Averaged turnpike property for differential equations with random constant coefficients IX Partial differential equations, optimal design and numerics

> Author: Martín Hernández Salinas. Work in collaboration with: S.Zamorano y R.Lecaros. Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg



#### September, 2022

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation
- 2 Averaged turnpike property
  - Random differential equations
  - Finite dimensional average turnpike
  - Open problems

# Index

# 1 Introduction

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation
- 2 Averaged turnpike property
  - Random differential equations
  - Finite dimensional average turnpike
  - Open problems

**Turnpike Property** 

# Turnpike Property

#### Consider the Evolutive problem

$$\min_{u \in L^2(0,T;U)} \left\{ J^T(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 + \|Cx(t) - z\|^2 dt \right) \right\},\$$

where x(t) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t) \quad t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Also, consider the stationary problem

$$\min_{u \in U} \left\{ J^{s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|^{2} + \|Cx - z\|^{2} \right) \right\},\$$

**Turnpike Property** 

# Turnpike Property

Consider the Evolutive problem

$$\min_{u \in L^{2}(0,T;U)} \left\{ J^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|^{2} + \|Cx(t) - z\|^{2} dt \right) \right\},$$

where x(t) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Also, consider the stationary problem

$$\min_{u \in U} \left\{ J^{s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|^{2} + \|Cx - z\|^{2} \right) \right\},\$$

**Turnpike Property** 

# Turnpike Property

Consider the Evolutive problem

$$\min_{u \in L^{2}(0,T;U)} \left\{ J^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|^{2} + \|Cx(t) - z\|^{2} dt \right) \right\},$$

where x(t) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Also, consider the stationary problem

$$\min_{u \in U} \left\{ J^{s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|^{2} + \|Cx - z\|^{2} \right) \right\},\$$

**Turnpike Property** 

# Turnpike Property

Consider the Evolutive problem

$$\min_{u \in L^2(0,T;U)} \left\{ J^T(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2 + \|Cx(t) - z\|^2 dt \right) \right\},\$$

where x(t) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t) + Ax(t) = Bu(t) \quad t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Also, consider the stationary problem

$$\min_{u \in U} \left\{ J^{s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|^{2} + \|Cx - z\|^{2} \right) \right\},\$$

#### Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if: There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\| x^{T}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{X} + \left\| u^{T}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{U} \le C(e^{-\delta t} + e^{-\delta(T-t)}),$$

#### Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if: There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\|x^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{X}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{U}\leq C(e^{-\delta t}+e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}),$$

#### Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

#### Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if:

There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\| \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \right\|_{X} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{U} \leq C(e^{-\delta t} + e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}),$$

Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if: There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\|x^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{X}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{U}\leq C(e^{-\delta t}+e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}),$$

Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if: There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\|x^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{X}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{U}\leq C(e^{-\delta t}+e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}),$$

#### Introduction

# Propiedad de Turnpike

#### Assume that there exists

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : Optimal evolutive pair.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$ : Optimal stationary pair.

Then we will say that the turnpike property holds if: There exist two positive constants C and  $\delta$ , independent of the time horizon T, such that

$$\left\| x^{T}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{X} + \left\| u^{T}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{U} \leq C(e^{-\delta t} + e^{-\delta(T-t)}),$$
  
with  $t \in (0, T)$ .



# Index

# 1 Introduction

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation
- 2 Averaged turnpike property
  - Random differential equations
  - Finite dimensional average turnpike
  - Open problems

# Why turnpike is interesting?

- 1. When the turnpike property is verified, and we are in a large time context, then it makes sense to use stationary dynamics instead of evolutionary dynamics.
- 2. Stationary control is not very different from evolutionary control.

# Why turnpike is interesting?

- 1. When the turnpike property is verified, and we are in a large time context, then it makes sense to use stationary dynamics instead of evolutionary dynamics.
- 2. Stationary control is not very different from evolutionary control.

# Contenidos

# Introduction

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation

## 2 Averaged turnpike property

- Random differential equations
- Finite dimensional average turnpike
- Open problems

### Following the book

### **T**. Soong. (1973).

Random differential equations in science and engineering. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London.

# Let us consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $\omega \in \Omega$

1. RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega), t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

2. RDE with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(t,\omega)x(t,\omega), \\ x(t_0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

### Following the book

### **T**. Soong. (1973).

Random differential equations in science and engineering. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London.

Let us consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $\omega \in \Omega$ 

1. RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega),t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

2. RDE with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(t,\omega)x(t,\omega), \\ x(t_0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

### Following the book

### **T**. Soong. (1973).

Random differential equations in science and engineering. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London.

Let us consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $\omega \in \Omega$ 

1. RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega),t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

2. RDE with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(t,\omega) x(t,\omega), \\ x(t_0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

3. Nonhomogeneous RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega), t) + g(t,\omega), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

¿How can we introduce a control function to these problems?

3. Nonhomogeneous RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega), t) + g(t,\omega), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

#### ¿How can we introduce a control function to these problems?

Averaged turnpike property

## Control system of random differential equations

Some possibilities can be

1. RDE with random initial condition

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega),t) + B(\omega)u(t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

2. RDE with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(t,\omega)x(t,\omega) + B(\omega)u(t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

3. Nonhomogeneous RDE with random initial

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) = f(x(t,\omega),t) + g(t,\omega) + B(\omega)u(t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0(\omega). \end{cases}$$

# Contenidos

# Introduction

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation

## 2 Averaged turnpike property

- Random differential equations
- Finite dimensional average turnpike
- Open problems

# Main questions

# Is possibly to prove the turnpike property when x<sup>T</sup> and x̄ are random trajectories? What is the meaning of the turnpike property in this context?

# Main questions

# Is possibly to prove the turnpike property when $\times^{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\overline{\times}$ are random trajectories?

What is the meaning of the turnpike property in this context?

# Main questions

# Is possibly to prove the turnpike property when $x^T$ and $\overline{x}$ are random trajectories? What is the meaning of the turnpike property in this context?

# Evolutive random problem

Consider the following evolutive problem with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) + A(\omega)x(t,\omega) = B(\omega)u(t) & t \in (0,T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

and the minimization problem

$$\min_{u \in L^{2}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m})} \left\{ J^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \|C(\omega) \times (t,\omega) - z\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} d\mu dt \right) \right\},$$
(2)

with  $x(t,\omega)$  the solution of (1) and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Evolutive random problem

Consider the following evolutive problem with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) + A(\omega)x(t,\omega) = B(\omega)u(t) & t \in (0,T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

#### and the minimization problem

$$\min_{u \in L^{2}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m})} \left\{ J^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \|C(\omega) \times (t,\omega) - z\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} d\mu dt \right) \right\},$$
(2)

with  $x(t,\omega)$  the solution of (1) and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Evolutive random problem

Consider the following evolutive problem with random coefficients

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) + A(\omega)x(t,\omega) = B(\omega)u(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

and the minimization problem

$$\min_{u \in L^{2}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m})} \left\{ J^{T}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \|C(\omega) \times (t,\omega) - z\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} d\mu dt \right) \right\},$$
(2)

with  $x(t,\omega)$  the solution of (1) and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Stationary random problem

Also, consider the following minimization stationary problem

$$\min_{u\in\mathbb{R}^m}\left\{J^s(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2+\int_{\Omega}\|C(\omega)\times(\omega)-z\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2\,d\mu\right)\right\},\tag{3}$$

with  $x(\omega)$  the solution of  $A(\omega)x(\omega) = B(\omega)u$ .

Let us assume that

# • $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.

•  $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\| \mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{T}}(t) - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \left\| u^{\mathcal{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathcal{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t)) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathsf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  ${\mathbb E}$  denote the mathematical expectation.

Let us assume that

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\| x^{\mathcal{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| u^{\mathcal{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathcal{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t)) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathsf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  ${\mathbb E}$  denote the mathematical expectation.

Let us assume that

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\| \mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\mathsf{x}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t)) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathsf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  ${\mathbb E}$  denote the mathematical expectation.

Let us assume that

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\|x^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, \mathcal{T})$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(x^{\mathsf{T}}(t))-\mathbb{E}(\overline{x})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  $\mathbb E$  denote the mathematical expectation.

Let us assume that

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\|x^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}(t)) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathsf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  $\mathbb E$  denote the mathematical expectation.
# Turnpike inequality

Let us assume that

- $(x^T, u^T)$ : The optimal pair of the **evolutive** problem.
- $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  : The optimal pair of the **stationary** problem.

We will say that the average turnpike property hold, if the optimal pairs satisfy in average the exponential turnpike property, that is,

$$\left\| x^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, the previous inequality implies

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(x^{\mathsf{T}}(t))-\mathbb{E}(\overline{x})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\leq \mathscr{C}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

where  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{E}}$  denote the mathematical expectation.

Let us consider the previews optimal control problems and suppose that  $A, C \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $B \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n))$ , and are uniformly bounded. Also  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Theorem (Existence, Uniqueness and Characterization of the optimal control)

$$u^{T}(t) = -\int_{\Omega} B^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T}(t,\omega)d\mu, \qquad \begin{cases} -\varphi_{t}^{T} + A^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T} = C^{*}(Cx^{T} - z), \\ \varphi^{T}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let us consider the previews optimal control problems and suppose that  $A, C \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $B \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n))$ , and are uniformly bounded. Also  $u \in L^2(0, \mathcal{T}; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Theorem (Existence, Uniqueness and Characterization of the optimal control)

$$u^{T}(t) = -\int_{\Omega} B^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T}(t,\omega)d\mu, \qquad \begin{cases} -\varphi_{t}^{T} + A^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T} = C^{*}(Cx^{T} - z), \\ \varphi^{T}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let us consider the previews optimal control problems and suppose that  $A, C \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $B \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n))$ , and are uniformly bounded. Also  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Theorem (Existence, Uniqueness and Characterization of the optimal control)

$$u^{T}(t) = -\int_{\Omega} B^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T}(t,\omega)d\mu, \qquad \begin{cases} -\varphi_{t}^{T} + A^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T} = C^{*}(Cx^{T} - z), \\ \varphi^{T}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let us consider the previews optimal control problems and suppose that  $A, C \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $B \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n))$ , and are uniformly bounded. Also  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Theorem (Existence, Uniqueness and Characterization of the optimal control)

$$u^{T}(t) = -\int_{\Omega} B^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T}(t,\omega)d\mu, \qquad \begin{cases} -\varphi_{t}^{T} + A^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T} = C^{*}(Cx^{T} - z), \\ \varphi^{T}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let us consider the previews optimal control problems and suppose that  $A, C \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and  $B \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n))$ , and are uniformly bounded. Also  $u \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Theorem (Existence, Uniqueness and Characterization of the optimal control)

$$u^{T}(t) = -\int_{\Omega} B^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T}(t,\omega)d\mu, \qquad \begin{cases} -\varphi_{t}^{T} + A^{*}(\omega)\varphi^{T} = C^{*}(Cx^{T} - z), \\ \varphi^{T}(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

# Necessary hypotheses

### The following two hypotheses allow us to conclude the turnpike property

Hypotheses 1: There exist an feedback operator K<sub>C</sub> ∈ C(Ω; L(ℝ<sup>n</sup>)) and a positive constant ζ such that

$$\langle (A + K_C C) v, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} \ge \zeta \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

• Hypotheses 2: There exist two constants  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\langle A^* v, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa \left\| \int_{\Omega} B^* v d\mu \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \ge \lambda \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This hypotheses are motivated by the notions of exponentially stabilizable and detectable.

# Necessary hypotheses

The following two hypotheses allow us to conclude the turnpike property

Hypotheses 1: There exist an feedback operator K<sub>C</sub> ∈ C(Ω; L(ℝ<sup>n</sup>)) and a positive constant ζ such that

$$\langle (A + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}) v, v \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})} \geq \zeta \|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \quad \forall v \in L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

• Hypotheses 2: There exist two constants  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\langle A^* v, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa \left\| \int_{\Omega} B^* v d\mu \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \ge \lambda \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This hypotheses are motivated by the notions of exponentially stabilizable and detectable.

# Necessary hypotheses

The following two hypotheses allow us to conclude the turnpike property

Hypotheses 1: There exist an feedback operator K<sub>C</sub> ∈ C(Ω; L(ℝ<sup>n</sup>)) and a positive constant ζ such that

$$\langle (A + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}) v, v \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})} \geq \zeta \|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \quad \forall v \in L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$

• Hypotheses 2: There exist two constants  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\langle A^* v, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \kappa \left\| \int_{\Omega} B^* v d\mu \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \ge \lambda \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This hypotheses are motivated by the notions of exponentially stabilizable and detectable.

Averaged turnpike property

Finite dimensional average turnpike

# **Evolutive estimations**

Assuming the previous hypotheses, there exist a constant K > 0 such that

Evolutive primal inequality

$$\begin{split} \left\| x^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \left\| x^{\mathcal{T}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} dt \\ & \leq K \bigg( \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \|u\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} + \left\| C(\omega) x^{\mathcal{T}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} dt + \|x_{0}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Evolutive adjoint inequality

$$\begin{split} \left\|\varphi^{T}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \left\|\varphi^{T}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} dt \\ & \leq K \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left\|u\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} + \left\|C(\omega)x^{T} - z\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} dt\right). \end{split}$$

# Stacionary estimations

Stationary primal inequality

$$\|x\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq K \bigg( \|Ax\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|Cx\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \bigg) \quad \forall x \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Stationary adjoint inequality

$$\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \mathcal{K}\bigg( \|A^*p\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \left\|\int_\Omega B^*pd\mu\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \bigg) \quad \forall p \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

# Stationary problem

#### As a consequence of the energy estimations shown above, we have

#### Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of the stationary problem)

Under the **Hypothesis 1** the functional  $J^s$  has a unique minimum  $\overline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Moreover, there exist a unique optimal trajectory  $\overline{x} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  associated to  $\overline{u}$ .

# Stationary problem

### Theorem (Adjoint stationay system)

There exist  $\overline{\varphi} \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  satisfy

$$A^*(\omega)\overline{\varphi} = C^*(\omega)(C(\omega)\overline{x} - z).$$

Moreover,  $\overline{u}$  the unique solution of the stationary problem is characterized by

$$\langle \overline{u}, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \langle \overline{\varphi}, Bv \rangle_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \quad \forall v \in D.$$

where

$$D = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^m : B(\omega)v \in Ran(A(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega \}$$

In the following, we present our first main result

### Theorem (Integral turnpike property)

Under the **Hypotheses 1** and **2** the optimal pair  $(x^T, u^T)$  and  $(\overline{x}, \overline{u})$  satisfy

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x^T(t, \cdot) dt \longrightarrow \overline{x}(\cdot) \quad en \ L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u^T(t) dt \longrightarrow \overline{u} \quad en \ \mathbb{R}^m.$$

when  $T \to \infty$ .

## Theorem (Exponential turnpike property)[M.H, S.Zamorano, R.Lecaros]

Let us assume that **Hypothesis 1** and **2** hold. Let  $(u^T, x^T, \varphi^T)$  be the solution of the evolutive system and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{x}, \overline{\varphi})$  the corresponding stationary solution of the stationary system. Then, there exists two positive constants K and  $\delta$  (independent of T) such that

$$\left\| x^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| \varphi^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\varphi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for every  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, we obtain an averaged exponential turnpike as follows

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}^{T}) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathbf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} + \left\|\mathbb{E}(\varphi^{T}) - \mathbb{E}(\overline{\varphi})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} + \left\|u^{T}(t) - \overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

### Theorem (Exponential turnpike property)[M.H, S.Zamorano, R.Lecaros]

Let us assume that **Hypothesis 1** and **2** hold. Let  $(u^T, x^T, \varphi^T)$  be the solution of the evolutive system and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{x}, \overline{\varphi})$  the corresponding stationary solution of the stationary system. Then, there exists two positive constants K and  $\delta$  (independent of T) such that

$$\left\| x^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| \varphi^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\varphi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for every  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, we obtain an averaged exponential turnpike as follows

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(x^{\mathsf{T}})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{x})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|\mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\mathsf{T}})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{\varphi})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\leq K(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

### Theorem (Exponential turnpike property)[M.H, S.Zamorano, R.Lecaros]

Let us assume that **Hypothesis 1** and **2** hold. Let  $(u^T, x^T, \varphi^T)$  be the solution of the evolutive system and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{x}, \overline{\varphi})$  the corresponding stationary solution of the stationary system. Then, there exists two positive constants K and  $\delta$  (independent of T) such that

$$\left\| x^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| \varphi^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\varphi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for every  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, we obtain an averaged exponential turnpike as follows

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(\mathsf{x}^{\mathsf{T}})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathsf{x}})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|\mathbb{E}(\varphi^{\mathsf{T}})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{\varphi})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\left\|u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\leq K(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

### Theorem (Exponential turnpike property)[M.H, S.Zamorano, R.Lecaros]

Let us assume that **Hypothesis 1** and **2** hold. Let  $(u^T, x^T, \varphi^T)$  be the solution of the evolutive system and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{x}, \overline{\varphi})$  the corresponding stationary solution of the stationary system. Then, there exists two positive constants K and  $\delta$  (independent of T) such that

$$\left\| x^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| \varphi^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{\varphi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} + \left\| u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) - \overline{u} \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(\mathsf{T}-t)} + e^{-\delta t}),$$

for every  $t \in (0, T)$ . In particular, we obtain an averaged exponential turnpike as follows

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(x^{T})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{x})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}+\left\|\mathbb{E}(\varphi^{T})-\mathbb{E}(\overline{\varphi})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}+\left\|u^{T}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(T-t)}+e^{-\delta t}),$$

### L. Grüne and M. Schaller and A. Schiela (2019).

Sensitivity Analysis of Optimal Control for a Class of Parabolic PDEs Motivated by Model Predictive Control.

SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization.

• Step 1: Let  $m = x^T - \overline{x}$  and  $n = \varphi^T - \overline{\varphi}$ . We write the system that satisfy m, n in a matrix structure

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -C^*C & -\frac{d}{dt} + A^* \\ 0 & E_T \\ \frac{d}{dt} + A & B\left(\int_{\Omega} B^* \cdot d\mu\right) \\ E_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Lambda} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \\ \end{pmatrix}}_{Z} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n_T \\ 0 \\ m_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where  $E_0 m := m(0)$  y  $E_T n := n(T)$ .

#### L. Grüne and M. Schaller and A. Schiela (2019).

Sensitivity Analysis of Optimal Control for a Class of Parabolic PDEs Motivated by Model Predictive Control.

SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization.

• Step 1: Let  $m = x^T - \overline{x}$  and  $n = \varphi^T - \overline{\varphi}$ . We write the system that satisfy m, n in a matrix structure

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -C^*C & -\frac{d}{dt} + A^* \\ 0 & E_T \\ \frac{d}{dt} + A & B\left(\int_{\Omega} B^* \cdot d\mu\right) \\ E_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Lambda} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n_T \\ 0 \\ m_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where  $E_0 m := m(0)$  y  $E_T n := n(T)$ .

### L. Grüne and M. Schaller and A. Schiela (2019).

Sensitivity Analysis of Optimal Control for a Class of Parabolic PDEs Motivated by Model Predictive Control.

SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization.

• Step 1: Let  $m = x^T - \overline{x}$  and  $n = \varphi^T - \overline{\varphi}$ . We write the system that satisfy m, n in a matrix structure

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -C^*C & -\frac{d}{dt} + A^* \\ 0 & E_T \\ \frac{d}{dt} + A & B\left(\int_{\Omega} B^* \cdot d\mu\right) \\ E_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Lambda} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \\ \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n_T \\ 0 \\ m_0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{Y}},$$

where  $E_0 m := m(0)$  y  $E_T n := n(T)$ .

### L. Grüne and M. Schaller and A. Schiela (2019).

Sensitivity Analysis of Optimal Control for a Class of Parabolic PDEs Motivated by Model Predictive Control.

SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization.

• Step 1: Let  $m = x^T - \overline{x}$  and  $n = \varphi^T - \overline{\varphi}$ . We write the system that satisfy m, n in a matrix structure

$$\Lambda \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Y}.$$

We prove that  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is well defined and that there exists K > 0 independent of the time horizon such that

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\left(L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{n})\right)^{2},\left(\mathcal{X}\right)^{2}\right)} < K,$$

where  $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)).$ 

• Step 2: We consider a new variable change

$$\hat{m} = rac{m}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}}, \quad \hat{n} = rac{n}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}},$$

and we prove that there exist K > 0 independent of the time horizon such that

 $\|\hat{m}\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|\hat{n}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \le K,$ 

 $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)).$ 

• Step 2: We consider a new variable change

$$\hat{m} = rac{m}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}}, \quad \hat{n} = rac{n}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}},$$

and we prove that there exist K > 0 independent of the time horizon such that

$$\|\hat{m}\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\|\hat{n}\|_{\mathcal{X}}\leq K,$$

 $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)).$ 

• Step 2: We consider a new variable change

$$\hat{m} = rac{m}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}}, \quad \hat{n} = rac{n}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}},$$

and we prove that there exist K > 0 independent of the time horizon such that

$$\|\hat{m}\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|\hat{n}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq K,$$

 $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)).$ 

• Step 2: We consider a new variable change

$$\hat{m} = rac{m}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}}, \quad \hat{n} = rac{n}{e^{-\delta(T-t)} + e^{-\delta t}}$$

and we prove that there exist K > 0 independent of the time horizon such that

$$\|\hat{m}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\hat{n}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq \|\hat{m}\|_\mathcal{X}+\|\hat{n}\|_\mathcal{X}\leq K_1$$

 $\mathcal{X} = C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n))$ . We conclude the proof by returning to the original variables.

### Consider the minimization problem

$$\min_{u\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R})}\left\{J^{\mathcal{T}}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^{\mathcal{T}}\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}}^2\,dt+\int_0^{\mathcal{T}}\int_{\Omega}\|C(\omega)x(t,\omega)-z\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2\,d\mu dt\right)\right\},$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) + A(\omega)x(t,\omega) = B(\omega)u(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

Here we take

$$A(\omega) = \alpha(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -5\\ 5 & 0,5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(\omega) = \beta(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 5\\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C(\omega) = \lambda(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\alpha, \beta, \lambda \sim Bin(7, 1/2), \quad T = 300.$$

Consider the minimization problem

$$\min_{u\in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{R})}\bigg\{J^T(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}}^2\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\Omega}\|C(\omega)\times(t,\omega)-z\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^2\,d\mu dt\right)\bigg\},$$

subject to

$$\begin{cases} x_t(t,\omega) + A(\omega)x(t,\omega) = B(\omega)u(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

Here we take

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\omega) &= \alpha(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ 5 & 0, 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}(\omega) &= \beta(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\omega) &= \lambda(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha, \beta, \lambda \sim Bin(7, 1/2), \quad T = 300. \end{aligned}$$

Using Gekko library in Python, we obtain the following simulations



(a) In color, the norm in space of the different (b) 1) Average of the evolutive and stationary realizations of the random variables. states. 2) Optimal controls

$$\left\|\mathbb{E}(x^{\mathcal{T}}(t))-\mathbb{E}(\overline{x})\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq \left\|x^{\mathcal{T}}(t)-\overline{x}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|u^{\mathcal{T}}(t)-\overline{u}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \mathcal{K}(e^{-\delta(\mathcal{T}-t)}+e^{-\delta t}).$$



Figura: Averaged turnpike property, with constants K = 3,5 and  $\delta = 0,25$ .

## Article

#### M. Hernández and R. Lecaros and S. Zamorano (2022).

Averaged turnpike property for differential equations with random constant coefficients. Mathematical Control and Related Fields.

## Index

## Introduction

- Turnpike Property
- Motivation

### 2 Averaged turnpike property

- Random differential equations
- Finite dimensional average turnpike
- Open problems

# Open problems

1. Turnpike and average control: We say that the system

$$\begin{cases} x_t + A(\omega)x = B(\omega)u & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

is average controllable, if for every  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  exist u such that  $\mathbb{E}(x(T)) = x_1$ . Under average controllability assumptions, is possible to prove the average turnpike?

2. It is possible to prove this property when  $A(\omega)$  is an unbounded operator, and  $B(\omega)$  a bounded for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ?

### Open problems

1. Turnpike and average control: We say that the system

$$\begin{cases} x_t + A(\omega)x = B(\omega)u & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

- is average controllable, if for every  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  exist u such that  $\mathbb{E}(x(T)) = x_1$ . Under average controllability assumptions, is possible to prove the average turnpike?
- 2. It is possible to prove this property when  $A(\omega)$  is an unbounded operator, and  $B(\omega)$  a bounded for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ?

1. Turnpike and average control: We say that the system

$$\begin{cases} x_t + A(\omega)x = B(\omega)u & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

is average controllable, if for every  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  exist u such that  $\mathbb{E}(x(T)) = x_1$ . Under average controllability assumptions, is possible to prove the average turnpike?

2. It is possible to prove this property when  $A(\omega)$  is an unbounded operator, and  $B(\omega)$  a bounded for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ?

1. Turnpike and average control: We say that the system

$$\begin{cases} x_t + A(\omega)x = B(\omega)u & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

is average controllable, if for every  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  exist u such that  $\mathbb{E}(x(T)) = x_1$ . Under average controllability assumptions, is possible to prove the average turnpike?

2. It is possible to prove this property when  $A(\omega)$  is an unbounded operator, and  $B(\omega)$  a bounded for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ?
## Thanks