

State Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Quantum Physics

Conference "Entanglement in Strongly Correlated Systems" (Aug. 7 - 18, 2023)

Tensor network approach to 2D fully frustrated XY spin model

Guang-Ming Zhang

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, China

7 Aug., 2023 @ Benasque, Spain

Outline

- Introduction: Landau paradigm and BKT transition
- Tensor network approach for 2D XY spin models
- Fully frustrated XY spin model on a Kagome lattice
- Conclusion

Landau paradigm of phase transitions



- Rich forms of matter \leftarrow rich types of orders
- A deep insight from Landau: different orders come from different symmetry breaking.
 - \rightarrow Symmetry breaking theory of orders
 - \rightarrow A corner stone of condensed matter physics



Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition

2D classical XY model with U(1) symmetry $H = -\sum_{i \in i} \overrightarrow{s_i} \cdot \overrightarrow{s_j} = -\sum_{i \in i} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)$ 2016 NOBEL PRIZE IN PHYSICS Mermin-Wagner Theorem: Thouless/Haldane/Kosterlitz No continuous symmetry broken phase at finite temperature in any 1D and 2D systems.



Key features of BKT transition

spin-spin correlation function characterizes the binding of vortex pairs

above
$$T_{BKT}$$
, $G(r) = \langle e^{-i\varphi(r)}e^{i\varphi(0)} \rangle \sim e^{-r/\xi(T)}$
 $\xi(T) \sim e^{b/\sqrt{T-T_{BKT}}}, b > 0$
below T_{BKT} , $G(r) \sim \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{\eta(T)}$
spin stiffness
 $\rho_s = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v^2}|_{v=0}$ twist field
 $\theta(r) \rightarrow \theta(r) + v \cdot r$
a universal jump in spin stiffness
 $\lim_{T \rightarrow T_{BKT}} \rho_s(T) = \frac{2}{q^2 \pi} T_{BKT}$
D. J. Bishop et al., PRB 22, 5171 (1980)

c/k_B

Ŏ.5

K_c'

2

к-I

specific heat infinite order phase transition

$$C_V \sim \xi^{-2}(T_+)$$



3

RG method and Mont Carlo simulation

II C < 0, $0 < t < 8 \pi y_0$

renormalization group Kosterlitz, Rep. Prog. Phys. 79 026001 (2016)

0.10

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

0.00

III C>0, $t > 8 \pi y_0$

0.4

0.5

RG flow for XY model



self-similarity

Monte Carlo method





limitations:

- information only near fixed points
- hard to handle multiple topological defects

limitations:

- finite size effect
- inefficiency in reaching the lowenergy phase space
- No sharp criterion for transition

Tensor network approach to the 2D XY spin models

Approaching the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition for the classical XY model with tensor networks

Laurens Vanderstraeten,^{1,*} Bram Vanhecke,¹ Andreas M. Läuchli,² and Frank Verstraete¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Ghent, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium ²Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria



Key physical idea in our study

quantum entanglement: a powerful tool



1D quantum correspondence



III. Niklas Elmehed © Nobel Prize Outreach Alain Aspect



Outreach

 $T|\Psi\rangle = \Lambda_{max}|\Psi\rangle$

John F. Clauser

III. Niklas Elmehed © Nobel Prize Outreach Anton Zeilinger

$$Z_{2D} = \operatorname{Tr}(T^{N}), \qquad H_{1D} = -\frac{1}{\beta}\ln T$$

entanglement entropy

 $\rho_L = \mathrm{Tr}_R |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|$ $S_F = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_L \ln \rho_L),$

expectational values







Uniform variational matrix product state

M. T. Fishman, L. Vanderstraeten, V. Zauner-Stauber, J. Haegeman, and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. B 98, 235148 (2018).

^

$$\hat{T}|\Psi(A)\rangle = \Lambda_{max}|\Psi(A)\rangle \longleftrightarrow max \frac{\langle \Psi(A)|T|\Psi(A)|\rangle}{\langle \Psi(A)|\Psi(A)\rangle}$$

mixed canonical form



variational approximation process



2D XY spin model: BKT transition

entanglement and thermodynamics







correlation properties



Our works on various problems of 2D XY spin models

- Hybrid Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless and Ising topological phase transition in the generalized two-dimensional XY model using tensor networks Feng-Feng Song & Guang-Ming Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 024518 (2021)
- Phase coherence of pairs of Cooper pairs as quasi-long-range order of halfvortex pairs in a two-dimensional bilayer system
 Feng-Feng Song & Guang-Ming Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 195301 (2022)
- Two-stage melting of an inter-component Potts long-range order in two dimensions
 Feng-Feng Song & Guang-Ming Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 107, 165129 (2023)
- Tensor network approach to the two-dimensional fully frustrated XY model and a chiral ordered phase
 Feng-Feng Song & Guang-Ming Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 105, 134516 (2022)
- Tensor network approach to the fully frustrated XY model on a Kagome lattice with a fractional vortex-antivortex pairing transition
 Feng-Feng Song & Guang-Ming Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 108, 014424 (2023)

Fully frustrated XY spin model on a Kagome lattice

F. F. Song & G. M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 108, 014424 (2023).

Solving frustrated Ising models using tensor networks

Bram Vanhecke,^{1,*} Jeanne Colbois^{,2,†} Laurens Vanderstraeten,¹ Frank Verstraete,¹ and Frédéric Mila² ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Ghent, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium ²Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(Received 7 July 2020; revised 9 December 2020; accepted 14 December 2020; published 13 January 2021)

Motivated by the recent success of tensor networks to calculate the residual entropy of spin ice and kagome Ising models, we develop a general framework to study frustrated Ising models in terms of infinite tensor networks that can be contracted using standard algorithms for infinite systems. This is achieved by reformulating the problem as local rules for configurations on overlapping clusters chosen in such a way that they relieve the frustration, i.e., that the energy can be minimized independently on each cluster. We show that optimizing the choice of clusters, including the weight on shared bonds, is crucial for the contractibility of the tensor networks, and we derive some basic rules and a linear program to implement them. We illustrate the power of the method by computing the residual entropy of a frustrated Ising spin system on a kagome lattice with next-next-nearest-neighbor interactions, vastly outperforming Monte Carlo methods in speed and accuracy. The extension to finite temperatures is briefly discussed.

Antiferromagnetic Ising model on a Kagome/triangular lattice

Phase coherence of Cooper pairs of Kagome superconductors

From the Ginsburg-Landau free energy density of superconductivity in the external gauge field,

$$\mathcal{F}_{GL} = a|\psi|^2 + \frac{b}{2}|\psi|^4 + \frac{1}{2m^*} \left| \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{e^*}{c} \vec{A} \right) \psi \right|^2,$$
$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \langle c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow}(\vec{r}) c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow}(\vec{r}) \rangle \qquad \psi(\vec{r}) = |\psi(\vec{r})| e^{i\theta(\vec{r})}$$

where

When the magnitude of the local order parameter field is frozen and the external gauge field makes half quantum flux per triangular plaquette, $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \Delta} A_{ij} \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$,

the phase fluctuations of the Cooper pairs is effectively described by

 $H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j), \qquad J_1 > 0 \text{ denotes a fully frustrated XY model.}$



The ground state has a massive degeneracy due to the presence of chirality degrees of freedom.

Two periodic pattern of chirality as the possible ground states



"q=0" pattern for $J_2 > 0$

 $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ pattern for $J_2 < \theta$

For the model with a finite J_2 , there are domain wall excitations and 1/3 fractional vortex excitations in the low-temperature phase.



Proposed phase diagram for the general fully frustrated model



 $J_2=0$ corresponds to the disordered pattern of chirality.

Simplified representation of various topological excitations



(e)



(f)











a charge neutral pair of 1/3 vortex and anti-vortex

+1/3



The 1/3 fractional vortex or antivortex is related to a cluster of three Cooper pairs, and their bounded neutral pair with algebraic correlation represents the phase coherence of the so-called "charge-6e superconductivity".

Tensor network representation of the partition function

$$Z = \prod_{i} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_{i}}{2\pi} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} W(\theta_{i},\theta_{j}),$$
$$W(\theta_{i},\theta_{j}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\beta J_{1} \cos(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})} = \sum_{n_{l}} I_{n_{l}}(-\beta) \mathrm{e}^{in_{l}(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})},$$



The free energy density strongly depends on the cutoff of the Fourier transformation *d*.



Tensor network representation of the partition function

$$Z = \prod_{i} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_{i}}{2\pi} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} W(\theta_{i},\theta_{j}),$$

$$W(\theta_{i},\theta_{j}) = e^{-\beta J_{1} \cos(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})} = \sum_{n_{l}} I_{n_{l}}(-\beta)e^{in_{l}(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})},$$

$$W(\theta_{i},\theta_{j}) = e^{-\beta J_{1} \cos(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})} = \sum_{n_{l}} I_{n_{l}}(-\beta)e^{in_{l}(\theta_{i}-\theta_{j})},$$

$$Duality transformation$$

$$n_{l} = c_{h} + c_{t},$$

$$Z = \sum_{\{c_{h}\}} \prod_{t} \sum_{c_{i}=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{h_{t}=1}^{3} I_{c_{h_{t}}+c_{t}}(-\beta),$$

$$K_{1} = c_{1} - c_{2}, \quad k_{2} = c_{2} - c_{3},$$

$$k_{3} = c_{3} - c_{1},$$

$$Z = t \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{s} \tilde{O}_{k_{1},k_{2}}^{k_{3},k_{4}}(s).$$

Numerical results of the entanglement entropy



Numerical results of free energy, internal energy, specific heat



Correlation functions & numerical results at low T phase







Conclusion

- A tensor network approach is established for the 2D fully frustrated classical XY spin Kagome lattice model, and the partition function is written as a product of 1D transfer matrix operators.
- The eigen-equation of 1D quantum operator can be solved by the uniform variational matrix product state algorithm. The singularity of the entanglement entropy provides a stringent criterion for various phase transitions.
- In the thermodynamic limit, we proved that the Kagome model exhibits a single BKT phase transition only, which is driven by the unbinding of 1/3 fractional vortex-antivortex pairs determined.

Thanks for your attention!

Fully frustrated XY spin model on a square lattice

F. F. Song & G. M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 105, 134516 (2022).

Fully frustrated 2D XY spin model

From the Ginsburg-Landau free energy density of superconductivity in the external gauge field,

$$\mathcal{F}_{GL} = a|\psi|^2 + \frac{b}{2}|\psi|^4 + \frac{1}{2m^*} \left| \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{e^*}{c} \vec{A} \right) \psi \right|^2,$$
$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \langle c^{\dagger}_{\uparrow}(\vec{r}) c^{\dagger}_{\downarrow}(\vec{r}) \rangle \qquad \psi(\vec{r}) = |\psi(\vec{r})| e^{i\theta(\vec{r})}$$

where

When the magnitude of the local order parameter field is frozen and the external gauge field makes half quantum flux per triangular plaquette, $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \Delta} A_{ij} \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$,

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i + A_{ij})$$

As a result of minimization of local interaction, new degrees of freedom are formed:

checkerboard pattern like AFM Ising model

Phase transitions with two different topological excitations

vortices



domain walls



controversial phase transitions

quasi-long-range order coexists with the long-range chiral order



S. Teitel and C. Jayaprakash, Phys. Rev. B 27, 598 (1983).

finite energy per length S. E. Korshunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 167007(2002).



differ by at most 1-2%

Controversial phase transitions

There is not yet a general consensus on the critical behavior of the FFXY model.

	Model	Method	Transitions	Chiral exponents		Model	Method	Transitions	Chiral exponer
(1983)	$\rm FFXY_{sq}$	MC $(L \leq 32)$		Consistent	(1995)	$\rm FFXY_{sq}$	MC ($L \le 128$)	2tr,	Consistent
(1004)		MO(T < 00)		with Ising	45] (1995)	IsXY $(C = -0.2885)$	TM MC $(L < 30)$	$\delta \approx 0.013$ 1tr	$\nu = 0.79.$
(1984)	FFXY _{tr}	$MC \ (L \le 32)$ $MC \ (L \le 45)$	2 tr $\delta \sim 0.02$			()			$\eta = 0.40$
(1984) (1085)	FFXV FFVV	MC $(L \leq 45)$	$\lambda > 0$		(1996)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{sq}}$	MC $(L \le 128)$		$ \nu = 0.898(3) $
(1985)	FFXY _{sq} CG	BG	$0 \ge 0$ 1tr		(1996)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{tr}}$	MC ($L \le 144$)	2tr, $\delta \approx 0.02$	$\gamma = 1.6(3),$
(1985)	2cXY	RG	1tr		(1997)	Villain FFXY	MC $(L < 256)$	2tr	$\rho = 0.11(3)$ Consistent
(1986)	FFXY _{tr}	MC $(L < 72)$	1-2tr,	Consistent	(1001)	v monin i i i i i sq		$\delta \approx 0.014$	with Ising
	01		$\delta \lesssim 0.01$	with Ising	(1997)	Villain $\rm FFXY_{sq}$	Spin waves, LT phase	1tr	0
(1986)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{tr}}$	MC $(L \leq 72)$	1tr	0	(1997)	$FXY_{zz} \ (\rho = 0.7)$	MC $(L \leq 36)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$	$\nu = 0.78(2),$
(1986)	$FFXY_{sq}$	MC $(L \leq 100)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$		(1007)	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}$ (1 1	M(1/T < 2C)	1.4	$\eta = 0.32(4)$
(1986)	2cXY	Real-space RG	$1 \mathrm{tr}$		(1997)	FAY _{ZZ} ($\rho = 1.5$)	MC $(L \leq 30)$	ltr	$\nu = 0.80(1),$ n = 0.29(2)
(1988)	CG	MC $(L \leq 30)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$		(1997)	FFXY, 2cXY, CG	Position-space RG	2tr,	$\eta = 0.25(2)$ Different
(1989)	CG	MC $(L \leq 50)$	$2 \mathrm{tr}, \delta \approx 0.03$, ,	•	$\delta \approx 0.0005$	from Ising
(1989)	CG	$MC \ (L \le 48)$	1tr		(1997)	SOS-Is	MC $(L \leq 22)$	$2\mathrm{tr}$	Consistent
(1990)	F'F'XY _{sq}	TM MC ($L \leq 12$)	ltr	$\nu \approx 1,$	(1007)	EVV - CC	PC	14.	with Ising
97 (1001)	FEVV	MC(I < 40)	14	$\eta = 0.40(2)$	(1997) (1998)	FAT J_1, J_2 , UG FFXY ₂₇	NER $_{++}$ MC ($L < 256$)	101	$\nu = 0.81(2)$
2 7] (1991)	ГГЛІ _{sq}	$MC (L \leq 40)$	ltr	$\nu = 0.85(3),$ $\nu = 0.21(2)$	(1000)	I I I I Sq	Hillitsta me (E 200)		$\eta = 0.261(2),$ $\eta = 0.261(5)$
27 (1991)	FFXV	MC $(L < 40)$	1tr	$\eta = 0.31(3)$ $\eta = 0.83(4)$	(1998)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{tr}}$	MC $(L \le 60)$	2 tr,	$\nu = 0.833(7),$
21] (1991)	r r A i tr	$MO(L \le 40)$	101	$\nu = 0.03(4),$ n = 0.28(4)	(.			$\delta \approx 0.012$	$\eta = 0.25(2)$
(1991)	FFXY	MC $(L < 128)$	1–2tr	$\nu = 1.009(26)$	(1998)	$\mathrm{FFXXZ}_{\mathrm{tr}}$	MC ($L \leq 120$)	$2 \mathrm{tr}, \delta \lesssim 0.01$	Consistent
(1991)	IsXY $(C = -0.2885)$	MC (L < 32)	1-2tr	$\nu = 0.84(3)$	(1998)	FFXY	MC $(L < 140)$	1tr	with Ising $\nu = 0.852(2)$
(1991)	FXY_{J_1,J_2}	MC $(L \leq 150)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$	$\nu = 0.9(2),$	(1000)	I I I I I Sq		101	$\eta = 0.203(6)$
	* 1)* 2			$\eta = 0.4(1)$	(2000)	FXY_{J_1,J_2}	MC ($L \le 150$)	2 tr,	$\nu = 0.795(20),$
(1992)	SOS-Is	TM $(L \leq 7)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$	$\nu = 1.0(1),$	()			$\delta \approx 0.003$	$\eta = 0.25(1)$
				$\eta = 0.26(1)$	(2000)	FXY_{nn+nnn}	$MC (L \le 72)$ $MC (L < 256)$	$2 \mathrm{tr}, \delta \lesssim 0.01$	$\nu = 1.0(1)$
(1992)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{sq}}$	MC $(L \le 240)$	$1-2\mathrm{tr},$	$\nu = 0.875(35)$	(2001)	гглі _{sq}	MER_{std} MC ($L \leq 250$)		$\nu = 0.80(2),$ n = 0.276(7)
			$\delta\gtrsim-0.07$		(2001)	FXY_{nn+nnn}	NER_{std} MC ($L \le 256$)		$\nu = 0.80(3),$
45] (1992)	QLJJ $(E_x/E_y = 1)$	TM QMC		$\nu = 0.81(4),$	· · /	****			$\eta = 0.282(8)$
(F] (1000)	OIII (E E)			$\eta = 0.47(4)$	(2002)	FA6SC	MC $(L \le 192)$	2tr,	Consistent
45] (1992)	QLJJ $(E_x/E_y=3)$	TM QMC		$\nu = 1.05(6),$	(2002)	FFVV		$\delta \approx 0.003$	with Ising
(1002)	FFVV	TM MC $(I < 14)$	1+-	$\eta = 0.27(3)$ $\eta = 0.80(5)$	(2002) 35] (2003)	LGW d ⁴	Five-loop FT	2 tr, 0 > 0 Stable FP	
(1990)	ГГА I _{sq}	$1 \text{ MI MIC} (L \leq 14)$	101	$\nu = 0.30(3),$ n = 0.38(2)	(2003)	$FFXY_{sq}$	NER MC $(L \leq 2000)$	2tr,	$\nu = 0.82(2),$
(1994)	CG	MC $(L < 30)$	2tr. $\delta \approx 0.04$	$\eta = 0.36(2)$ $\nu = 0.84(3)$		-4	· · · /	$\delta \approx 0.010$	$\eta = 0.272(15)$
(1001)	00		201, 0 / 0 0.01	p = 0.01(0), n = 0.26(4)	(2003)	$\mathrm{FFXY}_{\mathrm{tr}}$	NER MC $(L \le 2000)$	2tr,	$\nu = 0.84(2),$
(1994)	FFXY _{sq}	MC $(L < 48)$	2tr, $\delta \approx 0.03$	$\nu = 0.813(5),$	(2005)	FFVV	MC(I < 180)	$\delta \approx 0.008$	$\eta = 0.250(10)$
()	P4	< /	,	$\beta = 0.089(8)$	(2005) (2005)	FFXY _{sq}	$MC (L \le 180)$ MC (L < 128)	$2 \operatorname{tr} \delta > 0$	$\nu = 0.9(1)$
(1994)	19-vertex model	TM $(L \le 15)$	$1 \mathrm{tr}$	$\nu = 0.81(3),$	(2011)	FFXYsq	$MC(L \sim O(10^3))$	$2\text{tr}, \delta \approx 0.02$	$5 \eta = 0.20(1)$
		· · ·		$\eta = 0.28(2)$	(2019)	FFXYsq	energy probability distrib	ution zeros 1tr	v = 0.824(30)

Hasenbusch, et al., J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.(2005); Soichirou Okumura, et al, Phys. Rev. B 83, 094429 (2011);

Tensor network approach

standard contraction algorithms fail to converge (e.g. VUMPS, CTMRG)

$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = \prod_{i} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta_{i}}{2\pi} \prod_{\langle ij \rangle} e^{J_{ij}\beta \cos(\theta_{j} - \theta_{i})} = \sum_{n_{l}\langle ij \rangle} (-1)^{n_{l}} I_{n_{l}}(\beta) \delta_{n_{1} + n_{3}}^{n_{2} + n_{4}}(i)$$

Direct expansion on the original lattice

- (i) constrains only imposed within a cluster
- (ii) non-Hermitian transfer matrix





Numerical results [Phys. Rev. B 105, 134516(2022)]



Numerical results Phys. Rev. B 105, 134516 (2022).

Specific heat & chiral order parameter

