

Positivity of infinite dimensional linear systems

YASSINE EL GANTOUH

Postdoctoral Researcher at the ERC Advanced Grant project DyCon at Universidad de Autónoma de Madrid

IX Partial differential equations, optimal design and numerics, Benasque
August 26, 2022



Outline

- 1 Motivation and Preliminary
- 2 Boundary control system
- 3 Observation systems
- 4 Conclusion

Motivation and Preliminary

Motivation

System of transport equations with memory on a network of scattered ramification nodes

Let us consider the following system of transport equations on a network:

$$(\Sigma_{\text{TN}}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_j(t, x, v) = v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z_j(t, x, v) + q_j(x, v) \cdot z_j(t, x, v), & t \geq 0, (x, v) \in \Omega_j, \\ z_j(0, x, v) = f_j(x, v) \geq 0, \quad z_j(\theta, x, v) = \varphi_j(\theta, x, v) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in [-r, 0] & (x, v) \in \Omega_j, \text{ (IC)} \\ t_{ij}^{\text{out}} z_j(t, 1, \cdot) = w_{ij} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} t_{ik}^{\text{in}} [\mathbb{J}_k(z_k)(t, 0, \cdot) + \mathbb{L}_k(z_k(t + \cdot, \cdot, \cdot))] + \sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}_G} b_{il} u_l(t, \cdot), & t \geq 0, \text{ (BC)} \end{cases}$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\} := \mathcal{N}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, M\} := \mathcal{M}$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, n\} := \mathcal{N}_G$ with $M \geq N \geq n$, where we set $\Omega_j := [0, l_j] \times [v_{\min}, v_{\max}]$, $l_j > 0$. Here, the vertex delay operators \mathbb{L}_j are given by

$$\mathbb{L}_j(\varphi_j)(x, v) = \int_0^{l_j} \int_{v_{\min}}^{v_{\max}} \int_{-r}^0 d[\eta_k](\theta) \varphi_k(\theta, x, v) dv d\theta, \quad (x, v) \in \Omega_j, \varphi_j \in W^{1,p}([-r, 0]; L^p(\Omega_j)).$$

where the first integral is in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes sense. Moreover, the scattering operators \mathbb{J}_j are given by

$$(\mathbb{J}f)_j(x, v) = \int_{v_{\min}}^{v_{\max}} \ell_j(x, v, v') f_j(x, v') dv', \quad (x, v) \in \Omega_j, f_j \in L^p(\Omega_j),$$

where $\ell_j \in L^\infty(\Omega_j \times [v_{\min}, v_{\max}])$ for every $j \in \mathcal{M}$.

Motivation

size-structured population model with delayed birth process

We consider the following size-structured population model with delayed birth process:

$$(\Sigma_{\text{SPM}}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial z(t,s)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q(s)z(t,s)}{\partial s} = -\mu(s)z(t,s), & t \geq 0, s \in (0, s^*) \\ z(0,s) = f(s) \geq 0, \quad z(\theta,s) = \varphi(\theta,s) \geq 0, & \theta \in [-r, 0], s \in (0, s^*) \\ g(0)z(t,0) = \int_0^{s^*} \int_{-r}^0 \beta(s)z(t+\theta,s) d\eta(\theta) ds + bu(t), & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $z(t,s)$ represents the population density of certain species of size $s \in (0, s^*)$ at time $t \geq 0$, where $s^* > 0$ is the maximum size of any individual in the population. The function $q(s)$ is the growth rate of size over time and the size dependent functions β and μ denote the fertility and mortality, respectively. By b , we denote the boundary control operator, u define the control functions and (f, φ) are the initial distributions of our target population.

Motivation

Can be transformed as the following perturbed boundary value control system

$$(AG\Gamma) \begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = A_m z(t), & t > 0, \\ z(0) = x \geq 0, \\ Gz(t) = \Gamma z(t) + Ku(t), & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

where the state variable $z(\cdot)$ takes values in a Banach lattice X and the control function $u(\cdot)$ is given in the Banach space $L^p([0, +\infty); U)$. Here,

- The maximal (differential) operator $A_m : D(A_m) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is closed and densely defined;
- K is a bounded linear operator from U into ∂X (both are Banach lattices),
- $G, \Gamma : D(A_m) \rightarrow \partial X$ are linear continuous trace operators.

Motivation

Can be transformed as the following perturbed boundary value control system

$$(AG\Gamma) \begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = A_m z(t), & t > 0, \\ z(0) = x \geq 0, \\ Gz(t) = \Gamma z(t) + Ku(t), & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

where the state variable $z(\cdot)$ takes values in a Banach lattice X and the control function $u(\cdot)$ is given in the Banach space $L^p([0, +\infty); U)$. Here,

- The maximal (differential) operator $A_m : D(A_m) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is closed and densely defined;
- K is a bounded linear operator from U into ∂X (both are Banach lattices);
- $G, \Gamma : D(A_m) \rightarrow \partial X$ are linear continuous trace operators.

Goal

To $(AG\Gamma)$ we associate the following operator

$$\mathfrak{A} = A_m, \quad D(\mathfrak{A}) = \{x \in D(A_m) : Gx = \Gamma x\}.$$

- Positivity and Well-posedness of (Σ) .

Previous works

- J. L. Lions and E. Magens.
- W. Desch, I. Lasiecka, and W. Schappacher.
- D. Salamon.
- G. Weiss.
- R. Schnaubelt.
- O. J. Staffans.
- A. Chen and K. Morris.
- H. Zwart and B. Jacob.
- S.Hadd, R. Manzo, and A.Rhandi.

Preliminary

Let E be a real vector space and \leq be a partial order on this space. Then E is said to be an ordered vector space if it satisfies the following properties:

- If $f, g \in E$ and $f \leq g$, then $f + h \leq g + h$ for all $h \in E$.
- If $f, g \in E$ and $f \leq g$, then $\alpha f \leq \alpha g$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

If, in addition, E is lattice with respect to the partial ordered, that is, $\sup\{f, g\}$ and $\inf\{f, g\}$ exist for all $f, g \in E$, then E is said to be a *vector lattice*. For $f \in E$, the *positive part* of f is defined by $f_+ := \sup\{f, 0\}$, the *negative part* of f by $f_- := \sup\{-f, 0\}$ and the absolute value of f by $|f| := \sup\{f, -f\}$, where 0 is the zero element of E . The set of all positive elements of E , denoted by E_+ , is a convex cone with vertex 0 . In particular, it generates a canonical ordering \leq on E which is given by: $f \leq g$ if and only if $g - f \in E_+$. For each $f, g \in E$ with $f \leq g$, the set $[f, g] = \{h \in E : f \leq h \leq g\}$ is called an *order interval*. A norm complete vector lattice E such that its norm satisfies the following property

$$|f| \leq |g| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|f\| \leq \|g\|$$

for $f, g \in X$, is called *Banach lattice*. If E is a Banach lattice, its topological dual E' , endowed with the dual norm and the dual order, is also a Banach lattice.

Preliminary

A Banach lattice E is said to be *AL-space* whenever its norm is additive on the positive cone. A Banach lattice E is said to be a *KB-space* (Kantorovič-Banach space) whenever every increasing norm bounded sequence of E_+ is norm convergent. Important examples of *KB-spaces* are reflexive Banach lattices and *AL-spaces*. A norm of a Banach lattice is *order continuous* if for each generalized sequence (x_α) such that $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ in E , the sequence (x_α) converges to 0 for the norm $\|\cdot\|$, where the notation $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$ means that the sequence (x_α) is decreasing (in symbol \downarrow), its infimum exists and $\inf(x_\alpha) = 0$. Note that each *KB-space* has an order continuous norm, but a Banach lattice with an order continuous norm is not necessary a *KB-space*. A vector lattice E is called *order (or Dedekind) complete* if every nonempty bounded above subset has a supremum or equivalently if any nonnegative generalized sequence (x_α) has a supremum in E , that is, whenever $0 \leq x_\alpha \uparrow \leq x$ implies the existence of $\sup\{x_\alpha\}$. Here, the notation $x_\alpha \uparrow \leq x$ means that x_α is increasing (in symbol \uparrow) and $x_\alpha \leq x$ for all α . As an example, every Banach lattice with an order continuous norm is order complete.

Preliminary

Now, if we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operators from an order Banach space E to an order Banach space F , then an operator $P \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is positive if $PE_+ \subset F_+$.

An everywhere defined positive operator from a Banach lattice to a normed vector lattice is bounded. The set of all positive operators from a Banach lattice E to another Banach lattice F , denoted by $\mathcal{L}_+(E, F)$, is a convex cone in the space $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$. This cone, however, in general does not generate $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$. This fact motivates the following definition.

Definition

Let E, F be ordered Banach spaces. An operator $P \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is said to be regular, if there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ positive such that $P = P_1 - P_2$.

The vector space of all regular operators $P \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^r(E, F)$. Moreover, if E, F are Banach lattices such that F is *order complete*, then $\mathcal{L}^r(E, F)$ under the norm

$$\|P\|_r := \| |P| \|_{\mathcal{L}(E, F)}, \quad (\text{called the } r\text{-norm})$$

is an ordered complete Banach lattice, where $|P| \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ denotes the so-called modulus operator defined by $|P| := \sup\{P, -P\}$

- C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 119. Academic Press. Inc., Orlando FL. (1985).
- H.H. Schaefer, Banach lattices and positive operators. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg (1974).

Preliminary

Now, let X be a real Banach lattice and $(A, D(A))$ the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $\mathbb{T} := (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X . The type of \mathbb{T} is defined as $\omega_0 := \inf\{t^{-1} \log \|T(t)\| : t > 0\}$. We denote by $\rho(A)$ the resolvent set of A , i.e., the set of all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu - A$ is invertible, and by $R(\mu, A) := (\mu - A)^{-1}$ the resolvent operator of A . The complement of $\rho(A)$, is called the spectrum and is denoted by $\sigma(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)$. The so-called spectral radius of the operator A is defined by $r(A) := \sup\{|\mu| : \mu \in \sigma(A)\}$. The spectral bound $s(A)$ of A is defined by $s(A) := \sup\{\Re \mu : \mu \in \sigma(A)\}$.

Definition

A linear operator $(A, D(A))$ on a Banach lattice X is called resolvent positive if there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\omega, \infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $R(\mu, A) \geq 0$ for each $\mu > \omega$.

Moreover, a C_0 -semigroups on a Banach lattice is positive if and only if the corresponding generator $(A, D(A))$ is resolvent positive. On the other hand, the extrapolation space associated with X and A , denoted by X_{-1} , is the completion of X with respect to the norm $\|x\|_{-1} := \|R(\mu, A)x\|$ for $x \in X$ and some $\mu \in \rho(A)$. Note that the choice of μ is not important, since by the resolvent equation different choices lead to equivalent norms on X_{-1} .

Semigroup Forum, (Bátkai et. all, 2018)

$$X_+ = X_{+,-1} \cap X.$$

The unique extension of \mathbb{T} on X_{-1} is a C_0 -semigroup which we denote by $\mathbb{T}_{-1} := (T_{-1}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and whose generator is denoted by A_{-1} . Note that \mathbb{T}_{-1} is positive whenever \mathbb{T} is.

Boundary control systems

Boundary control system

Consider the following boundary control system

$$(CS) \begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = A_m z(t), & z(0) = x, & t > 0, \\ Gz(t) = v(t), & & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

for $x \in X_+$ and $v \in L^p_+(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, where we recall that $X, \partial X$ are Banach lattices.

Main Assumptions:

- H1. $A := (A_m)|_{D(A)}$ with $D(A) = \ker G$, generates a strongly continuous positive semigroup $\mathbb{T} := (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X ;
- H2. $\text{Range}(G) = \partial X$ and Γ is positive.

For any $\mu \in \rho(A)$, we have

$$D(A_m) = D(A) \oplus \ker(\mu - A_m)$$

and the following inverse

$$D_\mu := \left(G|_{\ker(\mu - A_m)} \right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X)$$

exists. Now we define the control operator

$$B := (\mu - A_{-1})D_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1}), \quad \mu \in \rho(A).$$

- G. Greiner, Perturbing the boundary conditions of a generator, Houston J. Math., 13 (1987), 213-229.

Boundary control system

The system (CS) is reformulated as the following distributed one

$$\dot{z}(t) = A_{-1}z(t) + Bv(t), \quad z(0) = x, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Boundary control system

The system (CS) is reformulated as the following distributed one

$$\dot{z}(t) = A_{-1}z(t) + Bv(t), \quad z(0) = x, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- An integral solution of the above equation is given by: $z(t) = T(t)x + \Phi_t v \in X_{-1}$, for any $t \geq 0$, $x \in X$ and $v \in L^p([0, +\infty), \partial X)$, where

$$\Phi_t v := \int_0^t T_{-1}(t-s)Bv(s)ds \quad (*).$$

- An operator $B \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1})$ is called L^p -admissible control operator for A if, for some $\tau > 0$, the operator $\text{Range } \Phi_\tau \subset X$. In particular, $z(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $v \in L^p([0, +\infty), \partial X)$.
- Let $\mathfrak{B}_p(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ denote the vector space of all L^p -admissible control operators B , which is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|B\|_{\mathfrak{B}_p} := \sup_{\|v\|_{L^p([0, \tau]; \partial X)} \leq 1} \left\| \int_0^\tau T_{-1}(\tau-s)Bv(s)ds \right\|,$$

where $\tau > 0$ is fixed.

Boundary control system

We have the following characterization of the well-posedness of equation (CS).

Proposition (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, let $(A, D(A))$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup \mathbb{T} on X and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1})$. Then for every $x \in X$ and $v \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, the system (CS) has a unique solution $z(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ if one of the following equivalent assertions holds.

- (i) for any $x \in X_+$ and $v \in L_+^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, the solution $z(\cdot)$ of (CS) remains in X_+ .
- (ii) \mathbb{T} is a positive and for some $\tau > 0$, $\Phi_\tau v \in X_+$ for all $v \in L_+^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$.

Boundary control system

We have the following characterization of the well-posedness of equation (CS).

Proposition (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, let $(A, D(A))$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup \mathbb{T} on X and $B \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1})$. Then for every $x \in X$ and $v \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, the system (CS) has a unique solution $z(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ if one of the following equivalent assertions holds.

- (i) for any $x \in X_+$ and $v \in L^p_+(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, the solution $z(\cdot)$ of (CS) remains in X_+ .
- (ii) \mathbb{T} is a positive and for some $\tau > 0$, $\Phi_\tau v \in X_+$ for all $v \in L^p_+(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$.

For $\alpha > s(A)$, let $v \in L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$, the space of all functions of the form $v(t) = e^{\alpha t} u(t)$, where $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$. Then, u and z from (CS) have Laplace transforms related by

$$\hat{z}(\mu) = R(\mu, A)x + \widehat{(\Phi \cdot u)}(\mu), \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{(\Phi \cdot u)}(\mu) = R(\mu, A_{-1})B\hat{v}(\mu),$$

for all $\Re \mu > \alpha$, where \hat{v} denote the Laplace transform of v .

Lemma

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices and let \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X . Then, we have B is positive iff $D_\mu := R(\mu, A_{-1})B$ is positive for all $\mu > s(A)$, iff Φ_t is positive for all $t \geq 0$.

Boundary control system

Observation

- One could relax the definition of L^p -admissible positive control operators to $\Phi_\tau L^p_+(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X) \subset X_+$, for some $\tau \geq 0$.
- It is not difficult to see that $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ is the positive convex cone in $\mathfrak{B}_\rho(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$.
- Therefore it generates the order: for $B, B' \in \mathfrak{B}_\rho(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$, we have $B' \leq B$ whenever $B - B' \in \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$.

Lemma (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices and \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X , and let Φ_τ be given by (*). If $B \in \mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X_{-1})$ is L^p -admissible, then

$$\Phi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X) \cap \mathcal{L}^r(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X_{-1})$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$. Moreover, if there exist $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ such that $B = B_1 - B_2$, then $\Phi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X)$ for all $\tau \geq 0$.

Boundary control system

Result 1 (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices and \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X . Define the vector space

$$\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) := \{B \in \mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X_{-1}) : \Phi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X), \forall \tau \geq 0\},$$

the space of all L^p -admissible regular control operators. Then, the following vector space equality holds:

$$\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) = \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) - \mathfrak{B}_{\rho,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover if X , in addition, is order complete, then $\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ is an order complete Banach lattice under the norm

$$\|B\|_{\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r} = \sup \left\{ \|\Phi_\tau |v|\| : v \geq 0, \|v\|_{L^p([0,\tau]; \partial X)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

where $\tau > 0$ is fixed.

Boundary control system

Result 1

Remark

It is to be that the extrapolation space X_{-1} is not, in general, a Banach lattice (more precisely, a vector lattice). A striking consequence of the above observation is that $\mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X_{-1})$ cannot be expected to be a Banach lattice. On the other hand, we have the following vector subspace inclusions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X) & \subseteq & \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X) \\
 \cap & & \cap \\
 \mathfrak{B}_p^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) & \subset & \mathfrak{B}_p(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) \\
 \cap & & \cap \\
 \mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X_{-1}) & \subseteq & \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1}).
 \end{array}$$

- A. Bátkai, B. Jacob, J. Voigt, and J. Wintermayr, Perturbation of positive semigroups on *AM*-spaces. *Semigroup Forum*. **96** (2018) 33-347.

Boundary control system

Sketch of proof

Step 1: We show the existence of $|B|$ in the canonical order of $\mathfrak{B}_p^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$.

Abstract control system

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices. An abstract control system on $X, \partial X$ is a pair (\mathbb{T}, Φ) such that

$$\Phi_{\tau+t}v = T(\tau)\Phi_t v|_{[0,t]} + \Phi_\tau v(\cdot + t), \quad (1)$$

for $\tau, t \geq 0$ and $v \in L_+^p([0, \tau + t], \partial X)$, where $\Phi := (\Phi_\tau)_{\tau \geq 0}$ is a family of bounded operators from $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$ to X .

Lemma (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices such that X is order complete and let \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X . Assume that (\mathbb{T}, Φ) is an abstract control system on $X, \partial X$ such that $\Phi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X)$ for all $\tau \geq 0$. Then, for each $\tau \geq 0$, there exist unique $\Phi_\tau^+, \Phi_\tau^- \in \mathcal{L}_+(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X)$ splitting Φ_τ as $\Phi_\tau = \Phi_\tau^+ - \Phi_\tau^-$ and satisfying the composition property (1).

Boundary control system

Sketch of proof

Let $B \in \mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ and let $\Phi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X)$ be the corresponding input map. Thus, for each $\tau \geq 0$, the modulus of Φ_τ exists (in the canonical ordering of $\mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X), X)$) and is given by

$$|\Phi_\tau| = \Phi_\tau^+ + \Phi_\tau^-, \quad \Phi_\tau = \Phi_\tau^+ - \Phi_\tau^-.$$

Step 2: We establish that $\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ is order complete. Indeed, let (B_α) be a set of nonnegative elements such that $0 \leq B_\alpha \uparrow \leq B$ holds in $\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$. Then, for each α , the operators B_α satisfy

$$\Phi_\tau^\alpha v = \int_0^\tau T_{-1}(\tau - s) B_\alpha v(s) ds,$$

for all $v \in L_+^p(\mathbb{R}_+, \partial X)$ and for some fixed $\tau \geq 0$.

Step 3: $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r}$ -completeness of $\mathfrak{B}_\rho^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$

Boundary control system

Result 2 (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices such that X is a *KB-space* and let \mathbb{T} be positive. Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_1^r(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{L}^r(\partial X, X). \quad (2)$$

Sketch of proof

Let $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{1,+}(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T})$ and $\tau > 0$. Let $v \in \partial X$ and consider the sequence of functions

$$v_n(s) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq \tau - \frac{1}{n}, \\ n|v|, & \text{if } \tau - \frac{1}{n} < s \leq \tau. \end{cases}$$

Consequence

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices with X is an *AL-space* and let \mathbb{T} be positive. Then the vector space equality (2) holds. Moreover, if there exists a positive contractive projection $\Pi : \partial X'' \rightarrow \partial X$, ($(\partial X)''$ is the bidual of ∂X), then

$$\mathfrak{B}_1(\partial X, X, \mathbb{T}) = \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X).$$

Boundary control system

Result 3 (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, let A be a densely defined resolvent positive operator such that

$$\|R(\mu_0, A)x\| \geq c\|x\|, \quad x \in X_+, \quad (**)$$

for some $\mu_0 > s(A)$ and constant $c > 0$. Let $B \in \mathcal{L}(\partial X, X_{-1})$ be a positive control operator. Then B is a positive zero-class L^P -admissible control operators.

Consequence

In particular, if $B \in \mathcal{L}^f(\partial X, X_{-1})$, then B is a zero-class L^P -admissible control operator.

- C. J. K. Batty and D. W. Robinson, Positive one-parameter semigroups on ordered Banach space. Acta Appl. Math. **2** (1984) 221-296.
- W. Arendt, Resolvent positive operators. Proc. London Math. Soc. **54** (1987) 321-349.

Boundary control system

Perturbation result

Desch-Schappacher perturbation, (Yassine, 2022)

Let $(A, D(A))$ be a densely defined resolvent positive operator such that the inverse estimate (***) holds, and let $B \in \mathcal{L}(X, X_{-1})$ be a positive operator. Then $(A_{-1} + B)|_X$ generates a positive C_0 -semigroup on X and

$$s((A_{-1} + B)|_X) = w_0((A_{-1} + B)|_X)$$

Observation systems

Observation systems

Consider the observed linear system

$$(OS) \begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = Az(t), & z(0) = x, & t > 0, \\ y(t) = Mz(t), & & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

We say that the system (OS) is **well-posed** if the output function $y(\cdot)$ can be **extended** to a function $y \in L^p_{loc}([0, +\infty), \partial X)$ such that

$$\|y(\cdot; x)\|_{L^p([0, \alpha], \partial X)} \leq \gamma \|x\|_X, \quad (x \in X),$$

for any $\alpha > 0$ and some constant $\gamma := \gamma(\alpha) > 0$.

Observation systems

In order to overcome this obstacle we need the following class of operators $C := M|_{D(A)}$.

Definition

An operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ is called an L^p -admissible observation operator for A if for some (hence for all) $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant $\gamma := \gamma(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\alpha \|CT(t)x\|^p dt \leq \gamma^p \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in D(A). \quad (3)$$

If in addition $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\alpha) = 0$, then C is called a zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A .

Observation systems

In order to overcome this obstacle we need the following class of operators $C := M|_{D(A)}$.

Definition

An operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ is called an L^p -admissible observation operator for A if for some (hence for all) $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant $\gamma := \gamma(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\alpha \|CT(t)x\|^p dt \leq \gamma^p \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in D(A). \quad (4)$$

If in addition $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\alpha) = 0$, then C is called a zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A .

In the following lemma we show that the admissibility of a positive observation operator is fully characterized on the positive part of $D(A)$.

Lemma

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, and let \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X and $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ be a positive operator. If for some $\alpha > 0$ the estimate (3) hold for any $0 \leq x \in D(A)$, then C is a positive L^p -admissible observation operator for A .

Observation systems

In view of the above lemma, the following result can be obtained by a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 in J. Voigt (1989).

Lemma

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices such that ∂X is a real AL -space. Assume that \mathbb{T} is a positive C_0 -semigroup on X and $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ is positive. Then, C is an L^1 -admissible observation operator for A .

- J. Voigt, On resolvent positive operators and positive C_0 -semigroups on AL -spaces. Semigroup Forum. **38** (1989) 263-266.

Observation systems

In view of the above lemma, the following result can be obtained by a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 in J. Voigt (1989).

Lemma

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices such that ∂X is a real AL -space. Assume that \mathbb{T} is a positive C_0 -semigroup on X and $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ is positive. Then, C is an L^1 -admissible observation operator for A .

- J. Voigt, On resolvent positive operators and positive C_0 -semigroups on AL -spaces. Semigroup Forum. **38** (1989) 263-266.

Positive observation system

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices. An abstract positive observation system on $X, \partial X$ is a pair (\mathbb{T}, Ψ) such that

$$(***) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_\tau(x+y) = \Psi_\tau x + \Psi_\tau y, \\ \Psi_{\tau+t}x = \Psi_t x, & \text{on } [0, t], \\ \Psi_{\tau+t}x = [\Psi_\tau \mathcal{T}(t)x](\cdot - t), & \text{on } [t, \tau+t], \end{cases}$$

for $\tau, t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in X_+$, where $\Psi := (\Psi_\tau)_{\tau \geq 0}$ is a family of bounded operators from X_+ to $L^p_+(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X)$.

Observation systems

Observation

It should be noted that for each operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ we associate an operator C_Λ , called the *Yosida extension* of C with respect to A , whose domain, denoted by $D(C_\Lambda)$, consists of all $x \in X$ for which $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} C\mu R(\mu, A)x$ exists. If C is positive and A is a resolvent positive, then by the closedness of the positive cone Y_+ we have C_Λ is also positive. Moreover, for an L^p -admissible positive observation operator C , we have $0 \leq T(t)x \in D(C_\Lambda)$ and

$$\Psi x = C_\Lambda T(\cdot)x \geq 0,$$

for a.e $t \geq 0$ and all $x \in X_+$.

Observation systems

Definition

We denote by $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ the vector space of all L^p -admissible observation operators for A . Let $\tau > 0$, then $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ endowed with the operator norm

$$\|C\|_{\mathfrak{C}_p} := \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|\Psi_\tau x\|_{L^p([0; +\infty), \partial X)}.$$

for any $x \in D(A)$, is a Banach space. Moreover, we shall denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ the set of all positive L^p -admissible observation operators, which is a positive cone in $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. On the other hand, let

$$\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) := \{C \in \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X) : \Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(X, L^p([0, \infty); \partial X)), \forall \tau \geq 0\},$$

be the space of all regular L^p -admissible observation operators.

Observation systems

Definition

We denote by $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ the vector space of all L^p -admissible observation operators for A . Let $\tau > 0$, then $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ endowed with the operator norm

$$\|C\|_{\mathfrak{C}_p} := \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|\Psi_\tau x\|_{L^p([0; +\infty), \partial X)}.$$

for any $x \in D(A)$, is a Banach space. Moreover, we shall denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ the set of all positive L^p -admissible observation operators, which is a positive cone in $\mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. On the other hand, let

$$\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) := \{C \in \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X) : \Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(X, L^p([0, \infty); \partial X)), \forall \tau \geq 0\},$$

be the space of all regular L^p -admissible observation operators.

Result 1, (Yassine, 2022)

Let X and Y be Banach lattices such that ∂X is order complete. Then the space $\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ endowed with norm

$$\|C\|_{\mathfrak{C}_p^r} := \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \leq 1 \\ x \geq 0}} \|\Psi_\tau x\|_{L^p([0; \infty), \partial X)}, \quad \tau \geq 0,$$

is an order complete Banach lattice.

Observation systems

Sketch of the proof

Step 1: We show the existence of $|C|$ in the canonical order of $\mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. Indeed, let $\tau \geq 0$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, Y)$. Then, there is $\Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(X, L^p([0, \infty); \partial X))$ such that

$$(\Psi_\tau x)(t) = \begin{cases} CT(t)x, & t \in [0, \tau), \\ 0, & t \in [\tau, \infty), \end{cases}$$

for any $x \in D(A)$.

Lemma

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices such that ∂X is order complete and let \mathbb{T} be a positive C_0 -semigroup on X . Assume that (Ψ, \mathbb{T}) is an abstract observation system on $X, \partial X$ with $\Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(X, L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X))$ for all $\tau \geq 0$. Then, for each $\tau \geq 0$, there exist unique $\Psi_\tau^+, \Psi_\tau^- \in \mathcal{L}_+(X, L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X))$ splitting Ψ_τ as $\Psi_\tau = \Psi_\tau^+ - \Psi_\tau^-$ and satisfying the properties (***)

Observation systems

Sketch of proof

Let $C \in \mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ and let $\Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{L}^r(X, L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X))$ be the corresponding output map. Thus, for each $\tau \geq 0$, the modulus of Ψ_τ exists (in the canonical ordering of $\mathcal{L}(X, L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \partial X))$) and is given by

$$|\Psi_\tau| = \Psi_\tau^+ + \Psi_\tau^-, \quad \Psi_\tau = \Psi_\tau^+ - \Psi_\tau^-.$$

Step 2: We establish that $\mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ is order complete. Indeed, let (C_α) be a set of nonnegative elements such that $0 \leq C_\alpha \uparrow \leq C$ holds in $\mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. Then, for each α , the operators C_α satisfies

$$\Psi_\tau^\alpha x = C_\alpha T(t)x$$

for all $0 \leq x \in D(A)$ and for some fixed $\tau \geq 0$.

Step 3: $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_\rho^r}$ -completeness of $\mathcal{C}_\rho^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$.

Observation systems

Observation

It is to be noted that if ∂X is a real AL -space we have $\mathfrak{C}_1^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) = \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X)$. However, in general, we only have the following vector subspace inclusion: $\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) \subset \mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. Moreover, the result of the above proposition assert that for $C \in \mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$, then there exist unique $C_+, C_- \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$, both are positive L^p -admissible observation operators such that $C = C_+ - C_-$. Roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) = \mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) - \mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$.

Observation systems

Observation

It is to be noted that if ∂X is a real AL -space we have $\mathfrak{C}_1^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) = \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X)$. However, in general, we only have the following vector subspace inclusion: $\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) \subset \mathfrak{C}_p(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$. Moreover, the result of the above proposition assert that for $C \in \mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$, then there exist unique $C_+, C_- \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$, both are positive L^p -admissible observation operators such that $C = C_+ - C_-$. Roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) = \mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X) - \mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$.

Properties

Let C_Λ be the Yosida extension of C with respect to A . If $C \in \mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ then the following properties hold:

- (i) $C_\Lambda \in \mathfrak{C}_p^r(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ and there exist $|C_\Lambda|, (C_\Lambda)_+, (C_\Lambda)_- \in \mathfrak{C}_{p,+}(X, \mathbb{T}, \partial X)$ such as

$$|C_\Lambda| = (C_\Lambda)_+ + (C_\Lambda)_-, \quad C_\Lambda = (C_\Lambda)_+ - (C_\Lambda)_-, \quad \text{on} \quad D((C_\Lambda)_+) \cap D((C_\Lambda)_-).$$

- (ii) $(C_\Lambda)_+ = (C_+)_\Lambda, (C_\Lambda)_- = (C_-)_\Lambda$ and $|C_\Lambda| = |C|_\Lambda$.

Proof.

The proof follows from the fact that sup is an uniformly continuous map from $X \times X$ into ∂X . □

Observation systems

The following result provide a sufficient condition on admissibility of observation operators for positive semi-groups.

Result 2, (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, and let $(A, D(A))$ be a densely defined resolvent positive operator such that

$$\|R(\mu_0, A)x\| \geq c\|x\|, \quad x \in X_+,$$

for some $\mu_0 > s(A)$ and $c > 0$. Let $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ be a positive operator. Then C is a positive zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A . Moreover, if $C \in \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X)$ then C is a zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A .

Observation systems

The following result provide a sufficient condition on admissibility of observation operators for positive semigroups.

Result 2, (Yassine, 2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices, and let $(A, D(A))$ be a densely defined resolvent positive operator such that

$$\|R(\mu_0, A)x\| \geq c\|x\|, \quad x \in X_+,$$

for some $\mu_0 > s(A)$ and $c > 0$. Let $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), \partial X)$ be a positive operator. Then C is a positive zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A . Moreover, if $C \in \mathcal{L}^r(D(A), \partial X)$ then C is a zero-class L^p -admissible observation operator for A .

The last result at hand, one can deduce the following perturbation result of positive semigroups.

Result 3, (Yassine, 2022)

Let A be a densely defined resolvent positive operator on a Banach lattice X . Let $P : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a positive operator, and assume that

$$\|R(\mu_0, A)x\| \geq c\|x\|, \quad x \in X_+,$$

for some $\mu_0 > s(A)$ and $c > 0$. Then $A + P$ generates a positive C_0 -semigroup on X and $s(A + P) = w_0(A + P)$.

Yassine, (2022)

Let $X, \partial X$ be Banach lattices and let assume that the following assumptions hold:

- (H1) $A = (A_m)|_{\ker G}$ is a resolvent positive operator such that

$$\|R(\mu_0, A)f\| \geq c\|f\|, \quad \forall X \ni f \text{ positive},$$

- (H2) G is surjective.

Furthermore, let assume that the operator Γ is positive and the Dirichlet operator

$$D_\mu := \left(G|_{\ker(\mu - A_m)} \right)^{-1} \geq 0, \quad \forall \mu > s(A).$$

Then, the operator

$$\mathfrak{A} = A_m, \quad D(\mathfrak{A}) = \{x \in D(A_m) : Gx = \Gamma x\}.$$

generates a positive C_0 -semigroup $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X and $\omega_0(\mathfrak{A}) = s(\mathfrak{A})$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mu, \mathfrak{A}) &= R(\mu, A) + D_\mu (I_{\partial X} - \Gamma D_\mu)^{-1} \Gamma R(\mu, A) \\ &= R(\mu, A) + D_\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Gamma D_\mu)^n \Gamma R(\mu, A) \geq R(\mu, A) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu > s(A)$. Furthermore, there exists $\mu_0 > s(\mathfrak{A})$ and a constant $c' > 0$ such that

$$\|R(\mu_0, \mathfrak{A})f\| \geq c'\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in X_+.$$

Conclusion











$$(A, B, C) \begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Bu(t), & t \geq 0, \\ z(0) = x, & , \\ y(t) = Cz(t), & t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here A generates a strongly continuous positive semigroup \mathbb{T} on X , $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X_{-1})$ is a (positive) control operator, $C \in \mathcal{L}(D(A), Y)$ is a (positive) observation operator, and $D \in \mathcal{L}(U, Y)$ is the (positive) feedthrough operator.

- (1) We fully describe the structural properties of the spaces of L^p -admissible control/observation operators in the Banach lattice setting.
- (2) We give new insight into the perturbation theory, namely, positive perturbations of positive semigroups.

Perspective

- Controllability properties with positivity constraints of (A, B, C) such as feedback stabilization, optimal control problems, the regulator problem, and H^∞ -control problem..

-  C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 119. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985.
-  W. Arendt, Resolvent positive operators. Proc. London Math. Soc., 54 (1987), pp. 321-349.
-  A. Bátkai, M. K. Fijavž, and A. Rhandi, Positive Operator Semigroups: from Finite to Infinite Dimensions, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 2016.
-  A. Chen and K. Morris, Well-posedness of boundary control systems, SIAM J. Control Optim, **42**, 1244-1265 (2003).
-  Y.El gantouh, Positivity of infinite dimensional linear systems, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.10617>.
-  Y. El gantouh, Positivity and boundary approximate controllability with respect to positive controls of linear systems, Preprint.
-  J. L. Lions and E. Magens, Non Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, Vol. I, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Einzeldarstell., 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1972.
-  D. Salamon, Infinite-dimensional linear system with unbounded control and observation: a functional analytic approach, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 300 (1987), pp. 383-431.
-  H.H. Schaefer, Banach lattices and positive operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1974.
-  M. Tucsnak and G Weiss, From exact observability to identification of singular sources. Math. Control Signals Systems, 27 (2015), pp. 1-21.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION