#### **Entangle This: Randomness, Complexity and Quantum Circuits**

Benasque's Centro de Ciencias Pedro Pascual June 12th 2023

# qubit MERA and quantum criticality emergent structures inside the causal cone

Guifre Vidal Google Quantum Al In collaboration with:



#### **Riley Chien**

PhD at Dartmouth College (May 2023) Student researcher at Google Quantum Al





Quantum Al

[]





Beyond Classical random circuit sampling



in quantum machine learning Quantum Al



Topological Order Abelian and non-Abelian



Majorana Edge modes in a quantum spin chain



Bound States in a quantum spin chain



Quantum Scrambling in 2d quantum evolution



Holographic Wormhole simulation



Time Crystal in a quantum spin chain



Molecular Isomerization simulation



Quantum Error Correction break-even milestone

### Commercial Applications of Quantum Computing ?



#### Are Quantum Computers needed for Quantum Chemistry / Materials Science?

Quantum computers may be able to efficiently solve the **ground state electronic structure** of complex molecules and materials:









Jantum Al

- Fertilizers
- Solar Energy
- Batteries
- Catalyzers
- Drug discovery
- High-Tc Superconductors
- New Materials

However... heuristic classical methods might be enough

# Evaluating the evidence for exponential quantum advantage in ground-state quantum chemistry

Seunghoon Lee, Joonho Lee, Huanchen Zhaj, Yu Tong, Alexander M. Dalzell, Ashutosh Kumar, Phillip Helms, Johnnie Gray, Zhi-Hao Cui, Wenyuan Liu, Michael Kastoryano, Ryan Babbush, John Preskill, David R. Reichman, Earl T. Campbell, Edward F. Valeev, Lin Lin & Garnet Kin-Lic Chan 🖂

Nature Communications 14, Article number: 1952 (2023) Cite this article



#### Quantum Computers vs Tensor Network algorithms?



Actually, quantum computers can accelerate (exponentially!?) tensor network algorithms...



# Outline

- 1 Motivation:
  - MERA on qubits (q-MERA)
- 2 MERA quantum channel
  - Eigenvalue decomposition
  - Symmetries
  - Derivative descendants





- 3 Emergent structures in the causal cone
  - Space resolved patterns
  - MPO for channel eigen-operators





## MERA is a 'holographic' tensor network:

Vidal 2007, 2008 *(talk in Benasque 2005?)* Evenbly, Vidal 2009



ground state of **1d system** (e.g. spin chain) represented as a **2d tensor network** (space + scale)

### accurate representation of ground states of critical systems

#### correlations



 $\langle O(0)O(x)
angle \sim rac{1}{x^p} \qquad {\sf law}$ 

#### entanglement



$$S(L) \sim \log(L)$$

logarithmic correction to area law









$$|\Psi_{MERA}
angle = U|0^{\otimes N}
angle$$









 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} | O_A | \Psi_{MERA} 
angle$ 



 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} | O_A | \Psi_{MERA} 
angle$ 



 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} \ket{O_A \ket{\Psi_{MERA}}}$ 



 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} \ket{O_A \ket{\Psi_{MERA}}}$ 



 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} | O_A | \Psi_{MERA} 
angle$ 



 $\langle O_A 
angle = \langle \Psi_{MERA} | O_A | \Psi_{MERA} 
angle$ 



#### Simulating an N-qubit wavefunction with O(1) qubits



full N-qubit wavefunction: N-qubits



#### Local observable: O(1) qubits (e.g. 3)

(Sufficient for optimization with 1D local Hamiltonian)



Two-point correlator: O(1) qubits (e.g. 6) (Sufficient for optimization with 1D non-local Hamiltonian, e.g.  $V = \sum_{i,j} \frac{n_i n_j}{|i-j|}$ )





k-point correlator: exp(k) qubits (e.g. 9 for 3-point correlator)

#### Experimental implementation of MERA on a quantum processor





A layer of MERA is a coarse-graining transformation



How can we make MERA more expressive / accurate?

#### A layer of MERA is a coarse-graining transformation





How can we make MERA more expressive / accurate?



By increasing the depth D

Fishman, White 2015, Evenbly, White 2016

See also: Arguello-Luengo 2017 Haegeman, Swingle, Walter, Cotler, Evenbly, Scholz, 2017 Kim, Swingle 2017 Haghschensas, Gray, Potter, Chan, 2021 Miao, Barthel, 2021

#### Single layer of MERA and width of causal cone



#### Single layer of MERA and width of causal cone



Exponential quantum advantage?

#### \* A comment for tensor network **experts**:



Quantum Al

#### \* A comment for tensor network **experts**:



#### Summary so far:

MERA is a variational ansatz for (quantum critical) many-body ground states

### $\chi$ -MERA (increase bond dimension $\chi$ ) $\chi$







#### Summary so far:

MERA is a variational ansatz for (quantum critical) many-body ground states





# Outline

- 1 Motivation:
  - MERA on qubits (q-MERA)



- 2 MERA quantum channel
  - Eigenvalue decomposition
  - Symmetries
  - Derivative descendants



- 3 Emergent structures in the causal cone
  - Space resolved patterns
  - MPO for channel eigen-operators





### MERA quantum channel

#### Key step in MERA algorithms, both classical and quantum



depth D = 2(2D-1=) 3 qubits





 $ho ~~{
m is}~~2^{2D-1} imes 2^{2D-1}$ 

Quantum Al

computational resourcesclassicalquantummemory  $O(\exp(D))$ O(D) qubits ontime  $O(\exp(D))$ depth D circuit

## MERA quantum channel

Our goal: diagonalize this channel



Quantum Al

#### Why? Extraction of universal (conformal) data, e.g. scaling dimensions

White 2016

input: MERA tensors optimized for ground state of from Evenbly, (modified) critical transverse field Ising chain

$$egin{aligned} H &= -\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty ig(X_i X_{i+1} - X_{i-1} Z_i X_{i+1}ig) \ & \left[ H_{ ext{Ising}} = -\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty (X_i X_{i+1} + Z_i) 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

output:

Dominant eigenvalue decomposition of MERA quantum channel C

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{lpha=0}^{\chi-1} \lambda_lpha | \hat{ 
ho}_lpha) ( \hat{ arphi}_lpha |$$


depth D = 4(2D-1=) 7 qubits Eigenvalue decomposition of MERA quantum channel  ${\cal C}$ 

lots of terms!!!  

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{eigenvalues (real or complex pairs), with } |\lambda_{\alpha}| \leq 1 \\ 1 = \lambda_0 \geq |\lambda_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}| \\ \mathcal{C} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \lambda_{\alpha} |\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\rangle (\hat{\varphi}_{\alpha}| \\ \text{"density matrices"} \\ \text{"density matrices"} \\ \text{primal eigen-operators} \\ \mathcal{C}|\hat{\varrho}_{\alpha}\rangle = \lambda_{\alpha} |\hat{\varrho}_{\alpha}\rangle \\ \text{or } \mathcal{C}[\hat{\varrho}_{\alpha}] = \lambda_{\alpha} \hat{\varrho}_{\alpha} \end{array}$$





depth D = 4(2D-1=) 7 qubits

Eigenvalue decomposition of MERA guantum channel Clots of terms!!! eigenvalues (real or complex pairs), with  $|\lambda_{\alpha}| < 1$ 









depth D = 4(2D-1=) 7 qubits

Quantum Al U

 $|\hat{arrho}_{0}) \,$  fixed-point density matrix

Eigenvalue decomposition of MERA quantum channel 
$$\mathcal{C}$$
  
lots of terms!!  

$$2^{2n}-1$$

$$C = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \lambda_{\alpha} |\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\rangle (\hat{\varphi}_{\alpha}|$$

$$1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$$

$$1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$$

$$1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$$

$$(density matrices'')$$

$$(densi$$

1



depth D = 4(2D-1=) 7 qubits

Quantum Al

Eigenvalue decomposition of MERA quantum channel 
$$\mathcal{C}$$
  
lots of terms!!  
 $2^{2n}-1$   
 $C = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2^{2n}-1} \lambda_{\alpha} |\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\rangle (\hat{\varphi}_{\alpha}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{2^{2n}-1}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{1}| \ge |\lambda_{0}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |\lambda_{0}| \ge |\lambda_{0}| \ge |\lambda_{0}|$   
 $1 = \lambda_{0} \ge |$ 





ho is a 128x128 matrix



Arnoldi iteration

for dominant eigenvalues

of non-normal

matrix



Quantum Al

 $\rho$ 





Arnoldi iteration

for dominant eigenvalues

of non-normal

matrix



ho is a 128x128 matrix

Quantum Al

 $\lambda_lpha=2^{-\Delta_lpha}$ eigenvalues scaling dimensions $\Delta_lpha=-\log_2(\lambda_lpha)$ 



symm. sect.	scaling	numer.	exact
$(a_Z, a_T, a_R)$	operator	$\Delta_{\alpha}$	$\Delta_{\alpha}^{\rm CFT}$
(0,0,0)	I	0.0000	0
(1,0,0)	$\sigma$	0.1233	0.125
$(0,\!0,\!0)$	$\epsilon$	1.0000	1
(1,0,1)	$\partial_x \sigma$	1.1229	1.125
$(1,\!1,\!0)$	$\partial_t \sigma$	1.1349	1.125
$(0,\!0,\!0)$	h	2.0000	2
(0,1,1)	p	2.0000	2
(0,0,1)	$\partial_x \epsilon$	2.0000	2
(0,1,0)	$\partial_t \epsilon$	2.0000	2
$(1,\!0,\!0)$	$\partial_t^2 \sigma$	2.1233	2.125
(1,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \sigma$	2.1253	2.125
(1,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \sigma$	2.1356	2.125
(0,0,0)	$\partial_t^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
(0,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
(0,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \epsilon$	3.0000	3
(0,1,0)	$\partial_x h$	3.0000	3
(0,0,1)	$\partial_x p$	3.0000	3
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{symm. sect.}\\ (a_Z, a_T, a_R)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (1,0,0)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (1,0,1)\\ (1,1,0)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (0,1,1)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (1,0,0)\\ (1,0,0)\\ (1,1,1)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (0,0,0)\\ (0,1,1)\\ (0,1,0)\\ (0,0,1)\\ \end{array}$	symm. sect.       scaling operator $(a_Z, a_T, a_R)$ operator $(1,0,0)$ $\mathcal{I}$ $(1,0,0)$ $\sigma$ $(0,0,0)$ $\epsilon$ $(1,0,1)$ $\partial_x \sigma$ $(1,1,0)$ $\partial_t \sigma$ $(0,0,0)$ $h$ $(0,0,0)$ $h$ $(0,0,0)$ $h$ $(0,1,1)$ $p$ $(0,0,1)$ $\partial_x \epsilon$ $(1,0,0)$ $\partial_t^2 \sigma$ $(1,0,0)$ $\partial_x^2 \sigma$ $(1,1,1)$ $\partial_x \partial_t \sigma$ $(0,0,0)$ $\partial_x^2 \epsilon$ $(0,0,1,1)$ $\partial_x \partial_t \epsilon$ $(0,1,0)$ $\partial_x h$ $(0,0,0,1)$ $\partial_x p$	symm. sect.scaling operatornumer. $\Delta_{\alpha}$ (0,0,0)I0.0000(1,0,0) $\sigma$ 0.1233(0,0,0) $\epsilon$ 1.0000(1,0,1) $\partial_x \sigma$ 1.1229(1,1,0) $\partial_t \sigma$ 1.1349(0,0,0) $h$ 2.0000(0,1,1) $p$ 2.0000(0,1,1) $\partial_x \epsilon$ 2.0000(0,1,0) $\partial_t \epsilon$ 2.0000(1,0,0) $\partial_t \epsilon$ 2.1233(1,0,0) $\partial_x^2 \sigma$ 2.1253(1,1,1) $\partial_x \partial_t \sigma$ 3.0000(0,0,0) $\partial_x^2 \epsilon$ 3.0000(0,1,1) $\partial_x \partial_t \epsilon$ 3.0000(0,1,0) $\partial_x h$ 3.0000(0,0,1) $\partial_x p$ 3.0000

Arnoldi iteration

for dominant eigenvalues

of non-normal

matrix



$\rho$	is a	128x128	matrix
--------	------	---------	--------





	eigenvalue	symm. sect.	scaling	numer.	exact
	$\tilde{\lambda}_{lpha}$	$(a_Z, a_T, a_R)$	operator	$\Delta_{\alpha}$	$\Delta_{\alpha}^{\rm CFT}$
	1.00000	(0,0,0)	I	0.0000	0
	0.91807	(1,0,0)	σ	0.1233	0.125
- ` - /	0.50000	(0,0,0)	E	1.0000	1
V	0.45918	(1,0,1)	$\partial_x \sigma$	1.1229	1.125
	0.45537	(1,1,0)	$\partial_t \sigma$	1.1349	1.125
	0.25000	(0,0,0)	h	2.0000	2
7	0.25000	(0,1,1)	p	2.0000	2
$\overline{\mathcal{V}}$	0.25000	(0,0,1)	$\partial_x \epsilon$	2.0000	2
	0.25000	(0,1,0)	$\partial_t \epsilon$	2.0000	2
	0.22952	(1,0,0)	$\partial_t^2 \sigma$	2.1233	2.125
	0.22921	(1,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \sigma$	2.1253	2.125
	0.22757	(1,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \sigma$	2.1356	2.125
N	0.12500	(0,0,0)	$\partial_t^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
	0.12500	(0,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
	0.12500	(0,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \epsilon$	3.0000	3
V	0.12500	(0,1,0)	$\partial_x h$	3.0000	3
	0.12500	(0,0,1)	$\partial_x p$	3.0000	3

Amazing!! [Evenbly, White 2016]

Arnoldi iteration

for dominant eigenvalues

of non-normal

matrix



 $ho\,$  is a 128x128 matrix

Quantum Al

 $\lambda_lpha=2^{-\Delta_lpha}$ eigenvalues scaling dimensions $\Delta_lpha=-\log_2(\lambda_lpha)$ 



	$egin{array}{c} { m eigenvalue} \ \lambda_lpha \end{array}$	symm. sect. $(a_Z, a_T, a_R)$	scaling operator	numer. $\Delta_{\alpha}$	$\stackrel{\text{exact}}{\Delta_{\alpha}^{\text{CFT}}}$
	1.00000	(0,0,0)	I	0.0000	0
	0.91807	(1,0,0)	σ	0.1233	0.125
	0.50000	(0,0,0)	$\epsilon$	1.0000	1
5	0.45918	(1,0,1)	$\partial_x \sigma$	1.1229	1.125
$\overline{\mathcal{V}}$	0.45537	(1,1,0)	$\partial_t \sigma$	1.1349	1.125
	0.25000	(0,0,0)	h	2.0000	2
N	0.25000	(0,1,1)	p	2.0000	2
$\overline{\mathcal{V}}$	0.25000	(0,0,1)	$\partial_x \epsilon$	2.0000	2
	0.25000	(0,1,0)	$\partial_t \epsilon$	2.0000	2
N	0.22952	(1,0,0)	$\partial_t^2 \sigma$	2.1233	2.125
- /	0.22921	(1,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \sigma$	2.1253	2.125
	0.22757	(1,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \sigma$	2.1356	2.125
	0.12500	(0,0,0)	$\partial_t^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
N	0.12500	(0,0,0)	$\partial_x^2 \epsilon$	3.0000	3
- \ - /	0.12500	(0,1,1)	$\partial_x \partial_t \epsilon$	3.0000	3
<b>1</b>	0.12500	(0,1,0)	$\partial_x h$	3.0000	3
	0.12500	(0,0,1)	$\partial_x p$	3.0000	3

degeneracies!

 $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

#### without symmetries

# with symmetries

(spin-flip, matrix transposition, space reflection)



VS

Quantum Al















🚺 Quantum Al



# symmetries

MERA tensors

\* These symmetries were already included in [Evenbly, White 2016]







# Symmetries of the MERA quantum channel

One can see that the super-operators

$$\mathcal{C},\mathcal{Z},[\ ]^*,\mathcal{T},\mathcal{R}$$

commute with each other.



examples:

One can see that the super-operators

 $\mathcal{C},\mathcal{Z},[\ ]^*,\mathcal{T},\mathcal{R}$ 

commute with each other.

Therefore we can diagonalize the MERA quantum channel C using real 128x128 matrices O that are invariant under spin-flip, matrix transposition and space reflection.





examples:

One can see that the super-operators

 $[\mathcal{C},\mathcal{Z},[\ ]^*,\mathcal{T},\mathcal{R}]$ 

commute with each other.



Therefore we can diagonalize the MERA quantum channel C using real 128x128 matrices *O* that are invariant under **spin-flip**, **matrix** transposition and space reflection.



examples:

One can see that the super-operators

 $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}, [\ ]^*, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}$ 

commute with each other.

Therefore we can diagonalize the MERA quantum channel C using real 128x128 matrices O that are invariant under spin-flip, matrix transposition and space reflection.

spin flip  $\mathcal{Z}[O] = \pm O$   $\mathcal$ 



examples.

One can see that the super-operators

 $\mathcal{C},\mathcal{Z},[\ ]^*,\mathcal{T},\mathcal{R}$ 

commute with each other.

Therefore we can diagonalize the MERA quantum channel C using real 128x128 matrices *O* that are invariant under **spin-flip**, **matrix** transposition and space reflection.

$$|\hat{arrho}_lpha), (\hat{arphi}_lpha| \, \leftrightarrow (a_Z, a_T, a_R)$$

(spin-flip, matrix transposition, space reflection)

 $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

#### without symmetries

# vs with symmetries

(spin-flip, matrix transposition, space reflection)



With spin flip  $\mathcal{Z}$ , matrix transposition  $\mathcal{T}$  and space reflection  $\mathcal{R}$  symmetries we can identify individual eigen-operators within degenerate multiplets



 $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

#### without symmetries

# vs with symmetries

(spin-flip, matrix transposition, space reflection)



With spin flip  $\mathcal{Z}$ , matrix transposition  $\mathcal{T}$  and space reflection  $\mathcal{R}$  symmetries we can identify individual eigen-operators within degenerate multiplets

Quantum Al

We can still not distinguish second order derivative doublets  $(\partial_x^2 \sigma, \partial_t^2 \sigma)$  and  $(\partial_x^2 \epsilon, \partial_t^2 \epsilon)$ 

How can we relate a scaling operator with its derivative descendants?





How can we relate a scaling operator with its derivative descendants?

$$(\hat{arphi}| \stackrel{f ?}{<} \widehat{(\partial_t arphi)} \ \widehat{(\partial_x arphi)}$$

Given 
$$O,H\longrightarrow \partial_t O=i[H,O]$$

Hamiltonian operator

$$H=-\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty \left(X_iX_{i+1}-X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1}
ight)$$

Given  $O, P \longrightarrow \partial_x O = i[P, O]$ 



How can we relate a scaling operator with its derivative descendants?

Given 
$$O, H \longrightarrow \partial_t O = i[H, O]$$

Hamiltonian operator

$$H=-\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(X_iX_{i+1}-X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1}
ight)$$
  
Hamiltonian density  
 $h_i=-rac{1}{2}(X_{i-1}X_i+X_iX_{i+1})+X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1}$ 



Given 
$$O, P \longrightarrow \partial_x O = i[P, O]$$



How can we relate a scaling operator with its derivative descendants?

Given 
$$O, H \longrightarrow \partial_t O = i[H, O]$$

Hamiltonian operator

Hamiltonian density

$$H=-\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(X_iX_{i+1}-X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1}
ight)$$

 $h_i = -rac{1}{2}(X_{i-1}X_i + X_iX_{i+1}) + X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1})$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & (\mathcal{O}_t \, \varphi) \\ & & \widehat{(\partial_x \, \varphi)} \\ \end{array}$$
Given  $O, P \longrightarrow \partial_x O = i[P, O]$ 
Momentum operator
$$P = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (X_i Y_{i+1} - Y_i X_{i+1})$$

momentum density

 $i = -\infty$ 

<

$$p_{i+rac{1}{2}}=-\left(X_{i}Y_{i+1}-Y_{i}X_{i+1}
ight)$$

Energy conservation (continuum)

 $\partial_t h + \partial_x p = 0$ 

$$ightarrow \partial_t h_j = i[H,h_j] = -\left(p_{j+rac{1}{2}}-p_{j-rac{1}{2}}
ight)$$

Energy conservation (lattice)

Quantum Al

How can we relate a scaling operator with its derivative descendants?

Given 
$$O, H \longrightarrow \partial_t O = i[H, O]$$

Hamiltonian operator

$$H=-\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty \left(X_iX_{i+1}-X_{i-1}Z_iX_{i+1}
ight)$$

$$(\hat{arphi}| \stackrel{f ?}{<} \widehat{(\partial_t arphi)} \ \widehat{(\partial_x arphi)}$$
 Given  $O,P$ 

Given 
$$O, P \longrightarrow \partial_x O = i[P, O]$$
  
(cheap alternative: use finite difference)

Momentum operator $P = -\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( X_i Y_{i+1} - Y_i X_{i+1} 
ight)$ 





Example: 
$$\widehat{(\hat{\sigma}|_{(1,0,0)})} \subset \widehat{(\hat{\partial}_t \sigma|_{(1,1,0)})} = i[P, (\hat{\sigma}|] \sim \widehat{(\hat{\partial}_t \sigma|_{(1,1,0)})} = i[P, (\hat{\sigma}|] \sim \widehat{(\hat{\partial}_x \sigma|_{(1,0,1)})}$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Example:} \quad (\widehat{\sigma}_{t} \widehat{\sigma}_{t}) = i[H, (\widehat{\sigma}_{t}]] \sim \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{t}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,1,0)} \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\partial_{t}\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{t}\sigma}_{t})] \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\sigma}_{t}]] \sim \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,0,1)} \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})] \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\sigma}_{t})] \sim \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,0,1)} \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})] \sim \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,1,1)} \\ (\partial_{x}\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})] \sim \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,0,0)} \\ (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})] \cap \underbrace{(\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})}_{(1,0,0)} \\ (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t}) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial_{x}\sigma}_{t})$$



Example: 
$$(\widehat{\sigma})_{(1,0,0)} \leftarrow (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\sigma}) = i[H, (\widehat{\sigma})] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\sigma}) = i[H, (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\sigma)] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\sigma) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\sigma)] \qquad (1,0,0)$$

$$(\partial_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{t}\sigma) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\sigma)] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\sigma) = i[H, (\widehat{\partial}_{x}\sigma)] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\sigma) = i[H, (\widehat{\partial}_{x}\sigma)] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\sigma) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial}_{x}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}] \sim (\widehat{\partial}_{t}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\sigma) = i[P, (\widehat{\partial}_{x}\widehat{\partial}_{\tau}\widehat{\partial}_{$$

# Outline

- 1 Motivation:
  - MERA on qubits (q-MERA)
- 2 MERA quantum channel
  - Eigenvalue decomposition
  - Symmetries
  - Derivative descendants





### 3 - Emergent structures in the causal cone

- Space resolved patterns
- MPO for channel eigen-operators





# Emergent structure in *primal* and dual eigen-operators



We can now investigate the space-resolved structure of the eigen-operators



### Emergent structure in *primal* eigen-operators





### Emergent structure in *primal* eigen-operators



**Empirical results:** 

$$egin{aligned} &(X_j|\hat{
ho}_{\sigma}) = ext{tr}(X_j\hat{
ho}_{\sigma}) \sim a_0 \ &(X_j|\hat{
ho}_{\partial_x\sigma}) = ext{tr}(X_j\;\hat{
ho}_{\partial_x\sigma}) \sim a_1\;j \ &(X_j|\hat{
ho}_{\partial_x^2\sigma}) = ext{tr}(X_j\;\hat{
ho}_{\partial_x^2\sigma}) \sim a_2\;j^2 \end{aligned}$$



🚺 Quantum Al

### Emergent structure in *primal* eigen-operators



Quantum Al

Application: we can finally distinguish between  $\partial_x^2 \sigma$  and  $\partial_t^2 \sigma$  !!






Quantum Al

-0.75 -1.00

-2





$$= \operatorname{tr}(X_3\hat{\sigma})$$





Practical application: given operator entanglement structure, we can approximate with a matrix product operator (MPO)!





#### Summary

- Quantum Computer vs Tensor Networks?
- Quantum Computers can accelerate Tensor Networks
- MERA is already a quantum circuit (but  $\chi$ -MERA  $\rightarrow$  q-MERA)



PhD at Dartmouth College (May 2023) Student researcher at Google Quantum Al

**Riley Chien** 





### Summary

In collaboration with:



We diagonalized **n**-qubit MERA quantum channel (for **n=7** instead of n=3 → we can now resolve in space)



Riley Chien PhD at Dartmouth College (May 2023)

Student researcher at Google Quantum Al

Energy density  $\varepsilon$ 

Symmetries help identify eigen-operators with CFT scaling operators



Quantum Al

-0.2

Space-time *derivatives* connect descendant eigen-operators

(1,0,0)  $\partial_t^2 \varepsilon \quad \partial_x \partial_t \varepsilon \quad \partial_x^2 \varepsilon$ ∂<sub>x</sub>h ∂<sub>x</sub>p (1,0,1)(1, 1, 0)scaling dimension  $\Delta$ (1.1.1)  $\partial_t^2 \sigma \ \partial_x \partial_t \sigma \ \partial_x^2 \sigma$ 3+6 3,8 1+1/8 (0,0,0)  $\partial_t \sigma$ ∂xσ (0.0.1)• (0.1.0) (0.1.1)

Spin  $\sigma$ 

Identity /

We discovered space-resolved *emergent properties* which allow us to

- distinguish between derivative descendants where symmetries are not enough
  - suggest more efficient MERA algorithm (based on MPO)



# THANKS!



# Fermionic super-operator

for non-local operators ... ZZZZZ O





🚺 Quantum Al





-2

Ó

ż



 $(Z_{-}(X_{j}-iY_{j})|\hat{\varrho})$ 

-- 20-



primal eigenvectors

20

40

60

10°

10-3

10-6

10-9

10-12

10-15

Ó







0

-2

-1.00