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## (Quantum) many-body physics

- Always looking for many-body systems where we can do exact computations
- $\quad$ exact $\neq$ rigorous
- Integrable systems make possible exact (usually non-rigorous) computations
- They have an extensive number of conversation laws
- There are intermediate (e.g. supersymmetry) cases not addressed in complexity theory. Not integrable, but not generic.
- Today l'll discuss systems where constraints are stronger than integrability, giving results both exact and rigorous

I'll describe how to construct free-fermion raising and lowering operators in some special interacting models using elementary algebra.


## Outline

1. What is a free fermion?
2. Solving the Ising chain using free fermions
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## 1. What is a free fermion?

Forget statistics, forget operators, forget fields...

The basic property of free fermions is that their (energy) spectrum is of the form

$$
E= \pm \epsilon_{1} \pm \epsilon_{2} \pm \ldots \pm \epsilon_{L}
$$

The choices of a given $\pm$ are independent, and do not affect the values of $\epsilon_{l}$.

Levels are either filled or empty.


## The usual story

Automatically find such a spectrum when Hamiltonian is a bilinear in fermions. On the lattice:

$$
H=\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{H}_{a b} \psi_{a} \psi_{b}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a b}$ is an antisymmetric matrix, and the (Majorana) fermions obey the (Clifford) algebra

$$
\left\{\psi_{a}, \psi_{b}\right\}=2 \delta_{a b}
$$

Examples of non-obvious free-fermionic systems:
1d quantum transverse-field/2d classical Ising Kauffman, Onsager; its fermionic version now known as the "Kitaev chain"

1d quantum $X Y$
Jordan-Wigner; Lieb-Schultz-Mattis

2d Kitaev honeycomb model

In field theory: sine-Gordon at special point

## 2. Canonical example: the Ising/Kitaev chain

transverse field favouring disorder

$$
H=-h \sum_{j=1}^{L} X_{j}-J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_{j} Z_{j+1}^{\text {ing disorder }}
$$

The $X_{j}, Z_{j}$ are Pauli matrices acting on site $j$ of the $L$-site chain.
The non-local Jordan-Wigner transformation defines $2 L$ Majorana fermions

$$
\psi_{2 j-1}=Z_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{L-1} X_{k} \quad \psi_{2 j}=i X_{j} \psi_{2 j-1} \quad\left\{\psi_{a}, \psi_{b}\right\}=2 \delta_{a b}
$$



## Ising Hamiltonian is bilinear in fermions

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=-h \sum_{j=1}^{L} X_{j}-J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_{j} Z_{j+1}=i h \sum_{j=1}^{L} \psi_{2 j-1} \psi_{2 j}+i J \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \psi_{2 j} \psi_{2 j+1} \\
H=\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{H}_{a b} \psi_{a} \psi_{b}
\end{gathered} \quad \mathcal{H}=i\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
-h & 0 & J & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & -J & 0 & h & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & -h & 0 & J & \cdots \\
& & & \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

With little additional effort, allow random couplings, i.e.

$$
H=i \sum_{j=1}^{L} t_{2 j-1} \psi_{2 j-1} \psi_{2 j}+i \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} t_{2 j} \psi_{2 j} \psi_{2 j+1}=i \sum_{m=1}^{2 L-1} t_{m} \psi_{m} \psi_{m+1}
$$

Just replace entries in matrix correspondingly.

## Really easy to find spectra of such Hamiltonians

Because $\left\{\psi_{a}, \psi_{b}\right\}=2 \delta_{a b}$, commuting a linear in fermions with a bilinear yields a linear:

$$
\left[H, \sum_{a=1}^{2 L} r_{a} \psi_{a}\right]=\sum_{b=1}^{2 L} s_{b} \psi_{b} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathcal{H}_{b a} r_{a}=s_{b}
$$

Define raising and lowering operators $\quad \Psi_{ \pm k}=\sum_{a} v_{a}^{( \pm k)} \psi_{a} \quad k=1 \ldots L$ using the eigenvectors of $\mathcal{H}: \quad \mathcal{H} v^{( \pm k)}= \pm 2 \epsilon_{k} v^{( \pm k)}$

$$
\text { Then } \quad\left[H, \Psi_{ \pm k}\right]= \pm 2 \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{ \pm k}
$$

Acting with $\Psi_{ \pm k}$ either annihilates a state or changes the energy by $\pm 2 \epsilon_{k}$


Using the algebra only, easy to show that

$$
\left\{\Psi_{k}, \Psi_{k^{\prime}}\right\}=2 \delta_{k,-k^{\prime}} \quad H=\sum_{k=0}^{L} \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{-k}
$$

so that every level is filled or empty:

$$
E= \pm \epsilon_{1} \pm \epsilon_{2} \pm \ldots \pm \epsilon_{L}
$$

We thus have reduced the computation of the eigenvalues of a $2^{L} \times 2^{L}$ matrix to those of a $2 L \times 2 L$ one!

$$
\mathcal{H}=i\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & h & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
-h & 0 & J & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & -J & 0 & h & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & -h & 0 & J & \cdots \\
& & & \vdots & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

By now many many models have been solved by J-W transformations. Do one on your fave chain, and if the Hamiltonian is quadratic in fermions, you win!

Using graph theory, Chapman and Flammia showed (rigorously) when a J-W transformation to a fermion-bilinear is possible

## Is at all there is?

Since we did everything with the fermions algebraically, suggests that we don't even really need the fermions!

## 3. Algebras and graphs for free fermions

Write $H=\sum_{m=1}^{2 L-1} h_{m}$


These operators obey a very simple algebra
$h_{m}^{2}=t_{m}^{2}, \quad h_{m} h_{m+1}=-h_{m+1} h_{m}, \quad h_{m} h_{m^{\prime}}=h_{m^{\prime}} h_{m} \quad$ for $\left|m-m^{\prime}\right|>1$
"frustration" graph:


Can forget presentation as long as generators obey same algebra, e.g. instead take

$$
h_{2 j-1}=t_{2 j-1} Z_{j-1} X_{j} Z_{j+1}
$$

## Conserved charges


adjacent $h_{m}$ anticommute, others commute.
Find non-local conserved charges $Q^{(r)}$ involving $h_{m}$ at least 2 sites apart:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{(1)} & =H=\sum_{m} h_{m} \\
{\left[H, Q^{(r)}\right]=0 \quad Q^{(2)} } & =\sum_{m_{1}+1<m_{2}} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} \\
Q^{(3)} & =\sum_{m_{1}+1<m_{2}<m_{3}-1} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} h_{m_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $Q^{(r)}$ commute among themselves as well.
"transfer matrix" $T(u)=\sum_{r=0}^{L} u^{r} Q^{(r)} \quad$ Note finite sum and $\left[T(u), T\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right]=0$
Local conserved charges follow from $\quad \frac{d}{d u} \ln (T(u))=H+u H^{(2)}+u^{2} H^{(3)}+\ldots$

## Raising and lowering operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(u)=\sum_{r=0}^{L} u^{r} Q^{(r)} \text { is an operator. However, a little algebra gives } \\
T(u) T(-u)=P\left(-u^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $P\left(-u^{2}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{L}\left(-u^{2}\right)^{r} P^{(r)}$

$$
P^{(1)}=\sum_{m} t_{m}^{2}
$$

is a polynomial constructed as with $T(u)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{(2)} & =\sum_{m_{1}+1<m_{2}}^{m} t_{m_{1}}^{2} t_{m_{2}}^{2} \\
P^{(3)} & =\sum_{m_{1}+1<m_{2}<m_{3}-1} t_{m_{1}}^{2} t_{m_{2}}^{2} t_{m_{3}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Less obviously, the roots of $P\left(-u^{2}\right)$ are $u_{k}= \pm \frac{1}{\epsilon_{k}}$
and the raising/lowering operators are $\Psi_{ \pm k}=T\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{1} T\left(-u_{k}\right)$

## 4. Free fermions in disguise

Fendley 2019

$$
H=\sum_{m=1}^{L-2} h_{m}, \quad h_{m}=t_{m} X_{m} X_{m+1} X_{m+2}
$$

The generators anticommute two sites apart:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{m}^{2}=t_{m}^{2}, \quad h_{m} h_{m+1}=-h_{m+1} h_{m}, \quad h_{m} h_{m+2}=-h_{m+2} h_{m}, \\
h_{m} h_{m^{\prime}}=h_{m^{\prime}} h_{m} \text { for }\left|m-m^{\prime}\right|>2
\end{gathered}
$$

- Not solvable by Jordan-Wigner: $\quad H=\sum_{m=1}^{L-2} t_{m} \psi_{2 m-1} \psi_{2 m} \psi_{2 m+2} \psi_{2 m+3}$
- Commutes with $\widetilde{H}=\sum_{m} \widetilde{h}_{m}, \quad \widetilde{h}_{m}=\widetilde{t}_{m} Z_{j} X_{j+1} X_{j+2}$
- Model has an $N=2$ supersymmetry, with generators made of fermion trilinears.


## The same algebraic procedure works here

Non-local conserved charges $Q^{(r)}$ now involve $h_{m}$ at least 3 sites apart:

$$
\left[Q^{(r)}, Q^{(s)}\right]=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{(1)}=H & =\sum_{m} h_{m} \mid Q^{(2)}=\sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} \\
Q^{(3)} & =\sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}<m_{3}-2} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} h_{m_{3}} \quad \text { etc }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof involves only the algebra. ¡Model is integrable even with random couplings!

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(u)=\sum_{r=0}^{L} u^{r} Q^{(r)} \quad P\left(-u^{2}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{L}\left(-u^{2}\right)^{r} P^{(r)} \\
T(u) T(-u)=P\left(-u^{2}\right) \\
P^{(1)}=\sum_{m} t_{m}^{2} \quad P^{(2)}=\sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}} t_{m_{1}}^{2} t_{m_{2}}^{2} \quad P^{(3)}=\sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}<m_{3}-2} t_{m_{1}}^{2} t_{m_{2}}^{2} t_{m_{3}}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The raising/lowering operators require another miracle

The construction here is not simple like for the J-W fermions. It requires one non-obvious identity, and only works for open chain.

Include an edge mode $\chi$ obeying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1} \chi=-\chi h_{1} \\
& h_{m} \chi=\chi h_{m} \quad m>1 \\
& \text { Here } \chi=Z_{1} \text { works. }
\end{aligned}
$$

From only the algebra follows $\quad u\{[H, \chi], T(u)\}=2[\chi, T(u)]$

Then $\Psi_{ \pm k}=T\left(u_{k}\right) Z_{1} T\left(-u_{k}\right)$

$$
H=\sum_{k=0}^{M} \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{-k}
$$

where the roots of $P\left(-u^{2}\right)$ are $u_{k}= \pm \frac{1}{\epsilon_{k}}$

## Spectrum is that of free fermions

Even though the starting algebras are different, these raising/lowering operators in both Ising and 4-fermi models obey the same algebra

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[H, \Psi_{ \pm k}\right]= \pm 2 \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{ \pm k} \quad\left\{\Psi_{l}, \Psi_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=\delta_{l,-l^{\prime}} \quad H=\sum_{k=0}^{M} \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{-k}} \\
E= \pm \epsilon_{1} \pm \epsilon_{2} \pm \cdots \pm \epsilon_{M}
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\text { Here } M=\frac{L}{3} \text { because of the "exclusion rule". }
$$

¡ Exponentially large degeneracies for each energy level!

## 5. Claws




If you try this trick elsewhere, you'll be disappointed - it works only in a few instances Alcaraz + Pimenta: extend length of interaction

Frustration graph needs to be claw-free for model to be integrable.
Elman, Chapman and Flammia, 2012.07857;
Chapman, Elman and Mann, 2305.15625

A claw is a subgraph

where the three outer vertices are not adjacent, so that
$\left\{h_{m}, h_{l}\right\}=\left\{h_{m}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=\left\{h_{m}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=\left[h_{l}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right]=\left[h_{l}, h_{l^{\prime \prime}}\right]=\left[h_{l^{\prime}}, h_{l^{\prime \prime}}\right]=0$

## Why claw-free?

$$
H=\sum_{m} h_{m} \Longrightarrow H^{2}=\sum_{m=0}^{L-2} t_{m}^{2}+2 \sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}}=\mathrm{const}+2 Q^{(2)}
$$

## Have I committed fraud?

Two kinds of terms in $H^{3}=\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} h_{m_{3}}=H^{\prime}+H^{\prime \prime}$
$H^{\prime}$ contains those where one pair commutes, two pairs anticommute.
$H^{\prime \prime}$ contains those where all three $h_{m_{j}}$ mutually commute, e.g.

$$
\sum_{m_{1}+2<m_{2}<m_{3}-2} h_{m_{1}} h_{m_{2}} h_{m_{3}}=Q^{(3)}
$$

Claw-free condition guarantees $H$ commutes with $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ individually.

$$
\left\{h_{m}, h_{l}\right\}=\left\{h_{m}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=\left\{h_{m}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right\}=\left[h_{l}, h_{l^{\prime}}\right]=\left[h_{l}, h_{l^{\prime \prime}}\right]=\left[h_{l^{\prime}}, h_{l^{\prime \prime}}\right]=0
$$

In general, commuting charges are given by summing over independent sets of $h_{m_{j}}$

## Claw-free is not sufficient to yield free fermions

e.g. the four-fermion model with periodic boundary conditions has a claw-free frustration graph, but is not free-fermion.

Need to generalize the edge mode $\chi=Z_{1}$

One is guaranteed to exist if the frustration graph has a simplicial clique.

A clique is a subset of vertices all connected to each other. It is simplicial when the neighborhood of each such vertex is also simplicial. iGraph theorists have studied claw-free graphs with simplicial modes!

Chudnovsky et al

$$
\Psi_{k}=T\left(u_{k}\right) \chi T\left(-u_{k}\right) \quad H=\sum_{k=0}^{M} \epsilon_{k} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{-k}
$$

and the whole procedure follows

## Claw-free is not necessary to yield disguised free fermions

Work in progress with Balazs Pozsgay

Balazs found an integrable model that interpolates between my four-fermi model and another model with a free-fermion spectrum (but was thought to be another class)

Fendley and Schoutens 2006; de Gier et al 2015; Feher et al 2017

$$
A_{j} \equiv a_{j-1} a_{j} X_{j-1} X_{j} Z_{j+1}, \quad B_{j}=b_{j} b_{j+1} Z_{j-1} Y_{j} Y_{j+1}, \quad C_{j}=a_{j} b_{j} Z_{j-1} Z_{j+1}
$$

$$
H=\sum_{j=2}^{L} A_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} B_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{L} C_{j}
$$

Frustration graph is not claw-free (and too nasty to draw). But nonetheless can run procedure using a modified frustration graph (it has even more edges).

The loophole is that the generators are not independent:

$$
B_{j} A_{j+1}=-C_{j} C_{j+1}
$$

## 6. The physics of the four-fermi chain

Find that for uniform couplings $t_{m}=1$, theory is critical, but not a CFT.

Instead, it has dynamical critical exponent $z=3 / 2$, i.e. parametrizing

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\epsilon^{2}(p)=\frac{\sin ^{3} p}{\sin \frac{p}{3} \sin ^{2} \frac{2 p}{3}} \text { yields } \epsilon(p) \approx\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{3 / 4}|\pi-p|^{3 / 2} \\
\text { for }|p-\pi| \text { small }
\end{array}
$$

Imposing periodic b.c. breaks degeneracies and gives rise to a distinct $z$. Even though it's integrable, not free-fermion, and too difficult to extract answer analytically.

$$
t_{3 j-2}=\alpha, t_{3 j-1}=\beta, t_{3 j}=\gamma
$$



Free fermions not in disguise when every third coupling vanishes - equivalent to Ising.

## Combining Ising and four-fermi chains

$$
H_{\text {Ising }}-g H_{4-\text { fermi }}
$$

O'Brien and Fendley 2017

- Combination is not only not free-fermion, it's not even integrable.
- Find a non-trivial critical point (tricritical Ising) with only one-parameter tuning and without changing the Hilbert space. Thus ideally suited for testing numerical methods.
- Along self-dual line, interesting properties such as supersymmetry and orderdisorder coexistence.


## Procedure generalizes to free parafermions!

Anticommutation relations generalise to

$$
\left(\epsilon_{k}-\omega \epsilon_{l}\right) \Psi_{k} \Psi_{l}=\left(\epsilon_{l}-\omega \epsilon_{k}\right) \Psi_{l} \Psi_{k}
$$

Baxter's non-Hermitian $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ chains have spectrum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=\omega^{m_{1}} \epsilon_{1}+\omega^{m_{2}} \epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\omega^{m_{L}} \epsilon_{L} \\
& \text { where } \omega=e^{2 \pi i / n} \\
& \text { E" } \\
& \text { and each } m_{j}=0,1, \ldots n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Baxter 1989 Fendley 2013 Au-Yang/Perk
2014 unpub 2014, 2016

## Lots more to do

- Exact edge zero modes?
- Field theory? Connection to usual Bethe ansatz?
- (Superintegrable) chiral Potts transfer matrix is related to free parafermions
- Connection to experiment both in Ising+4-fermion and in chiral Potts Aasen et al 2020
- Close connection to integrable Bazhanov-Baxter models in 3d
- Connection to chiral CFTs?

