## Maximal distance minimizers

Yana Teplitskaya

Leiden University, Netherlands Chebyshev Laboratory, St.Petersburg State University

IX Partial differential equations, optimal design and numerics 2022 31 August 2022, Benasque

## Problem

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

The problem was stated at 2003 and was actively reseached in works by Miranda, Paolini, Butazzo and Stepanov (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). They proved that a minimizer  $\Sigma$  exists and that a minimizer can not contain a loop.

There are two main types of questions concerning maximal distance minimizers:

- regularity: what is local behaviour of minimizers;
- explicit examples: what it the minimizer for the concrete set *M*. Even for a circle and a rectangle the question is non-trivial.

## Problem

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

The problem was stated at 2003 and was actively reseached in works by Miranda, Paolini, Butazzo and Stepanov (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). They proved that a minimizer  $\Sigma$  exists and that a minimizer can not contain a loop.

There are two main types of questions concerning maximal distance minimizers:

- regularity: what is local behaviour of minimizers;
- explicit examples: what it the minimizer for the concrete set *M*. Even for a circle and a rectangle the question is non-trivial.

## Problem

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

The problem was stated at 2003 and was actively reseached in works by Miranda, Paolini, Butazzo and Stepanov (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). They proved that a minimizer  $\Sigma$  exists and that a minimizer can not contain a loop.

There are two main types of questions concerning maximal distance minimizers:

- regularity: what is local behaviour of minimizers;
- explicit examples: what it the minimizer for the concrete set *M*. Even for a circle and a rectangle the question is non-trivial.

# The statement of the problem

## Problem (Statement 1)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 to find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

#### Problem (Statement 2)

For a given compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r > 0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma$ , such that

 $F_M(\Sigma) := \max_{y \in M} \operatorname{dist}(y, \Sigma) \leq r$  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma)$  is minimal.

### Problem (Dual statement)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number l>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \leq l$  $F_M(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.}$ 

# The statement of the problem

## Problem (Statement 1)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 to find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

## Problem (Statement 2)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

$$F_M(\Sigma) := \max_{y \in M} dist(y, \Sigma) \le r$$
  
 $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma)$  is minimal.

#### Problem (Dual statement)

For a given compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number l > 0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma$ , such that

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) &\leq l \\ F_M(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{aligned}$ 

# The statement of the problem

### Problem (Statement 1)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r>0 to find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} M \subset \overline{B}_r(\Sigma) \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

## Problem (Statement 2)

For a given compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number r > 0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma$ , such that

$$F_M(\Sigma) := \max_{y \in M} dist(y, \Sigma) \le r$$
  
 $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma)$  is minimal.

## Problem (Dual statement)

For a given compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a given number l>0 find a closed connected  $\Sigma,$  such that

 $\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma) \leq l\\ F_M(\Sigma) \text{ is minimal.} \end{cases}$ 

Example for two points at a distance R > 2r apart:



Each tripod  $\Sigma$  is a minimizer for some three points and r>0. But not vice versa.

Example for two points at a distance R > 2r apart:



Each tripod  $\Sigma$  is a minimizer for some three points and r > 0. But not vice versa.

# The simplest examples

Another example for 3 points.



A segment  $\Sigma$  is minimizer for the border (or closure) of its *r*-neighbourhood.



Every maximal distance minimizer  $\Sigma$  for a set M and number r > 0 is also a minimizer for r-neighbourhood of  $\Sigma$ . Uniqueness is an open question here.

# What if M is a circle?

Let  $M := \partial B_R(O)$ , R > 4.98r. Then  $\Sigma$  is a horseshoe.



# What if M is a circle?

Let  $M := \partial B_R(O)$ , R > 4.98r. Then  $\Sigma$  is a horseshoe.





Figure: The solution for the set  ${\cal M}$  with big radius of curvature

## Theorem (Cherkashin, T., 2016)

For every closed convex curve M with minimal radius of curvature R and for every r < R/5 the set of minimizers contains only horseshoes. For the circumference  $M = \partial B_R(O)$  the claim is true for r < R/4.98.

Still unknown: what is minimizer for a circle with R > r > R/4.98? (it conjectured for a circle by Paolini, Miranda and Stepanov that the answer still is a horseshoe)

# Theorem (Cherkashin, T., 2016)

For every closed convex curve M with minimal radius of curvature R and for every r < R/5 the set of minimizers contains only horseshoes. For the circumference  $M = \partial B_R(O)$  the claim is true for r < R/4.98.

Still unknown:

- What if R > r > R/4.98? (it conjectured for a circle by Paolini, Miranda and Stepanov that the answer still is a horseshoe)
- **\bigcirc** What if M is a narrow stadium? (it is not a horseshoe!)



Figure: Horseshoe is not a minimizer for long enough stadium with R < 1.75r.



Figure: The minimizer for a rectangle M with  $r < r_0(M)$ .

When M is a rectangle, we described the topology of maximal distance minimizers (see our preprint arXiv:2106.00809).

### Theorem (Cherkashin–Gordeev–Strukov–T,2021)

Let  $M = A_1A_2A_3A_4$  be a rectangle, r > 0 be chosen small enough depending on M. Then any maximal distance minimizer has the topology depicted in the left part of Fig. 3. The middle part of the picture contains enlarged fragment of the minimizer near  $A_1$ ; the labeled angles are equal to  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ . The rightmost part contains much more enlarged fragment of minimizer near  $A_1$ . A minimizer consists of 21 segments; an approximation of the length of a minimizer is Per - 8.473981r, where Per is the perimeter of the rectangle.

## Definition

We say that the ray (ax] is a *tangent ray* of the set  $\Sigma$  at the point  $x \in \Sigma$  if there exists a non stabilized sequence of points  $x_k \in \Sigma$  such that  $x_k \to x$  and  $\angle x_k x a \to 0$ .

## Theorem (Gordeev, T., 2022)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a maximal distance minimizer for a compact set  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and an r > 0 be fixed. Then

- (i) the angle between each pair of tangent rays at every point of Σ is at least 2π/3. The number of tangent rays at every point of Σ is not greater than 3.
- (ii) In planar case  $\Sigma$  is a union of a finite number of injective images of the segment [0,1] with non-intersecting interiors;

### Corollary

In planar case the number of triple points is finite.

<u>Remark.</u> It is not true for a Steiner tree, i.e. there exists an indecomposable Steiner tree with infinite number of triple points.

## Definition

We say that the ray (ax] is a *tangent ray* of the set  $\Sigma$  at the point  $x \in \Sigma$  if there exists a non stabilized sequence of points  $x_k \in \Sigma$  such that  $x_k \to x$  and  $\angle x_k x a \to 0$ .

## Theorem (Gordeev, T., 2022)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a maximal distance minimizer for a compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and an r>0 be fixed. Then

- (i) the angle between each pair of tangent rays at every point of Σ is at least 2π/3. The number of tangent rays at every point of Σ is not greater than 3.
- (ii) In planar case  $\Sigma$  is a union of a finite number of injective images of the segment [0,1] with non-intersecting interiors;

### Corollary

### In planar case the number of triple points is finite.

<u>Remark.</u> It is not true for a Steiner tree, i.e. there exists an indecomposable Steiner tree with infinite number of triple points.

## Definition

We say that the ray (ax] is a *tangent ray* of the set  $\Sigma$  at the point  $x \in \Sigma$  if there exists a non stabilized sequence of points  $x_k \in \Sigma$  such that  $x_k \to x$  and  $\angle x_k x a \to 0$ .

## Theorem (Gordeev, T., 2022)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a maximal distance minimizer for a compact set  $M\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and an r>0 be fixed. Then

- (i) the angle between each pair of tangent rays at every point of Σ is at least 2π/3. The number of tangent rays at every point of Σ is not greater than 3.
- (ii) In planar case  $\Sigma$  is a union of a finite number of injective images of the segment [0,1] with non-intersecting interiors;

### Corollary

In planar case the number of triple points is finite.

<u>Remark.</u> It is not true for a Steiner tree, i.e. there exists an indecomposable Steiner tree with infinite number of triple points.

- Find the minimizers for a circumference of radius r < R < 4.98r. Find the minimizers for a ball.
- Find the explicit estimate for the curvature radius at the horseshoe theorem
- Find the minimizers for a given stadium.
- Can maximal distance minimizer in Euclisean space have infinite many branching points?
- If  $\Sigma$  is a minimizer for some M then  $\Sigma$  is a minimizer for  $\overline{B_r(\Sigma)}$ . Is  $\Sigma$  the unique minimizer for  $\overline{B_r(\Sigma)}$ ?
- Let Σ be a fixed planar compact set. It is interesting to determine whether Σ is a minimizer for M being a set of n points and some positive r. As an obvious necessary condition Σ should be a Steiner tree for some set of n points, but this condition is not sufficient. It turns out that a Steiner tree for the vertices of a square is not a maximal distance minimizer for every set of four points.

# Thank you for your attention!



Figure: Indecomposable Steiner tree with infinite number of triple points