ELECTROWEAK STRUCTURE OF THE NUCLEON

Fernando Alvarado (falvar@ific.uv.es) Luis Alvarez-Ruso Di An Stefan Leupold

January 15, 2024

Exited QCD 2024, Benasque

Electroweak structure of the nucleon

- Structure of protons and neutrons is encoded in form factors $F_{\mathrm{EM}} \to$ charge distribution
  - $V^\mu = \bar{q} Q \gamma^\mu q$,
    $\langle N | V^\mu(0) | N \rangle = \bar{u}' \left[\gamma^\mu F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m_N} F_2(q^2) \right] u$
    - $G_E = F_1 - \frac{Q^2}{4m_N^2} F_2, \quad G_M = F_1 + F_2$
    - slope=charge radius: $\langle r_E^2 \rangle = 6 \left. \frac{dG_E}{dq^2} \right|_0$
  - $A^\mu = \bar{q} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q$,
    $\langle N | A^\mu | N \rangle = \bar{u}' \left\{ \gamma_\mu F_A(q^2) + \frac{q_\mu}{2m_N} G_P(q^2) \right\} \gamma_5 u$
  - $F_A \to$ spin distribution
    Weak interaction is $V - A$
    relevant for ν experiments





Electromagnetic form factor



- Experimental determinations
  - $\langle r_E^2 \rangle$: proton radius puzzle [Pohl et al., Nature 466, 213 (2010)]
- Lattice QCD
  - Nucleon f.f. is a benchmark for LQCD
  - Uncertainties reduced for unphysical large M_π
  - Technical difficulties $\to$ recent progress
  - Experimental and lattice q^2 parametrisation:

    - dipole ansatz
    - z-expansion
    - ...

    $\Longrightarrow$ different $\langle r_1^2 \rangle$, and F_{EM} in general
- Theoretical input needed



- Experimental determinations
  - $\langle r_E^2 \rangle$: proton radius puzzle [Pohl et al., Nature 466, 213 (2010)]
- Lattice QCD
  - Nucleon f.f. is a benchmark for LQCD
  - Uncertainties reduced for unphysical large M_π
  - Technical difficulties → recent progress
  - Experimental and lattice q^2 parametrisation:

    - dipole ansatz
    - z-expansion
    - ...

    $\Longrightarrow$ different $\langle r_1^2 \rangle$, and F_{EM} in general
- Theoretical input needed
  - **Chiral Perturbation Theory** (χPT) → parametrise M_π and q^2 dep.
  - **Dispersion theory** → enlarge q^2 range
  - **Goal**: Disp+χPT = good q^2 and M_π description

Electromagnetic form factor



- **Lattice QCD** parametrisation issue
- **Chiral Perturbation Theory** (χPT)
  - QCD based parametrisation of q^2 and M_π dependencies $\Longrightarrow$ extrapolate lattice results to the phys. point and extract $\langle r_i^2 \rangle$ and κ from the lattice simulations
  - Relativistic baryon χPT (EOMS)
    - Terms that break power counting are absorbed in LECs

Dispersion theory

- Enlarge the q^2 range of χPT (ρ dynamics)

1. Analiticity $\Rightarrow$ Disp. rel. (Cauchy)

$$F(q^2) = \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\,F(s)}{s - q^2 - i\varepsilon}$$



2. Unitarity$\Rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Im}\,F = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n T_{\gamma^* n} T^\dagger_{n\bar{N}N}$, $n = \pi^+\pi^-, \ldots$
   - $\ell = 1$, $\pi\pi$ must be iso-vector (ρ channel)
     $\Rightarrow F_i = \frac{1}{2} F_i^{(s)} + F_i^{(v)} \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$, $\quad F_i^{(v)} = F_i^p - F_i^n$
3. Using full $N\bar{N}\pi\pi$ and $\gamma^*\pi\pi$ vertices with M_π dep.

$$F(q^2) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{T\, p_{cm}^3\, F_\pi^{V*}}{s^{1/2}(s - q^2 - i\varepsilon)} ,$$



[Granados et al, EPJ A 53 (2017)]

- Our two vertices, T and F^V_π, include nonperturbatively the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude, t, thanks to IAM (resummation)
  - $\mathscr{A}(s) = \frac{8}{\pi}\sum_\ell (2\ell+1) P_\ell(\cos\theta) t_\ell(s)$
  - $\ell = 1$, $t = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2p_{\rm cm}} \sin\delta e^{i\delta}$
  - $\Omega(s) = \exp\left\{ s \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\delta(s')}{s'(s'-s-i\varepsilon)} \right\}$
  - We fit NLO $t_{\rm IAM}$ to physical δ from [Garcia-Martin PRD 83(2011)]
  - We check that the M_π dependence is realistic
  - $F^V_\pi(s) = [1+\alpha_V s]\,\Omega(s)$





$\rho \nrightarrow \pi\pi$, $\Gamma_\rho \to 0$

- Our two vertices, T and F_π^V, include nonperturbatively the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude, t, thanks to IAM (resummation)
  - $\mathscr{A}(s) = \frac{8}{\pi}\sum_\ell (2\ell+1)P_\ell(\cos\theta)t_\ell(s)$
  - $\ell = 1$, $t = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2p_{\rm cm}}\sin\delta e^{i\delta}$
  - $\Omega(s) = \exp\left\{ s \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\delta(s')}{s'(s'-s-i\varepsilon)} \right\}$
  - We fit NLO $t_{\rm IAM}$ to physical δ from [Garcia-Martin PRD 83(2011)]
  - We check that the M_π dependence is realistic
  - $F_\pi^V(s) = [1+\alpha_V s]\,\Omega(s)$





$\rho \not\to \pi\pi$, $\Gamma_\rho \to 0$

Dispersion theory

- Our two vertices, T and F_π^V
  - $\boxed{F_\pi^V(s) = [1 + \alpha_V s]\,\Omega(s)}$
  - $\boxed{T_i(s) = K_i(s) + \Omega(s)\,P_i + I_i(s)}$,
    - $I_i(s) = \Omega(s) \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{K_i(s')\sin\delta(s')}{|\Omega(s')|(s'-s-i\epsilon)}$
    - K and P from $N\bar{N} \to \pi\pi$ in χPT
      $\mathcal{M} = A\bar{v}u - \frac{1}{2}B\bar{v}\not{k}u \longleftrightarrow K, P$
  -

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{F(q^2) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{T\,p_{\rm cm}^3\,F_\pi^{V*}}{s^{1/2}(s - q^2 - i\epsilon)}},$$



- $F_{\mathrm{EM}} = F_{\mathrm{EM}}^{\mathrm{disp}} +$ diagrams w/o 2π cut from χPT
  - $F(q^2) = \int_{4M_\pi^2} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{2\pi}(s)}{s-q^2} + F_{\chi\mathrm{PT\ no\ }2\pi}$
  - $F_{\mathrm{EM}}^{\mathrm{disp}}$



  - $+\chi$PT diagrams



- $F_{\mathrm{EM}} = F^{\mathrm{disp}}_{\mathrm{EM}} +$ diagrams w/o 2π cut from χPT
  - $F(q^2) = \int_{4M_\pi^2} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{2\pi}(s)}{s-q^2} + F_{\chi\mathrm{PT\ no\ }2\pi}$
  - $F^{\mathrm{disp}}_{\mathrm{EM}}$



  - $+\chi$PT diagrams
    - Relativistic and with explicit $\Delta(1232)$ [Bauer et al., PRC 86 (2012)]
    - green: $F_1 - F_1(0)$ (the charge is trivial)
    - blue: $F_2 \to$ we add the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ $\not\Delta$ terms



- $F_{EM} = F^{disp}_{EM} +$ diagrams w/o 2π cut from χPT
  - $F(q^2) = \int_{4M_\pi^2} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{2\pi}(s)}{s-q^2} + F_{\chi PT\ no\ 2\pi}$
  - F^{disp}_{EM}



  - $+\chi$PT diagrams
    - Relativistic and with explicit $\Delta(1232)$ [Bauer et al., PRC 86 (2012)]
    - green: $F_1 - F_1(0)$ (the charge is trivial)
    - blue: $F_2 \to$ we add the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ $\not\Delta$ terms
  - Disp and χPT differ in the renormalization (UV)
    - At $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ disp and χPT agree on the M_π nonanalyticities
    - Example: the dispersive contribution from $T^{point} \sim \frac{1}{F^2}$ agrees with χPT
      $F_1^{point} \sim F_1^{(9)} \sim q^2 \log M_\pi$
    - differences absorbed in LECs



- **Dirac f.f.,** F_1

FA, An, Alvarez-Ruso & Leupold PRD 108 (2023)

  - $\langle N | V^\mu | N \rangle = \bar{u}' \left[\gamma^\mu F_1 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m_N} F_2 \right] u$
  - $F_1 = 1 + \frac{q^2}{6} \left[-12 d_6 + \langle r_1^{2(\log M_\pi)} \rangle \log M_\pi \right] + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$
  - Comparison with LQCD data [Djukanovic PRD 103(2021)] $\longleftarrow$ controlled FV and discret. effects
  - In the χPT and disp+χPT F_1, d_6 is fitted to LQCD
  - Disp $\longrightarrow$ q^2 curvature



- **Dirac f.f.**, F_1 FA, An, Alvarez-Ruso & Leupold PRD 108 (2023)
  - $\langle N | V^\mu | N \rangle = \bar{u}' \left[\gamma^\mu F_1 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m_N} F_2 \right] u$
  - $F_1 = 1 + \frac{q^2}{6} \left[-12 d_6 + \langle r_1^{2(\log M_\pi)} \rangle \log M_\pi \right] + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$
  - Comparison with LQCD data [Djukanovic PRD 103(2021)] $\longleftarrow$ controlled FV and discret. effects
  - In the χPT and disp+χPT F_1, d_6 is fitted to LQCD
  - Disp $\longrightarrow$ q^2 curvature





(h) H105 $M_\pi = 0.278$ GeV



(i) N302 $M_\pi = 0.353$ GeV

- **Disp+χPT describes well the M_π dep.**
  - good d_6 fit for $Q^2 < 0.6$ GeV^2 and $M_\pi \lesssim 350$ MeV
  - outperforms the pure dispersive and plain χPT descriptions

	Disp (prediction)	χPT	Disp+χPT
$d_6(\mu = m_\rho)$ (GeV^{-2})	-	0.074 ± 0.010	0.416 ± 0.010
$d_6(\mu = m_N)$ (GeV^{-2})	-	-0.422 ± 0.010	0.155 ± 0.010
χ^2/dof	$108.9/47 = 2.32$	$73.9/(47-1) = 1.61$	$24.6/(47-1) = 0.53$
$\langle r_1^2 \rangle_{\text{phys}}$ (fm^2)	0.4541	0.3626 ± 0.0047	$\mathbf{0.4838 \pm 0.0047}$



- $F_1^{(v)} = 1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_1^2\rangle^{(v)} q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)$, $\langle r_1^2\rangle^{\mathrm{PDG}} = 0.577$ fm^2
- Heavy baryon fit to LQCD from [Djukanovic PRD 103(2021)]: $\langle r_1^2\rangle^{\mathrm{HB}} = 0.554 \pm 0.035$ fm^2

	Disp (prediction)	χPT	**Disp+χPT**
$d_6(\mu = m_\rho)$ (GeV^{-2})	-	0.074 ± 0.010	0.416 ± 0.010
$d_6(\mu = m_N)$ (GeV^{-2})	-	-0.422 ± 0.010	0.155 ± 0.010
χ^2/dof	$108.9/47 = 2.32$	$73.9/(47-1) = 1.61$	$24.6/(47-1) = 0.53$
$\langle r_1^2\rangle_{\mathrm{phys}}$ (fm^2)	0.4541	0.3626 ± 0.0047	$\mathbf{0.4838 \pm 0.0047}$

- Pauli f.f., F_2
  - $\langle N|V^\mu|N\rangle = \bar{u}'\left[\gamma^\mu F_1 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{2m_N}F_2\right]u$, $F_2 = \frac{F_M - F_E}{(1+Q^2/(4m^2))}$
  - $F_2^{(v)} = \kappa^{(v)}\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_2^2\rangle^{(v)}q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
  - $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ χPT is not enough (and Δ subtleties)
    $F_2(0)^{o(3)} = c_6 - \left(\frac{\pi m_N g_A^2}{4\pi^2 F^2}\right)M_\pi$



  - $\Longrightarrow$ include $\not\Delta$ $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$
  - disp+χPT $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$: $F_2 = F_2^{\text{disp}} + F_2^{\text{tree}} + F_2^{\chi\text{PTloop}}$,
    $F_2^{\text{tree}} = c_6 - 16e_{106}m_N M_\pi^2 + 2q^2(d_6 + 2e_{74}m_N)$



- F_2 **fit to LQCD**
  - In $F_2^{\rm disp}$ free c_6
  - In $F_2^{\chi \rm PT}$ and $F_2^{{\rm disp}+\chi \rm PT}$, free c_6, e_{106}, e_{74}
  - χPT $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ and disp separately are good enough to describe the data





(a) H105 $M_\pi = 0.278$ GeV



(b) N302 $m_\pi = 0.353$ GeV

- F_2 **fit to LQCD**
  - In F_2^{disp} free c_6
  - In $F_2^{\chi\mathrm{PT}}$ and $F_2^{\mathrm{disp}+\chi\mathrm{PT}}$, free c_6, e_{106}, e_{74}
  - χPT $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ and disp separately are good enough to describe the data





(a) H105 $M_\pi = 0.278$ GeV



(b) N302 $m_\pi = 0.353$ GeV





- $F_2^{(v)} = \kappa^{(v)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_2^2\rangle^{(v)} q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
- . $\kappa_{\rm PDG} = 3.706$,
  $\kappa_{\rm HB} = 3.71 \pm 0.17$,
  $\langle r_2^2\rangle_{\rm PDG} = 0.7754\ \text{fm}^2$,
  $\langle r_2^2\rangle_{\rm HB} = 0.690 \pm 0.042\ \text{fm}^2$.

	Disp+c_6	χPT	**Disp+χPT**
χ^2/dof	$\frac{49.95}{47-2} = 1.11$	$\frac{44.18}{47-4} = 1.027$	$\frac{56.08}{47-4} = 1.304$
χ_0^2/dof	1.09	1.027	1.283
$\kappa_{\rm phys}$	3.64	3.42	**3.61**
$\langle r_2^2\rangle_{\rm phys}$ (fm^2)	0.673	0.619	**0.668**

- In general **good $F_{1,2}$ description at different M_π values**
- **Our extraction of $\langle r_{1,2}^2\rangle$ and κ from LQCD is in line with PDG**
  - In this case HB yields also reasonable results

Axial form factor

- Analogously to the F_{EM} work:
  1. calculate F_A in ChPT
  2. analyse LQCD data



AXIAL FORM FACTOR

- $F_A \to$ spin distribution
  Weak interaction is $V - A$
  - $A^{i\mu} = \bar{q}\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \frac{\tau^i}{2} q$, $\langle N | A^\mu | N \rangle = \bar{u}' \left[\gamma_\mu F_A(q^2) + \frac{q_\mu}{2m_N} G_P(q^2) \right] \gamma_5 \frac{\tau^i}{2} u$
- **Nucleon axial isovector form factor**
  - $F_A(q^2) = g_A \left[1 + \frac{1}{6} \langle r_A^2 \rangle q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4) \right]$
  - g_A and F_A dependence in q^2 are necessary in ν oscillations experiments
  - μ capture, β-decay
  - χPT calculation of F_A
    $\Longrightarrow$ extract $\langle r_A^2 \rangle$ from lattice QCD without ad-hoc parametrization
- **$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ F_A in relativistic χPT**
  - $F_A = \mathring{g}_A + 4d_{16}M_\pi^2 + d_{22}q^2 + \mathrm{loops}(M_\pi, q^2)$



Figure: $\mathcal{O}(p)$ and $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ (w. f. renormalisation not shown)



Figure: $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$

$g_A \equiv F_A(q^2 = 0)$ ANALYSIS

$g_A(M_\pi)$ **study**

FA & Alvarez-Ruso PRD 105 (2021)

Motivations:

1. **test** the LECs extracted from **πN scattering**
2. **determine d_{16}** from fit to lattice:
   - source of uncertainty in m_q dependence of nuclear properties (ground-state and binding energies)
3. **study** more deeply the **convergence of g_A** in χPT

$g_A \equiv F_A(q^2 = 0)$ ANALYSIS

- $\pi N \to \pi\pi N \Rightarrow d_{16}, c_i$
  $\pi N \to \pi N \Rightarrow c_i$ } $\to$ combined fit Refs. [*]
- $g_A^{\rm phys} = g_A(M_\pi^{\rm phys}) \Rightarrow \mathring{g}_A$

$\Rightarrow$ Bad Δ-less $g_A(M_\pi)$ prediction



Table: $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$: steep rise (OK with [**])

	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$
d_{16}	-2.2 ± 1.1	-1.86 ± 0.80
c_1	-	-0.89 ± 0.06
c_2	-	3.38 ± 0.15
c_3	-	-4.59 ± 0.09
c_4	-	3.31 ± 0.13

- $\Rightarrow$ **We include explicit $\Delta(1232)$ and fit d_{16} to lattice**
- $\Rightarrow$ **This reconciles for $g_A^{\rm LQCD}$ with the πN LECs, c_i** (with the caveat of a slow convergence)
- we will discuss the whole $F_A(q^2)$ directly

[*] Siemens et al. PRC 94 (2016), Siemens et al. PRC 96 (2017), (value converted to standard EOMS)
[**] Bernard et al PLD 639 (2006)

- F_A: **Meta-analysis of large set of recent LQCD results**
  - Many recent works ⇒ substantial improvements
  - RQCD[1] + PNDME[2] + ”Mainz”[3] + PACS[4] + ETMC[5]





[1] Bali et al. JHEP 05 (2020)
[2] Park et al. 2103.05599
[3] Meyer et al. Modern Phys. A 34 (2019)
[4] Shintani et al. PRD 102 (2020)
[5] Alexandrou et al. PRD 103 (2021)

F_A FIT



- **F_A fit procedure:**
  - **Difference between orders $\simeq$ theoretical uncertainty** [Epelbaum EPJA 53 (2015)]
    $$\Delta g_{A\chi}^{(4)} = \max\left\{\left(\frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda}\right)^4 |\mathring{g}_A|, \left(\frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \left|g_A^{(3)}\right|, \frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda}|g_A^{(4)}|\right\}$$
  - $\Delta F_{A\chi}$ is added to LQCD errors in the χ^2
  - LECs have naturalness priors
  - fit range: χ^2 plateau $\Longrightarrow M_\pi^{\mathrm{cut}} \simeq 400$ MeV, $Q^2_{\mathrm{cut}} = 0.36$ GeV^2

F_A FIT





- **F_A fit procedure:**
  - **Difference between orders $\simeq$ theoretical uncertainty**
    [Epelbaum EPJA 53 (2015)]
    $\Delta g_{A\chi}^{(4)} = \max\left\{ \left(\frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda}\right)^4 |\mathring{g}_A| , \left(\frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \left|g_A^{(3)}\right| , \frac{M_\pi}{\Lambda} |g_A^{(4)}| \right\}$
  - $\Delta F_{A\chi}$ is added to LQCD errors in the χ^2
  - LECs have naturalness priors
  - fit range: χ^2 plateau $\Longrightarrow M_\pi^{\text{cut}} \simeq 400$ MeV, $Q^2_{\text{cut}} = 0.36$ GeV^2
- **Fit results: good description**
  - accurate description at the physical point
  - Δ baryon is a necessary d.o.f.
  - $\mathcal{O}(p^5)$ still needed for full convergence



- **Axial charge results** from $F_A(q^2)$ fit
  - $g_A(M_{\pi\mathrm{phys}}) = 1.273 \pm 0.014$ vs $g_A^{\mathrm{exp}} = 1.2754(13)_{\mathrm{exp}}(2)_{\mathrm{RC}}$
    $\Rightarrow$ excellent agreement with exp.
    vs $g_A^{\mathrm{FLAG}} = 1.246 \pm 0.028$
- $g_A(M_\pi) = \mathring{g}_A + 4d_{16}M_\pi^2 + \mathrm{loop}(M_\pi)$
- $\boxed{d_{16} = -1.46 \pm 1.00\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}}$

$\longrightarrow$ M_π dependence of long range nuclear forces
  - Can not be extracted from πN elastic scattering
  - In line with $d_{16} = -1.0 \pm 1.0\ \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ from $\pi N \to \pi\pi N$
    [Siemens et al. PRC 96 (2017)] (EOMS corrected)

$F_A = g_A\left(1+\frac{1}{6}\boxed{\langle r_A^2\rangle}\, q^2\right)$ AXIAL RADIUS





(Bodek)=Bodek, Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 349 (2008)

(Meyer)=Meyer, PRD 93, 113015 (2016)

(Hill)=Hill, Rept. Prog. Phys. 81 (2018)

- **Our $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ χPT extraction:**
  - M_π slope driven by loops with Δ
  - $d_{22} = 0.29 \pm 1.69$ GeV^{-2} (no assumptions on $\Delta\Delta\pi$ coupling enlarges error)
    - d_{22} compatible with $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ π electroprod. Guerrero et al. PRD, 102 (2020)
  - $\boxed{\langle r_A^2\rangle(M_{\mathrm{phys}}) = 0.293 \pm 0.044\ \mathrm{fm}^2}$
  - Empirical determinations (model dependent) are in tension with ours and with most of LQCD extractions
  - Tipically the extracted $\langle r_A^2\rangle^{\mathrm{phys}}$ value varies depending on the parametrisation
  - **Our QCD-based parametrisation leads to a value in line with most individual LQCD extractions**

Conclusions

- F_{EM}
  - **Dirac f.f.,** F_1
    - The dispersive calculation supplemented with χPT contributions outperforms the pure dispersive and plain χPT descriptions
    - it fits well the LQCD F_1 at least for $Q^2 < 0.6$ GeV^2 and $M_\pi \lesssim 350$ MeV
    - $\langle r_1^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{phys}} = 0.4838 \pm 0.0047$ fm^2
    - value close to the LQCD HB [Djukanovic PRD 103(2021)] extraction and to the experimental one
  - **Pauli f.f.,** F_2
    - Disp, $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ χPT and disp+χPT describe the data well
    - $\kappa_{\mathrm{phys}} = 3.61$ and $\langle r_2^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{phys}} = 0.668$ fm^2
    - values in line with the HB and the experimental ones

- F_A
  - Succesful description of LQCD $F_A(q^2)$ using $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ relativistic χPT
    - Explicit $\Delta(1232)$ necessary
    - Fit describes data in $M_\pi^{\mathrm{cut}} \simeq 400$ MeV, $Q^2_{\mathrm{cut}} = 0.36$ GeV^2
  - There is tension between the experimental and lattice extraction of $\langle r_A^2 \rangle$
  - We extract $\langle r_A^2 \rangle^{\mathrm{phys}} = 0.291 \pm 0.052\ \mathrm{fm}^2$ without ad hoc parametrisations
- Useful LEC values extracted from both calculations (agreement with other analysis)

Backup: F_{EM}

- Summary of dispersive nucleon F_{EM}

1. $F(q^2) \approx \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{2\pi}(s)}{s-q^2-i\varepsilon} + F_{\text{ChPT no } 2\pi}$
2. $\mathrm{Im}F_{2\pi}(s) = F_\pi^*(s) \frac{p_{\mathrm{cm}}(s)^3}{12\pi\sqrt{s}} T(s)$, need $F_\pi(s)$ and $T(s) \hookrightarrow$ given by $\pi\pi$ scattering
3. We use t_{IAM} NLO with a Blatt-Weiskopf ff on t_2 to go to π smoothly: $\widetilde{t}_2 = t_2/(1+r^2p_{\mathrm{cm}}^2)$.
   - We fit $l_2 - 2l_1$ and r to $\delta(s)$ of [García-Martín] at M_π^{phys}
   - Describe well the $m_\rho(M_\pi)$ from LQCD
4. $F_\pi^V(s, M_\pi) = (1+\alpha_V(M_\pi))\Omega(s, M_\pi)$, α_V determined from $\mathrm{d}F_\pi^V(s)/\mathrm{d}s$ in ChPT and $\Omega \to$ improves F_π^V ρ peak
5. T has to respect Watson th. ($\mathrm{Im}\, F_{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$), therefore Omnes solution

   $$T(s) = K(s) + \Omega(s)\, P + \Omega(s) \int_{4M_\pi^2}^{\Lambda^2} \frac{ds'}{\pi} \frac{\sin\delta_1(s')\, K(s')}{|\Omega(s')|\,(s'-s-i\varepsilon)}$$

   - P and K determined from ChPT πN p-wave projected
6. Subtrctions on $T_{1,2}$ and $F_{1,2}$ if M_π-indep
   - T_1 subtracted, $P_1 = P_1^{\mathrm{LO}}$ enough for leading 1-loop F_1. (F_1 also trivially subtracted)
   - T_2 and F_2 unsubtracted due to M_π dependences (but still the Λ dep. mild)

Backup

- EM f.f.
  - $V^\mu = \bar{q} Q \gamma^\mu q$,
    $\langle N(p')| V^\mu(0) |N(p)\rangle = \bar{u}' \left[\gamma^\mu F_1(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m_N} F_2(q^2)\right] u$
  - $G_E^N = F_1^N - \frac{Q^2}{4m_N^2} F_2^N, \quad G_M^N = F_1^N + F_2^N$
  - $F_1 = 1 \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_1^2\rangle q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
    $F_1(0) = F_E(0) = 1$ electric charge
  - $F_2 = \kappa \left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_2^2\rangle q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
    $\kappa = F_M(0) = \mu - 1$ anom. magn. mom.
  - $\langle r_E^2\rangle = \langle r_1^2\rangle + \frac{3}{2m_N^2}\kappa$ proton radius puzzle
  - $F_i = \frac{1}{2} F_i^{(s)} + F_i^{(v)} \frac{\sigma^3}{2}$
  - $F_1^{(v)} = 1 \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_1^2\rangle^{(v)} q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
    $F_1^{(v)}(0) = F_E^{(v)}(0) = 1$ electric charge
  - $F_2^{(v)} = \kappa^{(v)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\langle r_2^2\rangle^{(v)} q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4)\right]$
    $\kappa^{(v)} = F_M^{(v)}(0) = \mu^{(v)} - 1$ magnetic moment
  - $\langle r_E^2\rangle^{(v)} = \langle r_1^2\rangle^{(v)} + \frac{3}{2m_N^2}\kappa^{(v)}$ proton radius puzzle

Extra

	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ $\not\Delta$	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ $\not\Delta$	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ Δ	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Δ
$\mathring{g}_A$ (free)	1.1782 ± 0.0073		1.2041 ± 0.0074	1.274 ± 0.041
d_{16} (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-1.021 ± 0.048		0.983 ± 0.062	-1.46 ± 1.00
d_{22} (GeV^{-2}) (free)	1.275 ± 0.086		3.77 ± 1.96	0.29 ± 1.69 (free g_1)
h_A	-	-	1.35	1.35
g_1 (free)	-	-	-0.69 ± 0.69	0.66 ± 0.56
c_1 (GeV^{-1})	-	-0.89 ± 0.06	-	-1.15 ± 0.05
c_2 (GeV^{-1})	-	3.38 ± 0.15	-	1.57 ± 0.10
c_3 (GeV^{-1})	-	-4.59 ± 0.09	-	-2.54 ± 0.05
c_4 (GeV^{-1})	-	3.31 ± 0.13	-	2.61 ± 0.10
a_1 (GeV^{-1})	-	-	-	0.90
b_1 (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	-0.27 ± 4.96
b_2 (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	2.27 ± 2.28
$\widetilde{b}_4$ (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	-12.48 ± 1.28
x_1 (fm^{-2}) (free)	-8.4 ± 5.8	-	-5.6 ± 5.9	-0.25 ± 16.5 (consistent)
x_2 (fm^{-2}) (free)	-8.6 ± 2.6	-	-7.1 ± 2.6	-6.36 ± 4.20
x_3 (fm^{-1}) (free)	-0.25 ± 0.21	-	-0.08 ± 0.22	0.36 ± 0.47
y_1 (fm^{-2} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-100 ± 40	-	-76 ± 44	-64 ± 121
y_2 (fm^{-2} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-31 ± 21	-	-21 ± 22	-15 ± 46
y_3 (fm^{-1} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-0.63 ± 1.49	-	0.36 ± 1.63	2.54 ± 3.98
$\mathring{m}$ (GeV)	0.874	0.874	0.855	0.855
$\mathring{m}_\Delta$ (GeV)	-	-	1.166	1.166

EXTRA 2

	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ $\not\Delta$	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ $\not\Delta$	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ Δ	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Δ
$\mathring{g}_A$ (free)	1.1782 ± 0.0073		1.2041 ± 0.0074	1.274 ± 0.041
d_{16} (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-1.021 ± 0.048		0.983 ± 0.062	-1.46 ± 1.00
d_{22} (GeV^{-2}) (free)	1.275 ± 0.086		3.77 ± 1.96	0.29 ± 1.69 (free g_1)
h_A	-	-	1.35	1.35
g_1 (free)	-	-	-0.69 ± 0.69	0.66 ± 0.56
c_1 (GeV^{-1})	-	-0.89 ± 0.06	-	-1.15 ± 0.05
c_2 (GeV^{-1})	-	3.38 ± 0.15	-	1.57 ± 0.10
c_3 (GeV^{-1})	-	-4.59 ± 0.09	-	-2.54 ± 0.05
c_4 (GeV^{-1})	-	3.31 ± 0.13	-	2.61 ± 0.10
a_1 (GeV^{-1})	-	-	-	0.90
b_1 (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	-0.27 ± 4.96
b_2 (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	2.27 ± 2.28
$\widetilde{b}_4$ (GeV^{-2}) (free)	-	-	-	-12.48 ± 1.28
x_1 (fm^{-2}) (free)	-8.4 ± 5.8	-	-5.6 ± 5.9	-0.25 ± 16.5 (consistent)
x_2 (fm^{-2}) (free)	-8.6 ± 2.6	-	-7.1 ± 2.6	-6.36 ± 4.20
x_3 (fm^{-1}) (free)	-0.25 ± 0.21	-	-0.08 ± 0.22	0.36 ± 0.47
y_1 (fm^{-2} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-100 ± 40	-	-76 ± 44	-64 ± 121
y_2 (fm^{-2} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-31 ± 21	-	-21 ± 22	-15 ± 46
y_3 (fm^{-1} GeV^{-2}) (free)	-0.63 ± 1.49	-	0.36 ± 1.63	2.54 ± 3.98
$\mathring{m}$ (GeV)	0.874	0.874	0.855	0.855
$\mathring{m}_\Delta$ (GeV)	-	-	1.166	1.166
χ^2/dof	$46.13/(127-9) = 0.391$		$39.17/(127-10) = 0.326$	$14.64/(127-13) = 0.129$ Δ trunc. overestim.
χ^2_0/dof	$857.31/(127-9) = 7.27$		$533.87/(127-10) = 4.45$	$196.58/(127-13) = 1.724$

g_A Backup

	$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Δ
$\mathring{g}_A$ (free par.)	1.240 ± 0.046
d_{16} (free par.)	-0.88 ± 0.88
h_A	$h_A^{N_c} = 1.35$
g_1	$-\|g_1^{N_c}\| = -2.29$
c_1	-1.15 ± 0.05
c_2	1.57 ± 0.10
c_3	-2.54 ± 0.05
c_4	2.61 ± 0.10
a_1	0.90
$\tilde{b}_4$ (free par.)	-12.3 ± 1.0
$\mathring{m}$	0.855
$\mathring{m}_\Delta$	1.166
χ^2/dof	$11.14/(43-7) = 0.31$