, Cirac, PRL 2004 alternative:TDVP Haegeman et al, PRL 2011

initial MPS

discrete time $U(t) \rightarrow [U(\delta)]^M$

Suzuki-Trotter expansion $U(\delta) \approx e^{-iH_e\delta}e^{-iH_o\delta}$

truncate bond dimension

iterate

compute observables

ALSO FOR MIXED STATES

MIXED STATES • MPO = Matrix Product Operator

Similar problems can be attacked

equilibrium \rightarrow thermal states imaginary time evolution

time-dependent \rightarrow real time evolution

unitary $\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U(t)^{\dagger}$

non-unitary $\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{L}(\rho)$

Verstraete et al., PRL 2004 Prosen, Znidaric PRL 2008 Cai, Barthel, PRL 2013,...



In the rest of this talk...

Why using TNS/MPS for LGT?

spectral calculations

Schwinger model

real-time

chemical potential

higher dimensional problems

SCHWINGER MODEL AS LABORATORY

SCHWINGER MODEL Schwinger '62

Simplest gauge theory with matter

QED in I+I dimensions electrons & photons

Shows some of the features of full QCD

confinement → bound states (massive bosons) fermion condensate

A testbench for lattice techniques

 $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - g\gamma_{\mu}A^{\mu} - m)\Psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$

in I+I D single adimensional parameter m/g

 $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ $\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$ $\bigcup(1) \text{ gauge invariance}$ $\Psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-ig\phi(x)}\Psi(x)$ $A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow A_{\mu}(x) - \partial_{\mu}\phi(x)$

equations of motion

$$\partial_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi_{,\alpha}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \Phi = A_{\mu}, \Psi$$

 $(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - g\gamma^{\mu}A_{\mu} - m) \Psi = 0$
 $\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\nu}\Psi$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - g\gamma_{\mu}A^{\mu} - m)\Psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$
Hamiltonian formulation
$$A^{0} = 0$$

$$E = -\dot{A}^{1}$$

$$H = \int dx \left[-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{1}\partial_{1}\Psi + g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{1}A_{1}\Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi + \frac{1}{2}E^{2} \right]$$
fermion
fermion fermion-photon
kinetic term
$$A^{0} = 0$$

$$e = -\dot{A}^{1}$$

$$H = \int dx \left[-i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{1}\partial_{1}\Psi + g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{1}A_{1}\Psi + m\bar{\Psi}\Psi + \frac{1}{2}E^{2} \right]$$
electrostatic
energy
plus a constraint:
$$\partial_{1}E = g\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{0}\Psi$$
Gauss' law
quantization
$$\{\Psi_{i}(x), \Psi_{j}^{\dagger}(y)\} = \delta_{ij}\delta(x - y)$$

$$\{\Psi_{i}(x), \Psi_{j}(y)\} = 0$$
discretize
$$[A_{1}(x), E(y)] = i\delta(x - y)$$

discrete Hamiltonian (staggered) formulation

 $\frac{x}{\begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(1)}(x)\\\Psi^{(2)}(x)\end{pmatrix}}$

discrete Hamiltonian (staggered) formulation

fermionic operators $\{\Phi_m, \Phi_n\} = 0$ $\{\Phi_m, \Phi_n^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{mn}$ Φ_{2n} Φ_{2n+1}

discrete Hamiltonian (staggered) formulation

fermionic operators $\{\Phi_m, \Phi_n\} = 0$ $\{\Phi_m, \Phi_n^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{mn}$ $\Phi_{2n} \Phi_{2n+1}$

discrete Hamiltonian (staggered) formulation

 $\frac{1}{ga}\theta_n \to -A^1(x)$ $gL_n \to E(x)$ $[\theta_n, L_m] = ig\delta_{nm}$

$$H = -\frac{i}{2a} \sum_{n} \left(\phi_n^{\dagger} e^{i\theta_n} \phi_{n+1} - \text{h.c.} \right) + m \sum_{n} (-1)^n \phi_n^{\dagger} \phi_n + \frac{ag^2}{2} \sum_{n} L_n^2$$
plus constraint: Gauss' Law

spinless fermions
$$L_n - L_{n-1} = \phi_n^{\dagger} \phi_n - \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (-1)^n \right]$$
ID spins \iff fermions: Jordan-Wigner
$$\phi_n = \prod_{k < n} (i\sigma_k^z) \sigma_n^-$$

notice: not strictly necessary for TNS

Jordan-Wigner → spin model



$$U_{n,n+1} = e^{i\theta_n}$$

hopping

 $gL_n \to E(x)$



for a TNS we need a basis

$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ basis $|\dots s_e \ \ell \ s_o \ \ell \ s_e \ \ell \ s_o \dots \rangle$

basis
$$|\dots s_e \ \ell \ s_o \ \ell \ s_e \ \ell \ s_o \dots \rangle$$

but Gauss' law fixes photon content

$$L_n - L_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_n^3 + (-1)^n]$$



but Gauss' law fixes photon content

$$L_n = \ell_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \le n} \sigma_n^3 + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ eliminate gauge dof}$$

introducing long range interactions

MPS representation for OPEN BOUNDARIES $|\ell_0 \dots s_e \ s_o \ s_e \ s_o \dots \rangle$ non-local terms

$$L_n = \ell_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \le n} \sigma_n^3$$

Long range interactions $\sum_{n} \sum_{k < n} (N - n) \sigma_k^3 \sigma_n^3$

can be written as a MPO of D=5

both possibilities

basis
$$| \dots s_e \ell s_o \ell s_e \ell s_o \dots \rangle$$
 all terms
are local
infinite dimensional: truncation
Gauss' law needs to be imposed
works by Buyens et al., PRL 2014; arXiv:1509.00246
Rico et al., PRL 2014; NJP 2014
or integrating out the gauge dof
basis $| \ell_0 \dots s_e s_o s_e s_o \dots \rangle$ non-local
terms

basis
$$|\dots s_e \ell s_o \ell s_e \ell s_o \dots\rangle$$
 all terms
are local
infinite dimensional: truncation
Gauss' law needs to be imposed
works by Buyens et al., PRL 2014; arXiv:1509.00246
Rico et al., PRL 2014; NJP 2014
or integrating out the gauge dof
basis $|\ell_0 \dots s_e s_o s_e s_o \dots\rangle$ non-local
terms
exact physical subspace
 \checkmark does not generalize to bigger dimensions